

# Living In The Private

**Educate Yourself, Ask Questions, Verify Everything**

## **Disclaimer Notice**

This content is provided for educational purposes, omissions and errors excepted, and is not legal/lawful advice.

## **Maxims of Law**

*Consensus facit legem.* Consent makes the law. A contract is a law between the parties, which can acquire force only by consent.

*Ei incumbit probatio qui dicit, non qui negat.* The burden of the proof lies upon him who affirms, not he who denies.

**All content from:**

<https://livingintheprivate.blogspot.com>

**Donate Bitcoin to Author**



14R9nnN5RcXxRgGhBZo4FFqskHVMJajpPQ

(bitcoin details taken from website noted above)

# Contents

"In The Private" .....	1
Dead or Alive.....	3
Where You Stand.....	3
Crossing the Line .....	4
Your Public Trust.....	5
Public Servants.....	6
Your Sovereignty .....	10
When You Are Born .....	13
Your Living Identity .....	17
With The Autograph .....	20
Unalienable Rights.....	25
Your Consent .....	27
The Parts Of A Contract .....	29
Null and Void Contracts .....	31
Rebut The Presumption.....	32
The Power of Words .....	35
Your Credit .....	38
You Funded Your Loan .....	40
The Burden Of Proof .....	43
Conditional Acceptance .....	43
Noticing Presentments .....	44
The Courts.....	48
The Law vs Statutes .....	48
Administrative Courts.....	51
Declining To Appear.....	54
Strategies for Court.....	55
"Avoid Their Courts Whenever Possible" ~ Judge "Dale" .....	55
Establishing Your Living Standing In Court .....	58
U.C.C. (Uniform Commercial Code).....	59
What Is A "Person"? .....	60

# "In The Private"

EVERY natural man and woman is born “**in the private**”. Whereas, a sovereign government of the people is a *public institution*, and therefore its Public Servants, and its various artificial creations are “**in the public**”, including its artificial legal “persons”.

A man or woman can either “live” “in the private”, or “act” “in the public”. However ...

We are trained from an early age to accept a higher authority as normal. Most people exist in a culture of submission and conformity, allowing numerous aspects of their lives to be controlled by the Government. The populace is manipulated to become dependent (debt-money), and conditioned to become fearful (racism, terrorism, and wars). The manner and appearance of “authority” is usually intimidating by design, while the language of legal fiction commerce (Legalese) is deceptive.

You are indoctrinated to “act” in the “role” of a legal “person”, which is an artificial creation of the State and a debtor serving as “surety” for the corporate debt of YOURNATION (INC.). An artificial legal “person” is dead, and under the foreign Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction, the international “Law of the Sea”. On the contrary, you are born into your own sovereign Estate of body, mind, and soul. As a sentient man or woman you live within the sovereign Common Law Jurisdiction, the national “Law of the Land”. Your sovereign jurisdiction, including your inborn unalienable living rights, cannot be taken from you without your fully informed and willing consent.

Legal “person” “actors” for governments, banks, and all corporate entities, need to contract with other legal “person” “actors” to extract their “commercial energy”.

These legal “actors” make the PRESUMPTION that you are also “acting” in the “role” of a legal “person” in legal fiction commerce, which is why they are seeking a contract “performance”. They ALWAYS want the NAME and often the creation date of the “person” to establish “joinder”. **They NEED A “PERSON”, because there is absolutely no way they can contract directly with a living man or woman. They need a man or woman to CONSENT TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY IN THE MATTER OF THE “PERSON”, unknowingly or knowingly, which is JOINDER.**

When a living name is “mirrored” by the registration of an artificial legal “person” on the Birth Certificate, an Estate Trust is formed, such as **MR JOHN DOE TRUST**. Any living man or woman unknowingly in JOINDER to such an artificial legal “person” blindly takes responsibility for the alleged debts of the Trust as its Trustee. Whereas an aware living man or woman can separate themselves from the legal fiction and become the rightful controlling **Agent, Beneficiary, Executor for MR JOHN DOE TRUST**.

Legal “actors” will attempt to engage the “person” by posting letters, by phone, or on the highway, to make and enforce a contract. Misrepresenting a living man or woman as a fictional “person” causing unwitting “joinder” is the crime of “personage”, and it is perpetuated by

“barratry”, the crime of bringing false claims in court. The term “barratry”, appropriately, comes from the “Bar Association”. (Attempted “joinder” by any legal “person” actor is a 'Crime involving deceit', Section 240 of the NZ Crimes Act.)

Under the Common Law Jurisdiction (Law of the Land), both parties must enter into every contract knowingly, voluntarily, and intentionally, or the contract is unenforceable and void.

However, under the Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction (Law of the Sea), consent to contract can be presumed by silent acquiescence, unless the party contracted thereby rebuts the presumption of consent.

If you do not wish to consent to their contract offer (presentment), you must **REBUT THE PRESUMPTION** that you are “acting” in the “role” of a fictional legal “person”. You are never obliged to answer questions or to provide government issued ID. Truly, to uphold your government of the People, it is not your duty to answer questions, it is your duty to **ask questions**. You have the Right to know who is making a claim against you, the Right to know who the injured party is, the Right to conditionally accept any claim against you upon verification, the Right to reserve your rights without prejudice, and thereafter the Right to remain silent to avoid self-incrimination.

The following definitions apply to the de facto incorporated State, in which the agencies of government, and all artificial legal “persons”, are merely corporate franchises.

In the Public	In the Private
Public = Government	Private
Created by the State	Created by Nature/God
Public Servant	Private Sovereign
Acting in a “Public Capacity”	Living in “Private Capacity”
“Member of the Public”	“We the People”
Legal Fictions	Lawful facts

**Private:** To be “**in the private**” is to “live” in a “private capacity” as a Man or Woman, with flesh and blood, arms and legs, a conscious mind, a spirit, and Life. All Men and Women are created as equal Sovereigns, endowed with Unalienable Rights, Responsibilities, and Credit (commercial energy). As natural Men and Women, they are Creditors. Their right to contract is Unlimited, and they have unlimited liability. They are outside and above the State. From Latin *privatus* “set apart, belonging to oneself (not to the state)”.

**Public:** To be “**in the public**” is to “act” in a “public capacity” as an “accommodation party” in “joinder” to an “artificial person” created and governed by the State. All Men and Women who “act” in legal fiction “roles” for the State are granted conditional Privileges and Benefits prescribed in legislative “Acts”. As Artificial Persons, they are Debtors. Their right to contract is

Limited, and they have limited liability. They are inside and under the State. From Latin *publicus* “of the people; of the state; done for the state”.

## Dead or Alive

Artificial	Natural
“Law of the Sea” <b>Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction</b> Statutes, Acts, Rules, Codes	“Law of the Land” Common Law Jurisdiction Do no harm
Dead Legal Personality Registered	Living Lawful Man/Woman Recorded
“Artificial Person” Corporation	“Natural Person” Human
Public Servant Bonded	Private Sovereign Free
“Public Capacity” Limited	“Private Capacity” Unlimited
Legal Privileges/Benefits Granted and Revocable	Lawful Rights/Properties Inherent and Inviolable
Legislated Rights Prescribed by the State	Unalienable Rights Cannot be alienated
Debtor Liable for Debts	Creditor Creator of Wealth
Trust Trustee Always “Pays”	Trust Beneficiary Always Benefits
“Accommodation Party Debtor”	“Secured Party Creditor”
Legal Commerce Vessel on the Sea	Lawful Trade Man/Woman on the Land
Signature Fiction <i>John Henry Doe</i>	Autograph Fact <i>By: John-Henry: Doe</i> <i>All Rights Reserved</i>

## Where You Stand

<b>CORPORATION</b> Corporation is over <b>nothing</b>	<b>GOVERNMENT</b> Government is over < Corporation	<b>MAN/WOMAN</b> Man/Woman is over < Government	<b>NATURE/GOD</b> Nature/God is over < Man/Woman
---	--	---	--

A natural man or woman may “stand” upon their Unalienable Rights, being entitled to carry on their private business in their own way. Their power to contract is unlimited. They owe no duty to the State or their neighbours to divulge their business, or to open their doors to investigation, so far as it may be self-incriminating. Their Rights live permanently in the “law of the land”, antecedent to the organisation of the State, and can only be taken from them by “due process of law”. They receive nothing from the State, beyond the protection of their life, liberty, and

property. They owe nothing to the public so long as they do not trespass upon their rights.

Whereas, a corporation is a creature of the State. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the State. It receives certain public privileges and franchises, holding them subject to the statutes of the State and the limitations of its charter. Its privileges are only preserved while it obeys the statutes of its creation. There is a reserved right in the legislature to investigate its contracts to determine if it has exceeded its limited powers. The State, having chartered a corporation to make use of certain franchises, can exercise its sovereignty to inquire how those franchises have been employed, and whether they have been abused, and it can demand the corporate books and papers for that purpose. [reference Hale vs Henkel]

## Crossing the Line

A sovereign man/woman lives in their **“private capacity” possessing unalienable rights and properties.** They may volunteer to act in a **“public capacity” granted revocable privileges and benefits** which are the mere civil rights of an artificial legal person.

