

HIDDEN KNOWLEDGE

**EXPOSING TRUTH THAT YOU
SHOULD HAVE BEEN TOLD,
BUT NEVER WERE.**

INTRODUCTION :

The information discussed herein is not to be taken as me offering legal advice. I am not a trained legal professional. This information is for educational purposes, based on my own reasoning, common sense, deduction, and understanding.

I merely have an inquisitive mind, and I long to know the truth, and I believe that the pursuit and gaining of Knowledge, Wisdom, and Truth shall set me (us) free.

It is my hope and intention to share this information, to open your eyes and mind, as it has done for me, and attempt to shine a light on various truths (and fictions) that impact us daily in our lives, but that few people are even aware of.

It is my intention to create in you a desire to know more of the truth, and discover the lies and deceit which have plagued us all for many years. Only by discovering, and living in the truth, can we begin to truly live as the free Soul- Beings we were created to be.

This discussion is the result of many hours of research, reading, and discussion of various topics with like-minded people. Not all of this information is my own, but collected, sorted, edited and assembled from various sources of information available on various public platforms.

I humbly thank the men and women, already passed over or still in life, who came before me, who searched, studied, and sacrificed to accumulate the knowledge, and share it with the rest of us, as I am doing now.

It is by no means the final word on the subjects covered, and there is much more information that you will learn should you decide to do your own, more in-depth studies on these topics. This discussion can be viewed as a basic introduction. Wherever possible, I will reference (in red) the source for your convenience and further study.

Should you find any information here which is not factually correct, I request that you advise me thereof, and supply source material, which I may check, and then correct my information.

In that case I humbly ask for forgiveness, as my intention was never to mislead by supplying incorrect information.

- **Who is man?**

If I ask you, “Who are we?”, “Where do we come from?”, and, “Why are we here?” - I`m sure each one of us will give a different answer. These are questions that have plagued me, maybe, - all of us, for most of our lives, and we struggle to find the answers.

Here is a clue :

Genesis 1:1-31 – “In the beginning, **God created the heavens and the earth...**” (The Bible, King James Version)

I think we can all agree that Someone, Something, Some Force, some “Entity”, created all the physical stuff that we see all around us. Oftentimes, we stand in awe of this magnificence and wonder. This Entity is referred to by many names, but for ease of reference, whenever I refer to God, I will respectfully refer to this Magnificent, Supreme Being, or simply as the Creator.

We can also safely presume that this entire physical creation, as well as the creation that we cannot see, the non-physical and spiritual realm, was also created by the Creator, and is therefore the property of, the Creator.

That what you create, you own.

You can give it a name.

You have control over it.

In His creation, the Laws of the Creator apply. You can try your utmost to get out from under them, but the basic, undeniable truth, is that not one of us can escape them.

They are Absolute. Unquestionable. And Inescapable.

Let’s call these rules, Laws. (notice the capital “L”, as opposed to man-made laws, with a small “l”).

More about these Laws a bit later.

This creation includes man (man, as referred to in the Word of God, the Bible, consists of male and female).

Genesis 1:26 - Then God said, "Let us make man in our image, after our likeness. And let them have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the heavens and over the livestock and over all the earth and over every creeping thing that creeps on the earth."

Genesis 2:7 - And the LORD God formed man [of] the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils **the breath of life**; and **man became a living soul**.

Psalms 2:7 – "I will declare the decree: the Lord hath said unto me, **Thou art my Son**; this day have I begotten thee"

Romans 8: - 14 - "For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God."

Romans 8:15 - "For ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but ye have received the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father."

Romans 8:16 - "The Spirit itself bear witness with our spirit, that **we are the children of God.**"

Romans 8:17 - "And if children, **then heirs: heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ**; if so be that we suffer with him, that we may be also glorified together."

It is clear that "man" is an integral part of the creation, and as such, each separate man, and mankind as a group, belong to the Creator.

In essence, we are His property. And then the Creator did something amazing:

He ordered that man shall have dominion (sovereignty or full control) over, and subdue (overcome, be master over) all of the earth, as well as all the life that lives on it – except for another man. The Creator did not grant man dominion over any other man.

When we receive the Spirit of God, Man becomes the sons and daughters, the heirs, of the Creator.

All men were, and are, to this day, created as equals, and our duty, as ordered by the Creator, is to subdue and have dominion over His Creation, that is – at least for this discussion - all of the earth. Not to own it, for no-one but the Creator can own the land, for **Leviticus 25:23** clearly states that "**The land shall not be sold for ever: for the land is mine**; for ye [are] strangers and sojourners with me".

Our duty is to look after it, care for it, live off it's abundance. It is man`s inheritance, to use and benefit from.

We, man, and mankind, are the beneficiaries of this gift, our inheritance.

A man exists in a private realm (venue, created by The Creator), on the same level as all other men. Man lives on the land and soil of Creation.