Governments incorporate (form) artificial legal persons of many kinds by registration, presuming a franchise benefit to the State's legal society. Legal persons include: citizen, resident, inhabitant, driver, individual, taxpayer, voter, and owner. They are servants, transmitting utilities, debtors, decedents, or incompetent wards of the State.

**INCORPORATION transfers ANYTHING from the sovereign national “Law of the Land” (Common Law Jurisdiction) into the foreign international “Law of the Sea” (Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction).**

Whenever people **“act”** in a **“public capacity”** as **“public servants”** they are accountable to the State if they fail to perform their role as contracted.

Whenever people **“live”** in their **“private capacity”** as **“private sovereigns”** they are accountable in Common Law if they cause harm to any living soul.

*If you “act” in the role of a “legal person”, you are crossing the line into the lower world of the legally dead, surrendering your unalienable rights as a living soul.*

<b>Real</b>	<b>Living</b>	<b>Private</b>
<b>Universal Law</b> (Laws of God / Creator / Universe)		
<b>Natural Law</b> (Laws of Nature)		
<b>Common Law (Private)</b> (Cause no harm, loss, or fraud to other Living Souls)		
<b>Constitutional Law</b> (All holders of a Public Oath of Office MUST serve the Private People)		
<b>Lawful</b>	<b>Law of the Land</b>	<b>De Jure</b>
<b>Legal</b>	<b>Law of the Sea</b>	<b>De Facto</b>
<b>Commercial Law</b> (Contract Law / Law Merchant / U.C.C.)		
<b>Admiralty Maritime</b> (Military / Tribunal / Martial Law)		
<b>Statutory Legislation (Public)</b> (Statutory Acts, Bills, Codes, Rules, Legislative Instruments)		
<b>Corporations</b> (Legal Persons, Franchises, Decedents, Debtors, Wards of the State)		
<b>Fiction</b>	<b>Dead</b>	<b>Public</b>

## Your Public Trust

**People create governments to serve, not rule.** A re-presentative government, elected freely and fairly, is by definition a Public Trust instituted to serve Private Sovereign People in the Common Law Jurisdiction. Such a sovereign government is an **unincorporated** Common Law Assembly, or a “body politic”, NOT an **incorporated** “body corporate”. The Sovereign People place their Trust in the governance skills of their elected re-presentatives, who are elected into “office”, not into “power”. Your re-presentative “goes in your place”. The Sovereign People are the employers of their re-presentatives and all government employees. Without exception, the employees of this Public Trust are “public servants”, working for the Private Sovereign People, who are the free men and women of the Nation.

Everyone in government service, from your Head of State, the Queen, who governs “in the right of [the people]”, to the lowest employee, derives their limited authority from the Private Sovereign People, and each such “public servant” has a Fiduciary Duty to serve as a Trustee for the Private Sovereign People who are the Beneficiaries of their Public Trust.

*Sovereignty resides in the People, whose power is the source of Law.*

Your government is “public”, employing numerous Public Officers, each of whom swears an oath of allegiance “according to law”, as written in the Oaths and Declarations Act 1957. Your Head of State is the principal Trustee for the Law of the Land, the de jure Common Law, acknowledged in the Imperial Laws Applications Act 1988: *'After the commencement of this Act, the common law of England (including the principles and rules of equity), so far as it was part of the laws of New Zealand immediately before the commencement of this Act, shall continue to be part of the laws of New Zealand.'* It is therefore the sworn duty of all Public Officers to uphold the Common Law embodied in the Private Sovereign People.

If the people's sovereign authority, partly delegated to their government, is turned against the sovereign people, the Public Trust is betrayed. Sadly, centuries of systemic monetary, legal and political corruption have deeply subverted our government, which has been **incorporated to serve the debt-money system of bondage**, extracting wealth for a global power elite who rule at the expense of the majority.

Nevertheless, the foundation of our government is the Public Trust, over which the People are the Sovereign power of the Nation.

The beginning of freedom is the realization that your Public Trust is there to serve your Life, not take it. The Power of your Life is found in your self-awareness, for we intuitively know that no other man or woman was born as our master.

Freedom is your right, but it is not given, but exercised, and it is held by **attention to your living Rights**.

## Public Servants

Public Servants serve the Private Sovereigns who, having formed the institution of a freely-elected unincorporated government for the benefit of the People, are governed by their consent.

The institution of a freely-elected government automatically forms a Trust in Common Law, with the People as Beneficiaries, and their Public Servants as Trustees. The Public Servants have a Fiduciary Duty to serve the Beneficiaries of the Trust.

Many Public Servants swear a Oath of Office to serve “according to law”, which is the de jure Common Law, also know as the “Law of the Land”. Public Servants do not swear an Oath to serve in de facto Admiralty Maritime commerce, also known as the “Law of the Sea”. However, members of the private Bar Association swear an Oath to serve the Bar Association, which presents a conflict of interest in matters of government and justice. This subversion of the Common Law courts supports the crime of “personage”, knowingly representing a living man or woman as a legal fiction – a form of corporation, such as an “artificial person”, trust, public utility, or foundation. “Personage” is committed by deceptively “mirroring” the NAME, causing unwitting “joinder” to a legal fiction, and it is perpetuated by “barratry”, the crime of bringing false claims in court. The term “barratry”, appropriately, comes from the “Bar Association”.



Your Public Servants include all Officers of the Law. Most frontline “Police Officers”, however, perform two roles:

**As Peace Officers they uphold the law**, discharging their impartial duties under Oath “according to law”, which is the de jure Common Law Jurisdiction, also known as the “Law of the Land”. As such, they have a Fiduciary Duty to serve and protect the living people. Peace Officers swear an Oath of Office to “keep the peace”, and are protected by a Public Bond, having Limited liability.

As **Policy Officers they enforce statutes**, which prescribe the legal terms and conditions of contracts with penalties etc., in the de facto Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction, also known as the “Law of the Sea”. This role dominates their training and time. Policy Officers do NOT swear an Oath to “enforce statutes”, and are NOT protected by a Public Bond, having Unlimited full commercial liability.

“Police Officers” are either “on duty”, under Oath, and “in the public”, or “off duty”, not under Oath, and “in the private”. Conducting private commerce for YOURNATION (INC.) in Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction is not part of the sworn duty of a “Police Officer”. An Officer conducting private commerce in support of the debt-money system of bondage can be described as a private mercenary abdicating their Oath.

“Police Officers”, or Policy Enforcement Officers, have NO JURISDICTION over any living, breathing man or woman unless that man or woman **consents** to that jurisdiction.

All legal jurisdiction over a man or woman requires their consent, and so all presentments from of a “Police Officer” are a “service” offered by “consent”.

*“It's important for us to know what people think of our **service** - in New Zealand we police by **consent** and cannot afford to lose the support of **the people we serve.**”* (emphasis added)

<http://www.police.govt.nz/featured/service-excellence-police>

<b>Policy Officer</b>	<b>Peace Officer</b>
<b>ADMIRALTY MARITIME</b> Commercial Jurisdiction	<b>COMMON LAW</b> People's Jurisdiction
<b>“LAW OF THE SEA”</b>	<b>“LAW OF THE LAND”</b>
Incorporated Office	<b>Non-Incorporated Office</b>
<b>Private Contractor,</b> with <b>NO</b> Public “Oath of Office” and Bond	<b>Public Servant,</b> with Public “Oath of Office” and Bond
Officer <b>breaching</b> Public <b>Oath</b> is in <b>DISHONOUR</b>	Officer <b>upholding</b> Public <b>Oath</b> is in <b>HONOUR</b>
Private capacity, <b>FULL COMMERCIAL LIABILITY</b>	Public capacity, <b>LIMITED LIABILITY</b>
<b>LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER</b>	<b>PEACE OFFICER / CONSTABLE</b>
Collecting <b>Revenue</b> , performing <b>Commerce</b> , <b>Enforcing</b> statutory <b>contracts</b>	Keeping the <b>Peace</b> , upholding <b>Justice</b> , <b>Serving</b> and protecting <b>people</b>
Of the Corporation, By the Corporation, For the Corporation	Of the People, By the People, For the People
The Officer <b>MUST</b> establish that you are “acting” in the “role” of an artificial legal <b>PERSON</b> , which is consent by “joinder”, in order to exercise jurisdiction over you	The Officer <b>MUST</b> have “probable cause” or an articulable “reasonable suspicion” that you are committing, or are about to commit, a <b>CRIME</b> of harm to a living soul
While “acting” as a <i>person</i> , you are under <b>CONTRACT</b> obliged to perform according to the terms and conditions of statutes	While a living soul, you are in the <b>COMMON LAW</b> obliged not to cause harm, loss or fraud to other living souls
Only a legal <i>person</i> <b>CORPORATION</b> can be taken to <b>COURT</b> for an <b>OFFENCE</b>	Only if there is a <b>VICTIM</b> of a <b>CRIME</b> can an Officer establish <b>JURISDICTION</b> over you
“Penalties” are statutory, for the legal <i>person</i> , so do not apply to living men or women	Crimes Act 1961. Sec 9. ‘No one shall be convicted of any offence at common law.’
<i>De Facto</i> “in practice”	<i>De Jure</i> “in law”

The police cannot stop you, question you, detain you, arrest you, search you, or charge you, without your consent, if there is no victim. Ultimately, the “administrative courts” cannot fine you or imprison you without your consent. But if at any point you “understand” (stand under their authority), agree to anything, or give them the artificial legal “person” NAME, *you are consenting*.