Isaiah 13:12 - I will make a man more **precious** than fine gold; even a man than the golden wedge of Ophir.

Lamentations 4:2 - The precious sons of Zion, comparable to fine gold, how are they esteemed as earthen pitchers, the work of the hands of the potter.

And the value of knowledge and wisdom?

Job 28:19 - Pile gold and African diamonds as high as you will, they can't hold a candle to Wisdom.

Do you see it?

Do you realize what you and I have been given as a gift?

And how much do you think you are worth?

What do you think your value is?


According to the Creator, a single man`s value is unlimited!

But, then, because of our ignorance, man gave this most precious gift and inheritance away, and, sadly, most of us continue to do so – every day.

Stated more accurately, we traded it away for nothing.

Let me explain...

(Exhibit 1 – The natural order of creation.)



Do you see where man fits into the hierarchy of this most precious Creation? We – as man – are below the Creator, on the same level as creation (nature and the animals) – until the Creator himself elevated man to be above nature, above the animals, and above all the earth.

What we need to realize is that all of us, all men, women and children, (mankind), have one common name. We are “man”, (male and female are both referred to in the creation-narrative as “man”), so called by our Creator, and **we are more valuable than fine gold or precious stones.**



The Creator did not give each man his own name. When you were born, you did not arrive here on earth with a name, or identity number, already attached to your body.

All of us arrived here as a pure, free, un-corrupted living Spirit-in-a-body creations of the Creator. Our earthly fathers and mothers decided, or were inspired by spirit, to name us a certain name, like John, or John-Henry, or whatever.

Why is this basic fact so important?

Because most of mankind, do not realize who they really are, or what their true name is. They go through life, school, their working careers, and they die, all the while thinking that their name is, "ABRI OOSTHUIZEN", (as in my case - notice the all-capitals style of the writing).

In truth, your parents called you ONLY by your Christian name – "Abri", (where your clan / family name is, "Oosthuizen", referring to your lineage – where you come from in the family tree of mankind.) The clan name is not part of your given name.

It is a calling, and not a name. You, as a living man, a living Soul, are the property of your Creator, and only He has the authority to give a man a name – which He did not.

Our name, is simply "man", because our Creator said so.

(The correct spelling, (according to the grammatical rules of English), of your calling, when referring to the living Soul-man, would then be, :Abri:, clan / family of Oosthuizen.

Or you can replace 'of the family' with 'de' or 'von', which has the same meaning.)

Some people call me Oosie, Dad, Paps, Oupie – all different callings, (nick-names) to which I respond, yet none of those callings are my names.

Man`s natural condition, as he was created, is described by the man-made legal system, as **rerum natura**, which means, "in the realm of actuality; in existence," - this is the opposite of being a fictitious person.

And also:-

Sui generis, which is Latin for "Of it`s own kind or class, the only one of its own kind; peculiar, and

Sui Juris, which is "Of his own right; possessing full social and civil rights; not under any legal disability, or the power of another, or guardianship, having

capacity to manage one's own affairs, not under legal disability to act for one's self."

(Black's Law Dictionary, 5th and 6th edition)


As you can see, the Creator created man – all of man on the same level. Man created the public realm, which consists of non-living entities, like churches, corporations, governments and their departments, etc.

In the Creator's realm, the Creator's Law applies.

In man's realm, man's system of law applies – the statutory legal system, of acts, regulations, statutes, etc. - which is directly opposite - a mirror image - of God's Law. Call it what you want, it is not Law.

In fact, it is man's feeble attempt to elevate himself into God's position, to rebel against the Creator, to push God off the throne, so to speak, to disregard and ignore the Creator, and be the god himself over his own creation. Why does this sound familiar. Where have I heard of exactly this happening before in history?

In fact, the 1893 Dictionary of Arts and Sciences, Encyclopedia Britannica, puts it like this:



So, when your parents, a few days after you were born onto this earth, applied with the government (man's creation / public realm) to register the event of your arrival – which event we normally refer to as our "birth" – they were intentionally tricked and misled.

They thought it was the recording of an event, but the government legal system is set up to mislead and confuse – and without it being disclosed to the mother and father of the baby, something entirely different happened.

The hospital made an entry into their records that the live baby was born (arrived). This entry in their records is the proof, the recording of the event that you arrived on earth on a specific day.

The documents were then sent to the government - the DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS – to be exact, which then used that information to create it`s OWN PROPERTY – an estate trust - without your knowledge, or consent, or approval – and issued a birth certificate, printed on security paper (like a bank note), in the name of new legal entity, and is written like this: ABRI OOSTHUIZEN.

According to **The Births and Deaths Registration Act 51 of 1992, Chapter 2 sec. 9 (6)**, “No person`s birth shall be registered unless a forename and a surname have been assigned to him”, and according to the **Identification Act 68 of 1997, Chapter 2 sec. 7 (1)**, “The Director-General (of the DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS) shall assign an identity number to every person whose....”

This is clear evidence that the LEGAL-NAMED entity was not created by you, or your biological mother, or your biological father, but by the government.

By doing this, the legal system presumes (pretends) that you are *non-compos mentis* – not sane or in one's right mind, an idiot who cannot handle his own affairs, and therefore a ward of the state, who needs looking after (the state being your god).

This is done so that the state can gain control over your God-given inheritance – which is your unlimitedly valuable flesh and blood body, and your equally valuable dominion over God`s creation – which is the riches of the earth.



Notice the date.

Baby is alive, and growing inside Mom's womb.

Baby is intact, a perfect creation of Nature's God, One complete with all his organs attached.



Whilst Baby is growing inside Mom, a proud Dad and Mom are excited, and preparing for the new arrival to their family.

Notice the name that they have decided to call Baby once he arrives.

home affairs ← G 9297596
 Department: Home Affairs 83/DHA-5
 REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

PARTICULARS FROM THE POPULATION REGISTER I.R.O.:

BIRTH CERTIFICATE-

CHILD SURNAME: SCHOEMAN
 FORENAMES: VOGHAN
 IDENTITY NUMBER: 2009215981084

GENDER: MALE
 PLACE OF BIRTH: JOHANNESBURG
 COUNTRY OF BIRTH: SOUTH AFRICA
 DATE OF BIRTH: 2020-09-21

MOTHER: IDENTITY NUMBER : 930331005
 MAIDEN/SURNAME: SCHOEMAN
 FORENAMES: SUNÉ

DATE OF BIRTH: 1993-03-31
 PLACE OF BIRTH: KEMPTON PARK
 COUNTRY OF BIRTH: SOUTH AFRICA

FATHER: IDENTITY NUMBER : 9211165135082
 SURNAME: SCHOEMAN
 FORENAMES: KLINTON

DATE OF BIRTH: 1992-11-16
 PLACE OF BIRTH: BENONI
 COUNTRY OF BIRTH: SOUTH AFRICA

ENDORSEMENTS:
 NONE

DIRECTOR GENERAL: HOME AFFAIRS
 DATE PRINTED: 20201007
 ISSUED BY: YCH317

DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS
 PRIVATE BAG X11
 JOHANNESBURG 2000
 07 OCT 2020
 JOHANNESBURG (287)
 OFFICIAL

SA

Creator and owner of the certificate, and the "product" listed thereon.

Notice the date, the creation of a legal entity (product) - not a creature of Nature's God - with first name, SURNAME, and id-number combined - incorporated.

Compare the date of 'birth' which is the recording of the event of a living Baby arriving in the natural world. This also marks the creation of the dead legal fictional entity listed on the certificate.

Notice the ALL-CAPITALS writing style (which is not following the grammatical rules of English, and therefore is not English), and the joining/incorporating of the first name, and the family name, (now referred to as a 'surname' and a unique catalog/identification number (a mark), which created a brand new LEGAL ENTITY.

This entity, which looks like my calling, (but is written in ALL CAPITALS), which sounds like my calling - when you read it aloud, and is attached to an ID-

number, refers to a legal entity, (a vessel/ship/corporation in Maritime Admiralty law), which was created by, and is owned by, thus – the property of - the government. This LEGAL NAMED entity cannot possibly be the living, breathing baby, as it did not exist anywhere in the first nine months of the baby`s life, when the baby was alive and growing inside the mother`s womb. It was only created after the registration of birth happened.

(The ALL CAPITALS signs-language used on all government and legal forms and documents is a form of deception, and is called dog-Latin or debased Latin. It is the Latin for the stupid masses. When used in conjunction with normal grammatically correct English, on the same page, and in the same document as English, it corrupts the text of the English language, and the two languages cannot be read as one. It is fraud. And Fraud vitiates everything – meaning cancels, makes void.)

This “thing”, is what is referred to as a PERSON – it is a trust, evidenced by a title, a piece of paper, something that does NOT EXIST in the Creator`s reality, or in nature, but only on paper. A fiction in the man-made legal system.

Were you ever informed about this? Were you not taught (indoctrinated), from a very young age, to “identify” as this entity, that you WERE THIS ENTITY – when in fact, you are not.

Identity: Evidence. Sameness; the fact that a subject, person, or thing before a court is the same as it is represented, claimed, or charged to be. See Authentication; Identification (**Black`s Law Dictionary 5th Edition**).

You are a living Soul-Being. You have life in your body. You have living blood flowing through your veins. You have a mind with which to think, to reason, to love, to care. Again, read **Genesis 1:26 and Genesis 2:7**, and see it for yourself. **Do you see the mistaken identity?**

All of these things, a fiction cannot do. It requires your energy, and your - not knowing truth - to give it existence.

Person

Per'son, n. Etym: [OE. persone, persoun, person, parson, OF. persone, F. personne, L. persona a mask (used by actors), a personage, part, a person, fr. personare to sound through; per + sonare to sound. See Per-, and cf. Parson.]