### **THE TWO BASIC TYPES OF ENCOUNTERS:**

1. Consensual, in which you are free to leave at any time.
2. Detention/Seizure/Arrest, in which you are held by “an assertion of authority”, or by physical restraint, against your will.

In any detention/seizure/arrest the “Peace Officer” MUST have “probable cause” and/or a “reasonable suspicion” that you are committing, or are about to commit, a CRIME against a victim. The accuser bears the burden of proof to reasonably establish your CRIME.

In any detention/seizure/arrest the “Police Officer” MUST establish that you are “acting” in “joinder” to an artificial legal "person" NAME created by the State and controlled by statutes. The Officer bears the burden of establishing your freely given CONSENT.

A “Police Officer” who stops you in the course of your lawful business, without your consent, or “articulable probable cause”, is assailing you.

### **DEALING WITH ASSAILANTS:**

1. Identify your assailant, their motive and jurisdiction.
2. Require verification of a CRIME (no crime = no jurisdiction).
3. BE POLITE, STAY ON POINT.

You have the Right not to be “arbitrarily” stopped, detained or arrested [by chance, whim, or impulse]. There must be an “articulable” and “reasonable suspicion” that you are involved in a CRIME against a VICTIM, and a WITNESS [can be a “Peace Officer”] with first-hand knowledge and evidence who is willing to write an affidavit under penalty of perjury and full commercial liability, making the accusation of the crime. You have the Right not to answer questions. You have the Right not to provide government issued ID. You have the Right to ASK QUESTIONS.

See [Rebut the Presumption](#)

**To control people, the Police rely on:  
“Ignorance of the Law is no excuse”**

## Police Oath:

*"I, AB, do swear that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady the Queen in the Police, without favour of affection, malice or ill-will, until I am legally discharged; that I will see and cause Her Majesty's peace to be kept and preserved; that I will prevent to the best of my power all offences against the peace; and that while I continue to hold the said office I will to the best of my skill and knowledge discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law. So help me God."*

'After taking the oath the officer holds the office of constable and is taken to have entered into a written contract with the Queen.' [As the Head of State, the Queen derives her authority *from* the People, or "*in the right of (the People)*", because Sovereignty resides in the People, whose power is the source of law.]

'The oath requires police officers to:

- carry out all duties impartially, fairly, with good will and without malice;
- discharge all duties to the best of his/her ability; and
- uphold the law, prevent offences and keep the peace until legally discharged.'

<http://www.justice.govt.nz/publications/global-publications/r/review-of-oaths-and-affirmations-a-public-discussion-paper-may-2004/part-d-specific-oaths>

## Your Sovereignty

**All men and women are born equal Sovereigns**, endowed with Unalienable Rights, Responsibilities, and Credit (commercial energy). A baby is born as the sovereign Holder in Due Course of his/her private Estate – comprising his/her rights, body, soul, creations, real and intellectual properties.

Sovereign people living on the land can assemble to constitute a sovereign government “of the people, by the people, for the people” in the Common Law Jurisdiction - the “Law of the Land”. When sovereign people constitute a sovereign government using their “rights already existing” they are delegating their inherent powers to their de jure government and its agencies, via their oath-bound Public Servants. Such a sovereign government is established by a *lawful constitution* as a “body politic”, whereas a fictional corporation is established by *legal incorporation* as a “body corporate”. A sovereign government of the people has no jurisdiction over any living man or woman without their fully informed and freely given consent, subject to the “due process of law”.

But a government can register artificial legal “persons”, and thereby control its own “creatures of the State” with statutory contracts in the Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction - the “Law of the Sea”. A man or woman who contracts with the State, freely or unwittingly, consents to “act” in a

“public capacity” as an artificial legal “person” which is controlled by the issuing authority, the State. While such a government grants Privileges to artificial legal “persons”, it cannot grant any Privileges that living men and women do not already have as Unalienable Rights. Your Unalienable Rights are only limited by your Common Law responsibility not to harm other living people, and by your Common Sense responsibility toward the living Earth, whose Natural Laws govern all Life.

***Sovereignty resides in the People, whose Power is the source of Law.***

“Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts. And the law is the definition and limitation of power.” *Woo Lee vs. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356 1

“People are supreme, not the State.” *Waring vs. the Mayor of Savannah*, 60 Georgia at 93.

“The people of the State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created.” (Added Stats. 1953, c. 1588, p.3270, sec. 1.)

“The people are the recognized source of all authority, state or municipal, and to this authority it must come at last, whether immediately or by circuitous route.” *Barnes v. District of Columbia*, 91 U.S. 540, 545 [23: 440, 441]. p 234.

“the government is but an agency to the State,” -- the State being the sovereign people.” *State vs. Chase*, 175 Minn, 259, 220 N.W. 951, 953.

"The rights of the individual are not derived from governmental agencies, either municipal, state, or federal, or even from the Constitution. They exist inherently in every man, by endowment of the Creator, and are merely reaffirmed in the Constitution, and restricted only to the extent that they have been voluntarily surrendered by the citizenship to the agencies of government. The people's rights are not derived from the government, but the government's authority comes from the people. The Constitution but states again these *rights already existing*, and when legislative encroachment by the nation, state, or municipality invade these original and permanent rights, it is the duty of the courts to so declare, and to afford the necessary relief.” *City of Dallas, et al. vs. Mitchell*, 245 S. W. 944, 945-46 (1922).

“A constitution is designated as a supreme enactment, a fundamental act of legislation by the people of the State. A constitution is legislation direct from the people acting in their sovereign capacity, while a statute is legislation from their representatives, subject to limitations prescribed by the superior authority.” *Ellingham v. Dye*, 178 Ind. 336; NE 1; 231 U.S. 250; 58 L. Ed. 206; 34 S. Ct. 92; *Sage vs. New York*, 154 NY 61; 47 NE 1096.

“It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen from falling into error, it is the

function of the citizen to keep the Government from falling into error.” *American Communications Association vs. Douds*, 339 U.S. 382, 442, (1950)

***Any incorporated government in the international “Law of the Sea” is a foreign government.***

A sovereign government cannot also be a corporation, because sovereignty is the source of law, and a corporation is a creation of law. While sovereign people, as the source of law, can create various legal fictions including artificial persons, corporations, companies, trusts, societies, foundations, and so on, a sovereign government and its sovereign agencies are by definition unincorporated.

**But all Central Bank nations are incorporated, such that the de jure nations of the world are largely unoccupied by oath-keeping representatives of the sovereign people.**

De jure Common Law Jurisdiction “Law of the Land” governments have been usurped by de facto Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction “Law of the Sea” interlopers, who are essentially private mercenaries administering fraudulent bankruptcies. Any incorporated government operating in the international “Law of the Sea” is foreign to the “Law of the Land”, and therefore it is a foreign government. Every sovereign man and woman oppressed by an incorporated government is a victim of treason.

<b>Foreign Government</b>	<b>Sovereign Government</b>
<b>INCORPORATED STATE</b> De Facto, Usurped "Body Corporate", Corporation	<b>NON-INCORPORATED NATION</b> De Jure, Organic "Body Politic", Nation
<b>FRANCHISED</b> States / Provinces / Regions / Counties Cities / Councils / Agencies / Officers etc.	<b>NON-FRANCHISED</b> States / Provinces / Regions / Counties Cities / Councils / Agencies / Officers etc.
<b>CONTRACTORS</b> Private Oath (i.e. BAR), Surety Bond	<b>PUBLIC SERVANTS</b> Public "Oath of Office", Statutory Bond
<b>"LAW OF THE SEA"</b> Foreign International	<b>"LAW OF THE LAND"</b> Sovereign National
<b>ADMIRALTY MARITIME</b> Corporate Jurisdiction	<b>COMMON LAW</b> People's Jurisdiction
<b>"ADMINISTRATIVE COURT"</b> Without a Jury	<b>"COURT OF RECORD"</b> With a Jury
<b>LEGAL PRIVILEGES / BENEFITS</b> Granted and Revocable	<b>LAWFUL RIGHTS / PROPERTIES</b> Inherent and Inviolable
<b>DEAD ARTIFICIAL PERSONS</b> Legal Fictions, Created Artificially	<b>LIVING MEN / WOMEN</b> Lawful Facts, Born Naturally

## When You Are Born

When you are born (given life), a "Record of Live Birth" is created as evidence of your Life. The New Zealand equivalent is a "Notification of Birth for Registration". It is your Affidavit of Life, with details that identify your living standing. It records your given name as a unique "Title", i.e. John, to your Estate. (Your Estate is the "land", or property, of your mind, body, and soul, and all the physical and intellectual property that derives from your living energy, including your in-born unalienable rights.) Your Mother's autograph establishes the origin of your Estate (an Estate must come before a Trust). In Common Law (the Law of the "Land"), your Mother

and the State are automatically Trustees in an “expressed” Sovereign Trust with you as the Beneficiary. You are the holder in “expectancy” of your Estate, which will descend to you as of right when you attain the “age of majority” (20), unless ...