1. **A character or part, as in a play**; a specific kind or manifestation of individual character, whether in real life, or in literary or dramatic representation; an assumed character. [Archaic] His first appearance upon the stage in his new person of a sycophant or juggler. Bacon.
No man can long put on a person and act a part. Jer. Taylor.

To bear rule, which was thy part And person, hadst thou known thyself aright.
Milton.

How different is the same man from himself, as he sustains the person of a
magistrate and that of a friend! South.

2. The bodily form of a human being; body; outward appearance; as, of
comely person. A fair persone, and strong, and young of age. Chaucer.
If it assume my noble father's person. Shak.
Love, sweetness, goodness, in her person shined. Milton.

3. self-conscious being, as distinct from an animal or a thing; a moral agent; a
human being; a man, woman, or child.
Consider what person stands for; which, I think, is a thinking, intelligent being,
that has reason and reflection. Locke.

4. A human being spoken of indefinitely; one; a man; as, any person present.

5. A parson; the parish priest. [Obs.] Chaucer.

6. (Theol.) Defn: Among Trinitarians, one of the three subdivisions of the
Godhead (the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost); an hypostasis.
"Three persons and one God." Bk. of Com. Prayer.

7. (Gram.) Defn: One of three relations or conditions (that of speaking, that of
being spoken to, and that of being spoken of) pertaining to a noun or a
pronoun, and thence also to the verb of which it may be the subject.
Note: A noun or pronoun, when representing the speaker, is said to be in the
first person; when representing what is spoken to, in the second person; when
representing what is spoken of, in the third person.

Artificial, or Fictitious, person (Law), a corporation or body politic. blackstone.

-- Natural person (Law), a man, woman, or child, in distinction from a
corporation.

-- In person, by one's self; with bodily presence; not by representative. "The
king himself in person is set forth." Shak.

-- In the person of, in the place of; acting for. Shak.

(Webster's Unabridged Dictionary, Author: Various, Release Date: August 22,
2009 [EBook #29765], [Last updated: May 18, 2014])

Note: Look out for the trick-word "human being". A human being is not a
living soul-man. A "hue-man" is a being under colour of man-law, not a living
soul-man as created under God`s Law.

The government has taken the living man-baby, out of the Creator`s private realm, and created a copy of the baby, new legal PERSON, which exists ONLY in the public realm (created by man) - on the same level as all other non-living, fictitious, public entities, like corporations, governments, etc.

The government has thus, by fraud and deceit, replaced the Creator, with itself, to (pretend to) gain the ultimate authority over man, which is God`s creation.

It is a brilliant and devilish deception, of which most living people are still unaware of, and totally oblivious to.

Have you ever wondered why our umbilical cord is cut, moments after we were naturally born out of the mother`s womb?

Did you know that the umbilical cord and the placenta / fetal afterbirth matches your DNA 100%, in fact, it can be considered a part of your body, like an arm, or a leg.

Did you know that it beats and pulsates, and nourishes a new-born baby for a time period after it was expelled from the mother, pushing nourishment into the baby?

Did you know that there are more benefits, and almost zero-risk for the mother and the baby, by leaving the umbilical cord attached?

So, for what sound medical reason is it cut, so shortly after the birth?

It seems that it has nothing to do with the safety or well-being of the baby, or the mother, and everything to do with the legal system.

The umbilical cord and placenta / afterbirth are essential in stealing your "abandoned" inheritance and estate.

The "medical waste", which was cut from and removed from the living baby, is your property, and when it is left – abandoned and unclaimed at the hospital, and is claimed by the state as "abandoned property", under the (legal system) maritime rules of salvage.

—

The Problem "Child"



Considered legally born alive
(per Black's Law 5th ed)
ie: Each product of such birth is
considered live born and fully
recognized as a human person

Genetically female
because no biological
father was involved in it's
conception (created entirely
by mom)

It is given the name that was
intended for you

Born alive. Being the product of conception
after complete expulsion or extraction from
mother, irrespective of the duration of the
pregnancy, which breathes or shows any
other evidence of life

such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the
umbilical cord or definite movement of
voluntary muscles, whether or not the
umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta
is attached. Each product of such birth is
considered live born and fully recognized as
a human person.


Maine Rev. Stat. Ann. , Tit. 22, § 1595. See
also Viable child.

Decedent = Dying

The afterbirth material was dying/a decedent the moment it arrived

So what did they do with this abandoned property, these remains of the afterbirth/decedent?





The baby (1st Born) goes home without any paperwork. This is because any document of this kind would be a document of **SLAVERY**

The Principle of the Thing



Ask yourself these questions:

Was the afterbirth material capable of sustaining life after it came out of mom?

Did it have a heart, lungs, a brain?

Did it breathe?

Did it do anything?

Was the afterbirth material alive when it came out of mom?

No

Yes

But was it going to stay alive?

No


Does the afterbirth material match me genetically?



fetal afterbirth = matches you
100% genetically (identical),
called the chorion



maternal afterbirth = genetic
match to mom, 50% genetic
match to you,
no biological father was
~~involved in its creation~~





The biological remains is given a name. The name your mom (author) intended for you.

Now a character/ "child" has been created from the afterbirth material and given your name



The character is genetically female since the material was created by mom's body alone (no biological father was involved)

With thanks to Kurtis Kallenbach for above explanation – see more at:

To learn more visit
www.kurtisrichardkallenbach.xyz

And, just like that, this living baby is brought up to think that he is the legal person, and lives a life of servitude, under government-granted rights and obligations, and expected by government to adhere to its laws, acts, regulations, and rules, (which are intended and only apply to, "persons") all the while not realizing that he has un-alienable rights, granted by his Creator, which no other man, or government can take away from him.

Because a living man cannot exist in the public, he requires an agent, a conduit, to operate in this public venue, on his behalf. Just the same as a corporation or government or PERSON cannot exist in the private venue.

At this point I would advise you to get hold of a good legal dictionary. You can use Black's Law Dictionary, Oxford Law Dictionary, Merriam-Webster's Law Dictionary, or any reputable on-line legal dictionary.

What you will see in these will already give you some indication of what we're dealing with. You will see that the meaning of some legal words differ remarkably from what we perceive as their meaning in everyday English use.

It is important to realize that the words, and language used in all government writings and documents, are precisely placed, and are written by legal professionals. The language, and meanings given to the words, which are constructed by spelling – are spells. They are meant to entrap, conceal, trick, deceive and defraud the reader, who normally has no idea what the real meaning behind the words are. Why do you think that legal writings are so confusing, almost seems to not make any sense.

There is a reason why it is written that way. The legal profession has their own "language", called Legalese – which is not the same as the basic English language that we have been taught at school.

Remember that in these definitions, (sometimes, not all definitions apply to the word) –the context in which it is used, is important.

Below is a copy of a BIRTH CERTIFICATE, issued to the LEGAL NAMED entity, ABRI OOSTHUIZEN. I wish to draw your attention to a couple of items on this certificate, numbered from 1 to 8, and point out a few simple facts about this certificate.

When you receive an original of this document, you will see that it is printed on security paper. It has several distinct security features on it, and it even has a water mark in the paper – like a bank note. There is a very good reason for this.

It is actually a bond – a promise to pay – which governments all over the world trade with, as it is their property, and is used as collateral, a guarantee of payment, to loan / float (bring into existence) money, on the world financial markets.

To put it bluntly, the birth certificate, is chattel paper, and if you identify as that entity, you are seen as / presumed to be "cattle" or property.

No-one can force you be cattle or property, but – if you don't know your true standing - you can be tricked to believe that you are something that you are NOT, or deceived into acting as, property.

(Exhibit 2 – the birth certificate)

 **home affairs** ② F 9574154
① Department: **20171869505** 83/DHA - 5
Home Affairs
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

PARTICULARS FROM THE POPULATION REGISTER I.R.O.:

③ **BIRTH CERTIFICATE**

CHILD ④ IDENTITY NUMBER: 6905095004085
SURNAME: ⑤ OOSTHUIZEN ⑥
FORENAMES: ABRI

GENDER: MALE DATE OF BIRTH: 1969-05-09
PLACE OF BIRTH: RANDFONTEIN
COUNTRY OF BIRTH: SOUTH AFRICA

MOTHER: IDENTITY NUMBER: _____

MAIDEN/SURNAME: STANDER
FORENAMES: CIRKEA JACOBA

DATE OF BIRTH: 1944.10.26
PLACE OF BIRTH: BRITS
COUNTRY OF BIRTH: SOUTH AFRICA

FATHER: IDENTITY NUMBER: _____

SURNAME: OOSTHUIZEN
FORENAMES: BATHOLOMEUS

DATE OF BIRTH: 1938.10.07
PLACE OF BIRTH: WOLMARANSTAD
COUNTRY OF BIRTH: SOUTH AFRICA

ENDORSEMENTS:



.....
DIRECTOR-GENERAL: HOME AFFAIRS

DATE PRINTED: 20170605 ⑦ ISSUED BY: YEF502

⑧ DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS
Private Bag X1006
2017 -06- 05
EDENVALE 1610
OFFICIAL DATE STAMP ⑧



- Notice who issues the certificate – the Department of Home Affairs, on behalf of RSA (the REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, which is a private corporation). This certificate/the paper title, is the property of the government, as is the information recorded thereon.
- Each certificate has a unique number on it. Look at a bank note from your wallet. It also has a note-number on it, to distinguish it from all other notes. Did you arrive on this earth with this number stamped on your body?

No? Then this number does not belong to you, and it does not apply to you.