Soon, your parents are told that you “must” be registered. They are under no such lawful obligation, but the State is insistent for reasons undisclosed. **According to Ecclesiastical Law an Estate can only be held in Trust by a man.** But your Mother was asked for her maiden name, constituting “Maternity”. [**MATERNITY.** It is either legitimate or natural. The former is the condition of the mother who has given birth to legitimate children, while the latter is the condition of her who has given birth to illegitimate children. Maternity is always certain, while the paternity (q.v.) is only presumed. - Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856 Ed.] Therefore, all naturally born children are illegitimate (bastards) with uncertain fatherhood, having no paternal holder of their Estate. When registering, an “Informant” (unknowingly) makes an accusation as to your illegitimacy. [**INFORMANT.** A person who informs or prefers an accusation against another. - Black's Law Dictionary, 2nd Ed.] The Status of Children Act 1969, 2. says 'For the purposes of this Act marriage includes a void marriage'. So you are legally a bastard without rights. [**BASTARD.** 4. Considered as nullius filius, a bastard has no inheritable blood in him, and therefore no estate can descend to him. - Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856 Ed.] Moreover, your “given name” (Title) is recorded in the “still-born” column. [A stillborn child is one ... incapable of living ... if they do not in fact survive so long as to rebut this presumption of law, they cannot inherit. - Black's Law Dictionary, 2nd Ed.] The State can now legally claim your Estate, making you a “Ward of the State” in an “estates for life” Foreign Situs Trust. [**ESTATE.** 9.-2. The estates for life created by operation of law are ... 4th. Jointure. ... The estate for life is somewhat similar to the usufruct of the civil law. - Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856 Ed.] “Jointure” (joinder) is similar to “usufruct” (right to derive income from property of another).

The Record of Live Birth is used to issue a Birth Certificate Bond, certifying that a property “Title” is registered as a Security. It is like a Warehouse Receipt for the baby, the delivered goods. [**WAREHOUSE RECEIPT.** A warehouse receipt, which is considered a document of title, may be a negotiable instrument used for financing with inventory as security. - Black's Law Dictionary, 7th Edition]. At the same time, your “given name” and family name have been registered as a tradename. Only corporations have a “last name”. A legal “person” has been issued by the State as a franchise child of the parent corporation.

The Bond is sold to the World Bank (Bank for International Settlements, created in 1931 by the Vatican) as Settlor of the Trust. Your value to society is calculated using actuarial tables. Your Bond becomes a registered Security, which the Treasury uses as Surety for Treasury securities such as Treasury Bonds, Notes and Bills.

So you have been **monetized**. The people truly are the “Credit of the Nation”. However, in the corrupted system, the people's credit is effectively “human capital”, or “livestock”.

Although the State can seize the baby as a “Ward of the State” if the State's “investment” is threatened, its greatest value is realized from the “matured” working adult. The perpetrators of this deception know that you could one day discover the truth and invoke your Power of Attorney from the age of 18. Property Law Act 2007, Section 22.(1) 'Person between 18 and 20



years may do certain things, ... (c) accept appointment, or act, as an attorney, 22.(2) ... has the same effect as if the person were 20 years old.' **In short, you can attain the age of majority (20) by declaring your own Power of Attorney from the age of 18.** But if they can somehow “kill” you off, again, legally speaking, they can continue to hold your “deceased Estate” Titles: real property (lands), personal property (life), and spiritual property (soul).

When you reach full legal age under the Admiralty Maritime jurisdiction, which is the “Law of the Sea”, you become eligible to “register” your Estate as a “vessel” navigating on the “sea of commerce” with you as the Master (Mr/Mrs/Ms). Your “vessel” will have a legal “person” NAME such as MR JOHN DOE, and as the Master you will be the liable “owner”, while the State retains the “legal title” with the “powers of management” as the Registrar.

You will probably “voluntarily” forfeit your Estate. You may start work and register as a “taxpayer”, or you may enroll as a “voter” on a voting register. If you decide not to register, you have “gone to sea”, and if you are missing for seven years you are declared legally dead. The same process is applied to ships and mariners lost at sea. To avoid court proceedings, the Cestui Que Vie Act 1666, simply declared that everyone is dead after an absence of seven years, unless they return to claim their Estate. After seven years, you “died” without a will “Intestate”, so someone is appointed to manage your Estate/Trust. The Public Trust applies to the Family Court to manage your Estate under the 'Protection of Personal and Property Rights Act 1988, Section 11. Form PPPR 6 Application for order to administer property'.

Under the first Sovereign Trust established by your Mother, you are the “holder in due course” of your Estate, and a future Creditor. As a private man/woman, you are the Executor/Beneficiary of your Common Law Estate Trust, and all oath-bound officials are your Public Trustees. But under the new Foreign Situs Trust, the State gains the “legal title” (right of possession) to your Estate, while the legal “person” only has the “equitable title” (right of use). The legal “person”, as a creation of the indebted State, is also a Debtor. Any man/woman who mistakenly takes responsibility for the legal “person” NAME and its debts steps into the role of the State as the liable Trustee. The State has turned the tables on you.

The People, by registration (legalisation), are employed by the State as debtors for a private banking cartel, which is upheld by a private Bar Association Guild (Law Society). While “acting” in the legal fiction "role" of your corporatised NAME, you will receive endless presentments (bills), which that employee of the State, the legal “person” (Strawman) is obliged to settle.

But the theft of your Estate is based on **false presumptions** that cannot be proven in fact. The fundamental flaw is that in order for a Birth Certificate to be issued, a man or woman must first have been born on the land. Plainly, you are not really dead, so you are still the living **“holder in due course”** of YOUR Estate Title. Under the Cestui Que Vie Act 1666, IV 'If the supposed dead Man proves to be alive, then the Title is revested.'

Remember that only **you have a “birthday”** on which you were born into the world from your Mother. Whereas the artificial legal **“person” has a “date of birth”** on which it was registered by the Registrar. These two events usually have different dates! (see your Registration Print-out)

**Maxim of Law:**  
*He who fails to assert his rights has none.*

<b>Birth Certificate</b> TITLE CREATED BY STATE	<b>Live Birth Record</b> < TITLE CREATED BY "LIFE"
Cargo <b>Berthed</b> , Delivered	Baby <b>Born</b> , Endowed
<b>Informant</b> autographs as indictment that you have no paternal holder of your Estate	Your <b>Mother</b> autographs to establish that you are "holder in due course" of your Estate
Registrar signs your Estate into Probate and you become a "Ward of the State"	Mother gives maiden name which indicates a bastard, later "still-born" on the Register
<b>Name is Registered</b> A legal Tradename is <b>publicly registered</b> , combining your Given and Family names	<b>Appellation is Given</b> A lawful Appellation is <b>privately recorded</b> , inter vivos, traditionally in a Family Bible
<b>ESTATE TRUST</b> (Tradename)	<b>ESTATE TITLE</b> (Appellation)
Format is typically all-capitals as on a vessel, gravestone, with a fictional title (i.e. <b>Mr. JOHN H. DOE</b> ) but can be any first <i>and</i> last name	Format is proper grammatical English (i.e. <b>John Henry: of the family Doe</b> , or the unique given estate title <b>John-Henry</b> )
<i>'Capitis diminutio maxima. The highest or most comprehensive loss of status. Changed from one of freedom to one of <b>bondage</b>.'</i> JOHN DOE [Black's Law, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition]	<i>'Capitis diminutio minima. The lowest or least comprehensive degree of loss of status. Rights of <b>liberty</b> and citizenship unaltered.'</i> john doe [Black's Law, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition]
<b>FOREIGN SITUS TRUST</b> Formed by legalisation when an artificial <b>NAME is Registered</b> in the Law of the Sea. This Trust splits your Estate (all property) into a "Legal Title" (right of possession) for the State, and an "Equitable Title" (right of use) for you	<b>SOVEREIGN TRUST</b> Created by parent(s) of a living soul when a " <b>Given Name</b> " is <b>gifted</b> in the Law of the Land. At 18 you can claim the Legal Title over your Estate (all property) by becoming the Executor/ Executrix for the Foreign Situs Trust (NAME)
This is a <b>Temporary</b> Trust because you can become "holder in due course" of your Estate	This is a <b>Permanent</b> Trust because you have a lifelong right to claim Legal Title to your Estate
"Equitable Owner" with user's <b>Equitable Interest</b>	"Beneficial Owner" with ultimate <b>Controlling Interest</b>
"Person" NAME is a <b>Debtor</b> Liable for Debts, Surety for corporate debt	Man/Woman is a <b>Creditor</b> Can Create Wealth, has power of Remedy
Debtor, "Trustee", Taxpayer, Resident, Voter, " <b>limited</b> " "residence" "income" "address"	Creditor, Executor, Grantor, National, Elector, " <b>unlimited</b> " "abode" "remuneration" "location"
This <b>Certificate</b> is certification of a Bond with the World Bank as Settlor of the Trust	This <b>Record</b> is used as Evidence for a Birth Certificate that certifies a Bond issue

The original Bond becomes a Security that is traded on the Market for your "value"	Includes your birth weight in ounces used to calculate value in tables related to gold
<b>Foreigner</b> Vessel trading on the Sea of Commerce under Admiralty/Maritime <b>Law of the Sea</b>	<b>Sovereign</b> Man/woman born free on the land and living in the Common <b>Law of the Land</b>
This registration converts your Estate into a tradeable property and puts you to work for the Foreign Situs Trust via the "NAME"	This record documented your Estate, which can then be stolen. But it is also an Affidavit of Life and a proof of claim
<b>TITLE Claimed by State</b> False presumptions, claim and contract	<b>Inherent Right to TITLE</b> Potential Holder of your Sovereign Estate

## Your Living Identity

**You are not a name, but you have been given an appellation to be called by.**

Your Given appellation is your unique Estate Title (i.e. John/John-Henry). Your shared Family name helps to identify you (i.e. Doe). The ancient Greeks bore only one appellation (i.e. Aristotle). The Romans added a description including race, region, family (surname), and even the branch of the family. This was the custom into the Middle Ages of Europe, when the Title was written in proper grammar, i.e. John: of the family Doe, or John: the Carpenter of Oxford. But when governments introduced taxation, a surname was required after the Given appellation, thereby creating a registered artificial "legal person" subject to legislation. In England, this began with a Poll Tax (or per head tax) which was levied irregularly from 1275 onward, especially to finance wars. Compelled to pay taxes, free men were coerced into contracting with the State and thereby "acting" as double name "legal persons" employed by the State, in the service of the bankers who exerted hidden influence over the Crown.

***Your Given appellation and Family name joined together is a publicly registered "Legal Person".***

The State creates the artificial "legal person" by combining your Given appellation ("Given name") typically with your patriarchal Family-Clan-Tribal name, registering it into existence after you are born, often on a date subsequent to your birthday. The written format of the "legal person" is commonly the **ALL-CAPITAL-LETTERS double name, i.e. JOHN DOE**, often prefixed with a fictional Title such as Mr./Mrs./Miss, i.e. **MR JOHN DOE/Mr DOE**, John/Mr John Doe, denoting the "Master" of the vessel in commerce operating under the Admiralty Maritime "Law of the Sea". However, governments are legislating to capture any written double name as a "legal person", regardless of grammatical format. In New Zealand, the Identity Information Confirmation Act 2012, says in section 9(8) *'For the purposes of subsections (6) and (7), identity information may be treated as consistent with recorded information despite any variation between them because of pronunciation or punctuation. Example: The name "Steel-Baker" is treated as consistent with the name "Steel Baker" despite the absence of the hyphen in the latter.'*

***A “Legal Person” is established by the double name,  
assisted by the date and place of its creation.***

The “legal person” (persona = mask) is a “character” created by the State to “perform” various statutory “roles” prescribed in statutes. In legal terms, the “legal person” character is called a “Strawman”. Historically, the Strawman was a legal third-party fiction allowing people to knowingly make written contracts without disclosing their living identity. But the Strawman was hi-jacked during the 1930s when it was placed on the Birth Certificate without disclosure, virtually “mirroring” the living name as a “legal person” Estate Trust, functioning as Surety for the national corporate debt. Subsequently, **people have unwittingly “acted” in the “role” of the “legal person” Trustee.**

This identity theft by undisclosed duplication is called “mirroring”, and anyone deliberately practicing this deception is guilty of “personage”, the crime of knowingly representing a living man or woman as a legal fiction – a form of corporation, such as an “artificial person”, trust, public utility, or foundation. The crime of “personage” is routinely compounded by “barratry”, the crime of bringing false claims in court. The term “barratry”, appropriately, comes from the “Bar Association”.

***A “Living Id-entity” is established by a “Given name” (Title),  
and information that is proof of Life (ie thumbprint).***

There are very few occasions when the State needs to establish your Living Identity. The State does this when a baby is born, without disclosure, in order to obtain legal Title from the father, the patriarchal Title holder. The Birth Registration entry requires the father's “Given name”, plus his Family name, the place he was born, his age/the date he was born, his occupation, and his autograph. This proves his living standing and forms the contract that transfers the baby's Title to the Crown, registered on the Birth Certificate, which is a Security Bond for the State's IMF obligations.

The Strawman/person/corporation re-presents you in legal fiction commerce in order to transfer your consent into the legal jurisdiction. If you “act” in the “role” of the “person” you become an “accommodation party” invoking consent by “joinder”.

***“Acting” in the “role” of a fictional legal “person”  
obliges the “actor” to follow legislated “scripts”.***

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Sur-name</b></p> <p><i>Sur.</i>, a prefix meaning 'in addition'  '<b>Name</b>. A name is word or words used to distinguish and identify a person.'  [65 C.J.S. ' 1, pg. 1. Leading U.S. Legal encyclopedia Corpus Juris Secundum.]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Id-entity</b></p> <p><i>Id.</i>, Latin, short for <i>idem / eadem</i>, 'the same'  '<b>Entitas</b>., Latin, from <i>ent-, ens</i> 'existing'</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>'IDENTITY, evidence. Sameness.'</i>  [Bouvier's Law Dictionary 1856]</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Family, Clan, Father, Patriarch,  when used after a "Given name", the Surname forms a <b>Tradename</b>, the <b>Crown</b>, a <b>Legal Person/ality</b>, a <b>Corp/se/oration</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">"Given name", Title, first or Christian name(s)  i.e. John, or John-Henry  Given Name + Birth Registration Number  <b>on Printout = Estate Title IDENTITY</b></p>
<p><b>PERSON</b>: An entity (such as a corporation), that is recognized in law as having the rights and duties of a human being.'  [Black's Law Dictionary, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition.]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>MAN / WOMAN</b></p> <p><i>Id.</i> masculine and neuter / <i>Ead.</i> feminine  Born Equal, Sentient, Living, Flesh &amp; Blood, Soul, Endowed with Unalienable Rights</p>
<p><b>'HUMAN BEING</b>. Monster. A human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal. A monster hath no inheritable blood and cannot be heir to any land.'  [Ballentine's Law Dictionary 1930]</p>	<p>'Any <b>human being</b> is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex.' [Bouvier's Law Dictionary 1856]</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PUBLIC SERVANT</b> needs <b>PERMITS</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PRIVATE SOVEREIGN</b> serves <b>NOTICES</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Dead Fiction</b> registered on "<b>Date of Birth</b>"</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Living Fact</b> born on "<b>Birthday</b>"</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ENS LEGIS</b></p> <p>'A creature of the law; an artificial being, as contrasted with a natural person [*see below]. Applied to corporations, considered as deriving their existence entirely from the law.'  [Black's Law Dictionary 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition]</p> <p>*Grammatically, 'natural person' is synonymous with "artificial person", because 'person' overrules 'natural'. [Context - That which follows controls that which precedes. Bouvier's 1870] Therefore, 'natural' is null and void, and a 'natural person' does not exist, i.e. 'real cartoon'.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUI JURIS</b></p> <p>'Of his own right; possessing full social and civil rights; not under any legal disability, or the power of another, or guardianship. Having capacity to manage one's own affairs; not under legal disability to act for one's self.'  [Black's Law Dictionary 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition]</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OWN MASTER,  SOVEREIGN MAN / WOMAN</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>GRANTED PRIVILEGES / BENEFITS</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>UNALIENABLE RIGHTS / PROPERTIES</b></p>

<p>Only Recognised in <i>de facto</i></p> <p><b>COURT OF COMMERCE (with Statutes)</b> Greed, Ego, Argument, Dishonour, Dispute Taker</p>	<p>Only Recognised in <i>de jure</i></p> <p><b>COURT OF JUSTICE (with a Jury)</b> Fairness, Spiritual, Peaceful, Honourable, Remedy Giver</p>
<p><b>DEBT-MONEY PER SWEAT EQUITY</b> "Accommodation Party" Debtor</p>	<p><b>CREDIT INNATELY ENDOWED</b> "Secured Party" Creditor</p>
<p>Must use <b>DEBT-MONEY</b> Notes and serve as <b>SURETY</b></p>	<p>Can issue <b>PROMISSORY NOTES</b> of Credit using "<b>AUTOGRAPH</b>"</p>
<p><b>INCREASES DEBT</b> in Deadly Economy</p>	<p><b>REDUCES DEBT</b> in Living Economy</p>

## With The Autograph

Your Living Jurisdiction is declared in your written and spoken words. If you fail to declare your private Living Jurisdiction in the Common Law - the National Law of the Land, the public "legal person actors" will invariably make the "presumption" that you are "acting" as an "accommodation party" in "joinder" to a dead artificial legal "person" NAME, in Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction – the International Law of the Sea.

Any registered NAME formed by joining an appellation ("Given name") with a Family name, often prefixed with a fictional title such as Mr/Mrs/Miss/Captain/Officer/Judge etc, such as **MR JOHN DOE**, is a dead artificial legal "person".

The NAME can be described as a "person", "legal person", "legal personality", "artificial person", "legal fiction", "company", "trade-name", "vessel", "transmitting utility", "creature of the law", "Ward of the State", "employee of the State", "franchise", "ens legis", "public servant", "Estate trust", "deceased Estate", "decedent", "corporation", or "corpse".

On any document, to avoid the presumption of "joinder" to one of those dead fictional things, it is at least necessary to use proper grammar, and ideally reserve all your rights. For example: **By: John: of the family Doe. All Rights Reserved.**

On commercial paper, to avoid the presumption of "joinder" to one of those dead fictional things, bearing liability, it is necessary to **separate yourself from the fictional entity by making an unambiguous declaration of your living standing as, for example, the "authorised agent"**. This invokes the Common Law of Agency, in which the general rule is that the agent is not liable for the fictional entity/principal, if he/she makes it clear that he/she is the agent. For example: **By: John: of the family Doe. Authorised Agent for MR JOHN DOE.**

Importantly, a living man or woman does not make a "signature". Section 3-401 of the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), states that a signature can be made (i) manually or by means of a device or machine, and (ii) by the use of any name, including any trade or assumed name, or by

any word, mark, or symbol executed or adopted by a “person”. Thus, it is any mark of a corporation.