- **Definition : Certificate** : noun
- a document containing a certified statement especially as to the truth of something specifically : a document certifying that one has fulfilled the requirements of and may practice in a field
- something serving the same end as a certificate
- a document evidencing ownership or debt, a stock certificate

Have you ever been informed, that ‘your’ birth certificate is a stock certificate? That your birth certificate is connected to property, and not a living soul-man? Did you know that when you have a birth certificate, it is proof that you are a stock holder in a company?

- Do you realize that your stock certificate has been traded, day and night, in countless transactions, and that someone, somewhere is making millions on those transactions?

No?

Well, technically, it is not yours. It belongs to its creator – the government.

So they don't have to report anything to you, or any other living man on how they operate and run their business.

They just require that you keep feeding your life-energy into their property, so that they derive the profits, and you don't ask too many questions.



(Google)

Think of a sheep-farm. Does the farmer explain to the sheep how he runs his farm? No.

The living soul-man, me and you, are merely the sheep on the farm that is worth more than our weight in gold to the farmer. In truth, the man's value (energy and labor) has been stolen from him in a devilish scheme of deceit, and he thinks he is free.

He thinks – "life is tough, but that's just the way it is..."

NO, IT IS NOT THAT WAY.

YOU HAVE BEEN DECEIVED.

YOU HAVE BEEN LIED TO.

THERE IS SMOKE ON THE FARM.

WAKE UP AND DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT!

WAKE UP THE OTHERS AROUND YOU!

Definition : legal fiction : noun

- something assumed in law to be fact, irrespective of the truth or accuracy of that assumption

(Google)

- invented by common law courts to permit recovery by contractual remedy of assumpsit in cases where, in fact, there is no contract, but where circumstances are such that justice warrants a recovery as though there had been a promise. *Clark v. Peoples Savings and Loan Ass'n of De Kalb County*, 221 Ind. 168, 46 N.E.2d 681, 682, 144 A.L.R. 1495.
- It is not based on intention or consent of the parties, but is founded on considerations of justice and equity, and on doctrine of unjust enrichment. *Bruggeman v. Independent School Dist., No. 4, Union Tp., Mitchell County*, 227 Iowa 661, 289 N.W. 5, 8, 11.

(Black`s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition)

Definition : VESSEL : Ves"sel, n. Etym: [OF. vessel, veissel, vaissel, vaissiel, F. vascellum, dim. of vasculum, dim. of vas a vessel. Cf. Vascular, Vase.]

- A hollow or concave utensil for holding anything; a hollow receptacle of any kind, as a hogshead, a barrel, a firkin, a bottle, a kettle, a cup, a bowl, etc.

[They drank] out of these noble vessels. Chaucer.

- A general name for any hollow structure made to float upon the water for purposes of navigation; especially, one that is larger than a common rowboat; as, a war vessel; a passenger vessel.

[He] began to build a vessel of huge bulk. Milton.

- Fig.: A person regarded as receiving or containing something; esp. (Script.), one into whom something is conceived as poured, or in whom something is stored for use; as, vessels of wrath or mercy.

He is a chosen vessel unto me. Acts ix. 15.

[The serpent] fit vessel, fittest imp of fraud, in whom To enter. Milton.

(Webster`s Complete Legal Dictionary, 1913)

- (b) a structure that is able to float or be floated and is able to move or be moved as an entity from one place to another;

(Act 23, 1997, SHIPPING GENERAL AMENDMENT ACT. 1997)

(Is a man`s body not regarded as hollow, into which something [your Soul] has been poured, and which now contains it.)

Definition : Agent :

- A person authorized by another to act for him, one entrusted with another's business. Downs v. Delco-Light Co., 175 La. 242, 143 So. 227.
- One who represents and acts for another under the contract or relation of agency, q. v. Fowler v. Cobb, Mo.App., 232 S.W. 1084.
- A business representative, whose function is to bring about, modify, affect, accept performance of, or terminate contractual obligations between principal and third persons. Saums v. Parfet, 270 Mich. 165, 258 N.W. 235.
- One who undertakes to transact some business, or to manage some affair, for another, by the authority and on account of the latter, and to render an account of it. 1 Livermore, Ag. 67. See Co.Litt. 207; 1 B. & P. 316; Thomas B. Jeffrey Co. v;Lockridge, 173 Ky. 282, 190 S.W. 1103, 1105.

- One who acts for or in place of another by authority from him; a substitute, a deputy, appointed by principal with power to do the things which principal may do. Stephenson v. Golden, 279 Mich. 710, 276 N.W. 849.
- One who deals not only with things, as does a servant, but with persons, using his own discretion as to means, and frequently establishing contractual relations between his principal and third persons. Rendleman v. Niagara Sprayer Co., D.C.111., 16 F.2d 122, 124. See, also, State v. Bond, 94 W.Va. 255, 118 S.E. 276, 279.

(Blacks Law Dictionary, 4th Edition)

It is this creation, this entity/vessel/ship, (which is not necessarily an evil thing), which is also known as a PERSON. It is – in our modern 'legal' system – required, as the agent, by a living man, to engage in contracts, commerce, and business. However, it is used against you, to your detriment, by sly con-men, because you do not know.

It is this PERSON that is always, ALWAYS referred to in any paperwork from the government, or any other business that sends you a fine, bill, statement or whatever. Because government exists in the public, and a man in the private, government, or it`s departments, cannot engage with a living man directly, but only through the agent, the PERSON.

INTERPRETATION ACT 33 OF 1957 states in the definitions :

"**person**" includes* –

(a) any divisional council, municipal council, village management board, or like authority;

(b) any company incorporated or registered as such under any law;

(c) any body of persons corporate or un-incorporate;

Does this definition include a man? I don`t think so.

When it comes to writing acts, statutes and regulations, certain legal rules are used. The following legal maxim: **expression unius est exclusio alterius* – (a Latin rule at law applying to legal writings), states that one thing having been mentioned, the other is excluded. Thus, following this rule, 'no person allowed' means that men, women and animals are allowed, but corporations and companies (persons) are not allowed – or excluded.)

***(INCLUSIO UNIUS EST EXCLUSIO ALTERIUS.** The inclusion of one is the exclusion of another. The certain designation of one person is an absolute exclusion of all others. n Coke, 58b; Burgin v. Forbes, 293 Ky. 456, 169 S.W.2d 321, 325. Black`'s Law Dictionary 4th Edition)

No, it can never include a man, as a man exists in a different venue than the legal public venue.

If the Income Tax Act – or any other Act for that matter, says that a "person" has to pay taxes, and obey the filing requirement etc., (and most people think that they are such a "person"), and they do it, but there is a maxim of law that says something else:

Ejusdem Generis v adj. Latin for "of the same kind, class, or nature" - is used to interpret loosely written statutes. Where a law lists specific classes of persons or things and then refers to them in general, the general statements only apply to the same kind of persons or things specifically listed. Example: if a law refers to automobiles, trucks, tractors, motorcycles and other motor-powered vehicles, "vehicles", it would not include aeroplanes, since the list was of land-based transportation.

(Black, Interp. of Laws, 141; Goldsmith v. U. S., C.C.A.N.Y., 42 F.2d 133, 137; Aleksich v. Industrial Accident Fund, 116 Mont. 69, 151 P.2d 1016, 1021.)

Following the Maxim of Law ejusdem-generis, the word "individual" is another type of fictitious entity because the rest of the entities are fictitious entities, and in the rules of statutory construction, a definition must contain the same type of entities, or it is void for vagueness.

Therefore, an "individual" and a "person" are different names for a corporation. The Interpretation Act (above) even provides for an "unincorporated corporation".

When you voluntarily do what a color of law (not real Law, but based on a contract) act or statute says, you are deemed / presumed / assumed to have agreed to the terms of the contract, and ignorance of the law is not an excuse.

This is consistent with what the Courts are saying, a "PERSON" is:

a) "a variety of entities other than human beings."

(Church of Scientology v U.S. Department of Justice, 612 F2d 417 (1979) at pg. 418)

b) "...foreigners, not citizens...."

(United States v Otherson, 480 F. Supp. 1369 (1979) at pg. 1373.)

c) the words "person" and "whoever" include corporations, companies, associations, firms, partnerships, societies, and joint stock companies...

(Title 1 U.S.C. Chapter 1 – Rules of Construction, Section 1)

A sovereign (man) is not a "person" in a legal sense and as far as a statute is concerned;

- "in common usage, the term 'person' does not include the sovereign, [and] statutes employing the [word] are normally construed to exclude it.'

(Wilson v Omaha Tribe, 442 US 653 667, 61 L Ed 2d 153, 99 S Ct 2529 (1979) (quoting United States v Cooper Corp. 312 US 600, 604, 85 L Ed 1071, 61 S Ct 742 (1941). (See also United States v Mine Workers, 330 US 258, 275, 91 L Ed 884, 67 S Ct 677 (1947)) (Will v Michigan State Police, 491 US 58, 105 L. Ed. 2d 45, 109 S.Ct. 2304)

- "a sovereign (man) is not a person in a legal sense"

(In re Fox, 52 N. Y. 535, 11 Am. Rep. 751; U.S. v. Fox, 94 U.S. 315, 24 L. Ed. 192)

Presumption ?

A legal presumption or presumption of law; that is, one in which the law assumes the existence of something until it is disproved by evidence; a conditional, inconclusive, or rebuttable presumption. Best, Ev. § 43. (Black's Law Dictionary 4th Edition)

Could this person, with a legal name, and identity number, which is all part of the mark, be the "mark of the beast", referred to in Revelations in the Bible?