A “**signature**” is a “sign” that merely suggests the existence of something else not actually there – it is lacking evidence of substance and living capacity. Someone who makes a “signature” is an “accommodation party” in “joinder” to an artificial legal “person” NAME, with **Dead Status**, serving as surety for the corporate national debt in the **Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction – the International Law of the Sea**.

Whereas an “**autograph**” is a graphic from your living hand as a sentient, flesh and blood man or woman – it provides evidence of substance and living capacity, which is why a thumbprint is the ultimate “autograph” for a living man or woman. Someone who makes an “autograph” declares that they are a sovereign man or woman, with **Living Standing**, in the **Common Law Jurisdiction – the National Law of the Land**.

Signature	Autograph
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SIGNATURE Fiction</b> <i>John Henry Doe</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>AUTOGRAPH Fact</b> <i>By: John-Henry: Doe</i> <i>All Rights Reserved</i></p>
<p><b>sign</b> <i>n.</i>  <b>1.</b> Something that suggests the presence or existence of a fact, condition, or quality.  <b>2.</b> a. An act or gesture used to convey an idea, a desire, information, or a command: gave the go-ahead sign. b. Sign language.                      [The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition copyright ©2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Updated in 2009.]</p>	<p><b>Auto-</b>                      A prefix meaning "oneself," as in <i>autoimmune</i>. It also means "by itself, automatic," as in <i>autonomic</i>, governing by itself.                      [The American Heritage® Science Dictionary Copyright © 2005 by Houghton Mifflin Company.]  <b>auto-</b> (o.təu) <i>prefix</i>  <b>1.</b> for or by oneself or itself. <b>2.</b> Same as <b>auto</b>.                      [Kernerman English Multilingual Dictionary © 2006-13]</p>
<p><b>sign</b> <i>n</i>  <b>1.</b> something that indicates or acts as a token of a fact, condition, etc, that is not immediately or outwardly observable                      [Collins English Dictionary – Complete and Unabridged® HarperCollins Publishers 1991 - 2003]</p>	<p><b>auto-</b> or <b>aut-</b> <i>pref.</i>  <b>1.</b> Self; same: autogamy.                      [Greek, from autos, <i>self</i>.]                      [The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition copyright ©2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Updated in 2009.]</p>

<b>DEAD LEGAL PERSONALITY</b> "Artificial person", corporation	<b>LIVING LAWFUL MAN/WOMAN</b> "Natural person", human
<b>DEAD STATUS</b>	<b>LIVING STANDING</b>
<b>"LAW OF THE SEA"</b> Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction Statutes, Acts, Rules, Codes etc	<b>"LAW OF THE LAND"</b> Common Law Jurisdiction Do No Harm
<b>PUBLIC SERVANT</b>	<b>PRIVATE SOVEREIGN</b>
<b>"PUBLIC CAPACITY"</b> Limited	<b>"PRIVATE CAPACITY"</b> Unlimited
<b>LEGAL PRIVILEGES/BENEFITS</b> Granted and Revocable	<b>LAWFUL RIGHTS/PROPERTIES</b> Inherent and Inviolable
<b>LEGISLATED RIGHTS</b> Prescribed by the State	<b>UNALIENABLE RIGHTS</b> Cannot be alienated
<b>DEBTOR</b> "Accommodation Party Debtor"	<b>CREDITOR</b> "Secured Party Creditor"
<b>TRUST TRUSTEE</b>	<b>TRUST BENEFICIARY</b>

Since you are born a sovereign in your own right without a master, you decide how best to make your autograph in any given situation.



# How to Make an Autograph:

*John: Doe*

Proper English lawful "Given name" Title, separated from the shared Family name. Punctuated as a fact but may be interpreted as a "legal person" created by the State.

*By: John: Doe*

Declares that you are called forth "by way of" John, of the family Doe. The use of "by" properly establishes that you exist separately from any appellation or name, so you are not in "joinder", and only "through the name" is found the living agent.

*By: John: Doe*

**All Rights Reserved**

Declares that you are called "by way of" John, of the family Doe, and that you have reserved all your Unalienable Rights from when you were born.

*By: John: Doe*

**All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice**

Declares that you have reserved all your Unalienable Rights from when you were born, and that nothing you have written herein can be used against you in the future.

*By: John: Doe*

**Authorised Agent for JOHN DOE**

Declares that you are the private "Authorised Agent" "for" the publicly registered JOHN DOE artificial person tradename created by the State.

*By: John: Doe*

**Principal Creditor/Grantor**

Declares that you are the private "originator" of all value, commercial or otherwise, that has or can ever descend to the public JOHN DOE artificial person.

*By: John-Henry: Doe-Rae*

**By: Sovereign: John-Henry: of the family Doe-Rae, sui juris, unlimited Grantor, Authorized Agent & Representative for JOHN DOE®™ ens legis and all derivatives thereof All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice**

Declares that you are a sovereign called "by" John: of the families Doe and Rae, own master "sui juris" without legal limits, "Grantor" for your Estate, private "Authorised Agent/Representative" for the Trademark Registered "ens legis" company, however depicted, with "All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice".

*By: John-Henry*

**All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Non Assumpsit**

A thumbprint autograph can be a thumbprint alone in red ink, or it can be over-scribed with any handwritten autograph in a different colour such as blue, for clarity. It is used to evidentially declare your Living Standing, such as on living identification, affidavits, and on legal/lawful Notices. It is often followed by a further declaration confirming your living rights, and protecting yourself from liability. It absolutely rebuts any presumption that you are acting in joinder to a dead artificial legal person. Obviously, NO corporate legal fiction actor can make a thumbprint autograph.

Autograph ink is **red** (life), **blue** (sea), or **purple** (royal). **Black** ink indicates debt and death. For handwritten autographs, the declaration of Living Standing is more important than the colour of the ink, however a thumbprint autograph is always in red ink denoting your lifeblood.

The declaration of Living Standing beneath an autograph can be handwritten, typed, or rubber stamped, and can refer to the **Universal Commercial Code (UCC)**.

Including a reference to the UCC is important if you are autographing paperwork that may later go into a commercial court, because you are citing to the Judge the relevant UCC remedy/recourse for a man or woman in the Common Law Jurisdiction – the National Law of the Land, whereas the commercial "administrative courts" operate in Admiralty/Maritime Jurisdiction – the International Law of the Sea. (They will not admit this because that would disclose that they are administering the international bankruptcy of your YOUNATION.INC.)

Write/print/stamp under your autograph: **WITHOUT PREJUDICE pursuant to UCC 1-308**

When you use "Without Prejudice UCC 1-308" in connection with your autograph, you are saying: "I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally. I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement."

### Universal Commercial Code, Article 1, Sub-Section 1-308:

1-308. Performance or Acceptance Under Reservation of Rights.

(a) A party that with explicit reservation of rights performs or promises performance or assents to performance in a manner demanded or offered by the other party does not thereby prejudice the rights reserved. Such words as "without prejudice," "under protest," or the like are sufficient.

Also:

The making of a valid Reservation of Rights preserves whatever rights the person then possesses, and prevents the loss of such rights by application of concepts of waiver or estoppel. (UCC 1-308 (old 1-207).7)

When a waivable right or claim is involved, the failure to make a reservation thereof, causes a loss of the right, and bars its assertion at a later date . (UCC 1-308 (old 1-207).9)

The Sufficiency of the Reservation - Any expression indicating an intention to reserve rights, is sufficient, such as "WITHOUT PREJUDICE." (UCC 1-308 (old 1-207).4)

It is always best to reserve your rights in advance.

“Actually, it is better to use a rubber stamp, because this demonstrates that you had previously reserved your rights. The simple fact that it takes several days or a week to order and get a stamp shows that you had reserved your rights before 'autographing' the document.” - [The UCC Connection](#)

## Unalienable Rights

Your **Unalienable Rights** were not given to you by any man-made authority, nor can they be taken away by any man-made authority. The State, as a creation of the People, can only acknowledge, uphold, and protect your Unalienable Rights. However, your sovereign nation has been usurped by YOURNATION (INC.), which is bankrupt and using a debt-money system. The real property, wealth, assets and productivity of the People has been pledged as Surety for the corporate debt. This was done, and is perpetuated, by offering contracts to the private sovereign People.

By contract, your *private* **Rights and Properties** can be exchanged for *public* **Privileges and Benefits** offered by YOURNATION (INC.). By contracting into a foreign jurisdiction (Admiralty Maritime, the Law of the Sea), the sovereign People remove themselves from the protections afforded by their sovereign nation in the Common Law jurisdiction, the Law of the Land.

For example, in the United States corporation, the “Bill of Rights” and the “Constitution for the united States of America”, do not apply to a “US Citizen” of the corporation. The corporate takeover of your sovereign nation, and the subsequent denial of your Unalienable Rights by non-disclosure, is treason.

# YOUR UNALIENABLE RIGHTS

Unalienable Rights are the Inherent, Sovereign, Natural Rights that existed before the creation of the State, and which, being antecedent to and above the State, can never be taken away, diminished, altered, or liened upon by the State, subject only to the Due Process of the Common Law. Nor can any Unalienable Right be fundamentally removed, whether mistakenly by contract through non-disclosure, which is fraud and unenforceable in Law, or knowingly by renunciation, which is contrary to Natural Law.

The Original, Permanent, Unalienable Rights of every Man or Woman, include:

**The Right to Life, Freedom, Health, and the Pursuit of Happiness**

**The Right to Contract, or Not to Contract, which is Unlimited**

**The Right to Earn a Living by being Compensated with Wages, a Salary, or any trade Goods, in a Fair Exchange for one's Work**

**The Right to Travel in the Ordinary Course of one's Life and Business**

**The Right to Privacy and Confidentiality, free from Unwarranted Invasion**

**The Right to Control, and Hold one's Property, lawfully without Trespass**

**The Right to Self-Defence when threatened with Harm, Loss, or Deceit**

**The Right to Due Process of Law, with Notice and Opportunity to Defend**

**The Right to be Presumed Innocent, suffering No Detention or Arrest, No Search or Seizure, without Reasonable Cause**

**The Right to Remain Silent when accused, to avoid Self-Incrimination**

**The Right to Equality in the eyes of the Law, and to Equal Representation**

**The Right to Trial by Jury, being an Impartial Panel of one's Peers**

**The Right to Appeal in Law against Conviction or Sentence, or both**

**The Right to Expose Knowledge necessary to one's Rights and Freedoms**

**The Right to Peaceful Association, Assembly, Expression, and Protest**

<b>The Right to Practice a Religion, and to have Beliefs, of one's choosing</b>
<b>The Right to Love, and to Consensual Marriage with Children, as a Family</b>
<b>The Right to Security from Abuse, Persecution, Tyranny, and War</b>
<b>The Right to Refuse to Kill under command, by reason of Conscience</b>
<b>The Right to Live in Peace and be left alone when Law-Abiding</b>
Surely, the most critical failure of The People is their failure to ensure the teaching and common knowledge of their Unalienable Rights. If you do not know your Rights, you effectively have none. By the path of Ignorance, whether by Apathy or Deception, The People arrive in a state of Exploitation, Oppression, and Tyranny.

## Your Consent

You are born equal in standing to any other man or woman, and therefore **no power can be granted over you without your consent**. To believe otherwise is to be a slave.

*Your Right to Contract, or Not to Contract,  
is INVOLABLE and UNLIMITED.*

There is a presumption that you give up your Right of Consent when a government is elected. However, voting does not contractually surrender your inherent right to self-determination until the next election. By voting, you simply help decide which political party will form the Executive, giving that party a conditional mandate to govern subject to your consent.

Since the government is a creation of the people, it cannot possibly have jurisdiction over its creators, unless by consent. Each and every statute enacted by government legislation requires consent from each and every man and woman, including you!

But consent is given in many ways, often unknowingly. The definition of the word “consent” includes to “yield” and to “give way to”. The moment you agree to anything you are asked to do, you are giving your consent. If you get a parking ticket it is an invitation to pay, and if you pay it you are consenting to the ticket contract. Even the Police need your consent. Before an officer can arrest you they must read you your rights and then ask “do you understand” (stand under their authority), and if you say “yes” – you are consenting.

*The origin of all LEGAL jurisdiction over YOU  
is YOUR given consent.*

All statutes, codes, rules, regulations, and so-called by-“laws”, are commercial contracts written by your government that require your informed consent. **Any State document requiring a signature is a contract by consent**. If you do not consent to a statutory contract, it is not

enforceable on you.

Any attempt to make you consent is coercion. Any threat to make you “sign” a contract is extortion. Any “legal person actor” who attempts to compel you into “joinder” to the legal fiction “artificial person” without the “full disclosure” of any information that may influence your decision whether or not to consent, commits a “Crime involving deceit”, under Section 240 of the NZ Crimes Act.

***When “acting” in the “role” of an “artificial person”  
you are contracting to give a “performance”.***

An “artificial person” is a fictional creation of the State, and therefore it is controlled by the State. The legal fiction “person” is the government's property, employee, servant, franchise, debtor, surety for liabilities, bound to comply with the government's statutes (acts, bills, rules and legislative instruments), which are the “terms and conditions” of that “status”.

Fictional creatures of the State have “status”, whereas living men and women with flesh and blood arms and legs have “standing”. The “artificial person” has no “standing”. The entire concept of fictional entities is an abstraction of the mind. It is an illusion that depends utterly upon your consent. It is un-natural, and joining to a fiction is a deadly corruption of life.

**Maxim of Law:  
*Disparata non debent jungi.*  
Unequal things ought not to be joined.**

“Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any [government] law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them.” *Penhallow v. Doane's Administrators*

[Note. A government as an *artificial person* is created by incorporation, a process of legalisation through registration into the legal fiction system of commerce.]

The word *person* is a derivative of *persona*, which is a theatrical “mask” worn by actors in Greek drama. “Persons” are “actors” often “performing” roles in “costumes” (uniforms) who enact “language” (legalese) to deliver “presentations” (bills), and sometimes they “appear” in a court (theatre).

Any living man or woman who consents (knowingly or unknowingly) to “act” in the “role” of an “artificial person” is an “accommodation party” in “joinder” to the “artificial person”. This “joinder” creates an inferior “indivisible duo” (individual), surrendering the living jurisdiction (de jure lawful), and replacing it with the statutory jurisdiction (de facto legal).

***“Joinder” to an “artificial person” removes  
the lawful standing of a Man or Woman.***

**Joinder:** When a man or woman “acts” in the “role” of an “artificial person” they are an “accommodation party” invoking “joinder” to that “artificial person”. They are “acting” in a fictional *persona*. Their jurisdiction changes to that of an “artificial person” and they are therefore bound by the Statutes that control “artificial persons”. When a legal actor asks for your name, address, and date of birth, this is to establish the NAME and address of the “artificial person” - the “person”, and the date it was created or “birthed” (berthed). Men and women are “born”, and have a living “born identity”, which is of no use to a legal actor. Once “joinder” (consent) has been established, the legal actor has jurisdiction and can enforce contracts, imposing violations and fines. A legal actor can obtain jurisdiction over you by asking “Do you understand?” (stand under my authority). Withholding your family name ALWAYS avoids “joinder”, and is your Right in Law.

***YOUR given consent by SILENT acquiescence  
makes a CONTRACT in commercial law.***

The statutes, which are not “laws” but contract instruments originally meant to provide common governance to living people by consent, have been corrupted to extract “commercial energy” (credit) from the living people via fictional legal persons.

**Maxim of Law:**

***Consensus facit legem. Consent makes the law.***

**A contract is a law between the parties, which can acquire force only by consent.**

A contract is a voluntary agreement between two or more parties with the intention of creating a legal/lawful obligation. An “express contract” is one which has the terms and conditions specifically stated, orally or in writing. An “implied contract” is one which has the terms and conditions inferred, in whole or in part, ***from conduct or circumstances rather than from written or spoken words***. The only difference between an “implied contract” and an “express contract” is the way that mutual assent is given. Be very careful, because your consent can be obtained by your ***action or in-action***, including your silence (acquiescence). Your consent is **PRESUMED** by your silence, unless you say: “It ain’t me.”

**SILENCE.** *the State of a person who does not speak, or of one who refrains from speaking.*

*2. Pure and simple silence cannot be considered as a consent to a contract, except in cases when the silent person is bound in good faith to explain himself, in which case, silence gives consent.*

[Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856 Edition]

Contract Law is based on the precepts of Common Law, which simply oblige men and women not to cause harm or loss, or to deceive other men and women by denying them their Living Rights. Common Law is Common Sense.

## The Parts Of A Contract

### 1. Parties competent to contract

The parties to a contract should be competent, being of the age of consent, of sound mind, not

disqualified from contracting by any law to which s/he is subject. A flaw in capacity may be due to minority, lunacy, idiocy, drunkenness, or dissimilarity of kind. The parties should be of the same kind, being either *legal fiction actors*, or *living men/women*, allowing more than two parties but never a mixture of these kinds and their respective jurisdictions.

## **2. Free and genuine consent**

The consent of the parties to the agreement must be free and genuine. The consent of the parties should not be obtained by misrepresentation, fraud, undue influence, coercion or mistake. If the consent is obtained by any of these means, then the contract is not valid or legally/lawfully enforceable.

## **3. Full disclosure**

When negotiating a contract, *full disclosure* is the step of providing all material information, or telling the “whole truth”, about any matter which may influence the decision-making of the other party or parties before they decide to enter into a contract. If either party fails to make full disclosure, the contract is null and void.

## **4. Valuable consideration**

The consideration is something of value possessed by the parties that is brought to the contract table. This something of value is bargained for and given in exchange for a promise or a performance. The parties must each *receive a benefit* and each *suffer a detriment*. To be enforceable, a contract must have valuable consideration. A contract is unenforceable if it has insufficient or unequal consideration without agreement.

## **5. Certainty of terms**

The Terms and Conditions of the contract must be fully disclosed and agreed upon, and must be certain and fixed. Any subsequent variation of terms must be agreed.