"¹⁶ And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads:


¹⁷ And that no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark, or the name of the beast, or the number of his name."

(Revelation 13:16-17 King James Version)

A, mark, without which, you will be prohibited from commerce (working, trading, opening a bank account), and be excluded from all that the government offers in the way of commercial contracts? It sure sounds like it to me.

As you can see from the above quotes from the act, and by different judgements by US courts, the word `PERSON` does not include a living man. Full stop.

Meet "my" assigned person.



And it is this legal name PERSON that gets us into trouble – or rather, the cunning and cleverly disguised way in which it is mis-used by the legal system, and our ignorance and lack of knowledge, that causes all the trouble.

You are living soul-man, and – like you have a shadow, you also have a PERSON. It is not the same as being the PERSON. Just like a photograph of a car, is not the actual car. It is a representation thereof.

Yet, we go through life without knowing the difference, and we forsake (give up) our inheritance and the order from our Creator, and we act as if we are the PERSON. This is how we are trapped.

(By the way - Have you or any other man, as a beneficiary of the inheritance given by our Creator, ever been compensated for the use of, or rather misuse, of your inheritance? Not? I thought so.)

And think about this: Only a living soul-man, with unlimited value, - as he was created by the Creator, - can provide his own valuable property, - his life-energy and labor, to get anything done, yet the man does not get paid for it. Only the legal PERSON does.

Only by acting as a legal PERSON, can anyone open a bank account, apply for a credit card, apply and get a driver`s license, ID book, apply to be a tax-payer, etc.

A living man cannot, because he exists in a different (private) venue to the (public) system, therefore, he is not recognized by the system.

By not knowing the difference, and allowing one`s natural Self to be recognized and treated by the legal system as a legal person, with limited benefits, duties and obligations, and in a limited capacity, the man is then a subject to the legal system, which is a creation of man.

This is un-natural and goes against what the Creator has made us. This is serving a false master.

In his natural environment and state of creation (standing), a natural soul-man, with un-limited capacity and un-alienable rights and freedoms, granted by his Creator, the legal system has no authority (jurisdiction) over any living man.

Not even when 'you' are brought before a court to answer to whatever charge. The courts cannot deal with a living man, they can only deal with dead things, like corporations, PERSONS, etc. Unless the man thinks that he is, or he can be tricked into acting as (consenting to be), the PERSON.

And what does the Word of the Creator say about the PERSON ?

Acts 10: 34 - "Of a truth I perceive that God is no respecter of persons", and

James 2: 9 - "But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors", and

Job 32:21 - "Let me not, I pray you, accept any man`s person, neither let me give flattering titles unto man"

And yet, all acts, regulations and statutes (legal rules) that the government pass, are only applicable to PERSONS. This includes any act that you care to mention, including the Income Tax Act, National Road Traffic Act, Acts relating to fire-arms, land, property, etc.

Think of Acts in terms of acts in a play. They are called Acts for a reason. They are not real. They are make-believe. Pretend. Smoke-and-mirrors to create deception. They are color of Law. Not real Law.

The only Laws that apply to a living soul-man are the Laws that our Creator created, and expect of us to follow and abide by. And, because our Creator created all men as equals, no other man - including any judge, police officer,

or any other form of “government authority” - shall have any authority, jurisdiction, or power over another man, except by your agreement and consent.

And they know this. They can only ever have authority over a PERSON, because a PERSON is a creation of the legal system.

The word “jurisdiction” is made up of “juris”, which means law, and “diction”, which refer to words. Courts get jurisdiction over a man by tricking him with words of (pretend) law.

See below excerpts from two Acts as examples.

(Exhibit 3 – Income Tax Act, Road Traffic Act)

Income Tax Act 28 of 1997

1980 and by s. 5 (1) of Act 5 of 2001.]

'taxpayer' means any person chargeable with any tax leviable under this Act and includes every person required by this Act to furnish any return;

[Definition of 'taxpayer' amended by s. 1 (d) of Act 6 of 1963 and substituted by s. 19 (1) (n) of Act 30 of 1998 and by s. 6 (1) (s) of Act 74 of 2002.]

'territory'

National Road Traffic Act 93 of 1996

58 Failure to obey road traffic sign prohibited

(1) Subject to subsection (3), no **person** shall, unless otherwise directed by a traffic officer, fail to comply with any direction conveyed by a road traffic sign displayed in the prescribed manner.

This PERSON is not you, it is the estate-trust / vessel / legal fiction, created and owned by the government, and assumed to be assigned to the soul-man.

It is a dead piece of paper. (a debtor)

Ye (correct for of “you”, meaning the one reading this) are man, living Soul.

The man belongs to the Creator.

The PERSON is not the man.

So, this begs the question :

Are you a soul-man, under the Creator God`s Laws, or are you a person, under the man-god`s governments laws of acts and regulations?

You don't have a soul.

You are a soul.

You have a body.

- C.S. Lewis