## **6. Meeting of the minds**

A *meeting of the minds “consensus ad idem”*, occurs between the parties when they recognise each other, understand their mutual obligations, and agree. A meeting of the minds occurs between *living men/women in lawful matters* (Common Law jurisdiction), and between *legal fiction actors in legal matters* (Admiralty Maritime jurisdiction). A contract must be either Lawful or Legal. If one party to a contract makes a “signature” as an “accommodation party” to a legal fiction person, while the other party makes an “autograph” for a living man or woman, the parties are of unequal kinds, and the contract is null and void.

## **7. Autographs or Signatures**

Lawful written contracts between living men/women must carry the wet ink *autographs* of the parties, comprising living identification such as a thumbprint, but more often living standing is recognised by an *unambiguous declaration* with the handwritten wet ink *autograph*, including the prefix “By:”, and/or the words “All Rights Reserved” , “Without Prejudice” written below. Legal written contracts between legal fiction actors must carry the wet ink *signatures* of the parties, as an *accommodation* from a man/woman.

## **8. Privity of contract**



A contract exists only between the parties. No third-party can obtain rights contained within a contract, or buy or sell a contract, without the express permission of the original parties.

## Null and Void Contracts

**EVERY** document bearing your wet ink signature/autograph is a contract instrument.

If you are “**acting**” in joinder to a dead legal person, it is a “**legal**” Admiralty Maritime contract, with a “**signature**”, made in your “**public capacity**”.

If you are “**doing**” as a living man or woman, it is a “**lawful**” Common Law contract, with your “**autograph**”, made in your “**private capacity**”.

Any contract signed by one party and autographed by the other is void, because a legal fiction cannot mix with a lawful fact. The parties to a contract must be of the same kind.

**NO** written contract is enforceable if it is made without any element of a lawful contract:

1. Parties competent, of the age of consent, contract between legal or lawful entities.
2. Free and genuine consent, not obtained by fraud, deceit, coercion, or mistake.
3. Full disclosure, providing all material information that may influence a decision.
4. Sufficient consideration, something of value exchanged between the parties.
5. Certainty of terms and conditions, fixed and unable to be changed without agreement.
6. Meeting of the minds, when the parties recognise and understand their obligations.
7. Signatures or autographs, in wet ink, as recorded evidence of reciprocal consent.

### Contract Case Law:

“Failure to reveal the material facts of a license or any agreement is immediate grounds for estoppel.” *Lo Bue v. Porazzo*, 48 Cal.App.2d 82, 119, p.2d 346, 348.

“Waivers of fundamental Rights must be knowing, intentional, and voluntary acts, done with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences.” *U.S. v. Brady*, 397 U.S. 742 at 748 (1970); *U.S.v. O’Dell*, 160 F.2d 304 (6th Cir. 1947)”.

Unconscionable “contract” - “One which no sensible man not under delusion, or duress, or in distress would make, and such as no honest and fair man would accept.” *Franklin Fire Ins. Co. v. Noll*, 115 Ind. App. 289, 58 N.E.2d 947, 949, 950.

"Party cannot be bound by contract that he has not made or authorized." *Alexander v. Bosworth* (1915), 26 C.A. 589, 599, 147 P.607.

The fraudulently “presumed” quasi-contractus that binds the Declarant with the CITY/STATE agency, is void for fraud ab initio, since the de facto CITY/STATE cannot produce the material fact (consideration inducement) or the jurisdictional clause (who is subject to said statute). (SEE: *Master / Servant [Employee] Relationship -- C.J.S.*) -- “*Personal, Private, Liberty*”-

Since the “consideration” is the “life blood” of any agreement or quasi-agreement, (contractus) “...the absence of such from the record is a major manifestation of want of jurisdiction, since without evidence of consideration there can be no presumption of even a quasi-contractus. Such is the importance of a “consideration.” *Reading R.R. Co. v. Johnson*, 7 W & S (Pa.) 317

Case Law excerpts from - 'NO law requires you to record / pledge your private automobile'

## Rebut The Presumption

The presumption of “joinder” to the legal fiction NAME causes living men and women to unwittingly become the “surety” for the corporate debt of YOURNATION (INC.) in a global system of debt-money bondage.

All *legal person actors* operate on assumptions and presumptions, whether they are serving a known corporation, the “administrative courts”, or the Crown. When presenting alleged claims (presentments), face-to-face or by post, they make the presumption that you are “acting” in “joinder” to the legal fiction NAME. Jurisdiction is attached to the NAME, so when you **rebut the presumption** they have no jurisdiction and cannot proceed. Any further action is fraudulent.

***Presumption n.** a rule of law which permits a court to assume a fact is true until such time as there is a preponderance (greater weight) of evidence which disproves or outweighs (rebuts) the presumption. Each presumption is based upon a particular set of apparent facts paired with established laws, logic, reasoning or individual rights. A presumption is rebuttable in that it can be refuted by factual evidence. One can present facts to persuade the judge that the presumption is not true.*

To **rebut the presumption** it is only necessary to verify the facts.

**When a legal person actor presents an alleged claim by post**, you should respond within three (3) working days, following a written process of “conditional acceptance” upon verification of the claim. See [Conditional Acceptance](#).

**When a legal person actor presents an alleged claim face-to-face**, it is important to remain calm, polite, and reasonable, because *legal person actors*, especially those who are your *public servants*, are routinely trained to expect submission and compliance.

Here is an example of some rebuttal verbiage that follows a process of verification and declaration. Such a complete process is seldom necessary. These steps may be used in part as the need arises.

### 1/ Identify with whom you deal

“Who are you?” Get their full name, job title, public ID details, and their full address for registered/signature required mail. If a court is involved, get their insurance bond number with which you can potentially make a claim for damages. You have the right to make a video, or take

notes.

## **2/ Confirm whom they seek**

“Who is the claim against?” Unless they are seeking a living man or woman for an alleged crime against a potential or actual injured party, there is no valid claim. Remember that as a man or woman in your living private capacity, you are not a dead legal fiction NAME, nor should you answer to such a thing.

## **3/ Establish the injured party**

“Who is the injured party?” There can be no valid claim against you as a living man or woman unless another living man or woman has been injured by you and is able to come forward to verify their claim against you under oath, accepting their full commercial liability, upon penalty of perjury. Who are they? Where are they?

## **4/ Decline their contract offer**

“I don't consent to your contract offer.” Every claim presented by a *legal person actor* to a living man or woman is an offer of contract into legal fiction commerce through “joinder” to the legal fiction NAME.

## **5/ Declare your living standing**

“For and on the record, I am a living man/woman, and that is my only capacity in this matter. I reserve all my rights waiving none, including my right to remain silent.” This removes all doubt as to your living standing, while declaring your right to remain silent expressly avoids consent by acquiescence.

## **6/ Invoke their Oath of Office**

“According to your Oath of Office, are you telling me the complete truth?” This is only for *public servants*. Any non-disclosure/omission will be lying and make them liable for damages.

## **7/ Serve a Notice**

“For and on the record, I, a living man/woman, hereby serve Notice that if you do not now provide me with 'articulable probable cause' that I am a party to a crime against a potential or actual living victim, you agree that in fact you do not have standing in this matter, and that you are liable in your private capacity, accepting your full commercial liability, upon penalty of perjury, for any false claims against me, and the resulting damages, whatsoever.” You may wish to have such a Notice handy in a notebook, to read, or you may wish to physically serve a printed Notice, perhaps on a card.

## **Brief Traffic Stop**

“Is this a criminal investigation?”

If the answer is “No” you have established that the officer is not serving as a “Peace Officer” investigating, with “articulable probable cause”, an alleged crime against a potential or actual injured party. You can now say:

“I wish to leave. Am I free to go?”

If the answer is “No” you have established that the officer is attempting a “detention/seizure/arrest”, without “articulable probable cause”. If the “detention/seizure/arrest” continues, you can calmly repeat:  
“I wish to leave. Am I free to go?”

### **A One-Liner**

“I do not accept this offer to contract, and I do not consent to these proceedings.”

### **Appoint a Trustee**

“I, a man/woman, Holder in Right of the offices of Beneficiary and Executor, for the YOUR NAME TRUST, hereby appoint (their name) in his/her public capacity to the office of Trustee for said trust to make full settlement and closure of the account.” For Public Servants only, this rebuts the presumption that you are in “joinder” to the YOUR NAME TRUST (i.e. MR JOHN DOE TRUST) as Trustee (liable), and instructs them to perform their fiduciary duty as Trustee.

### **Conditional Acceptance**

Accept any claim against you only on condition that verification is made in writing, and “signed” by the claimant, “upon penalty of perjury”, and upon their “full commercial liability”. The claimant always bears the burden of proof. Never make a claim as that places the burden of proof on you.

### **Argument**

Never argue as that establishes a disputed contract negotiation in “joinder”. Ultimately, your dispute can then be taken to an "administrative court", which is a "dispute resolution service" for legal fiction commerce.

### **Under Duress**

If forced to “sign” a contract (any document), or to do anything against your free will, declare “Under Duress”. “You have threatened me so to protect myself I will autograph 'Under Duress!'.” This is a last resort. Nothing signed or autographed under duress is valid in court.

### **Stay on Point**

Remember that you will “stand under” their jurisdiction invoking “joinder” if you agree to anything at all, such as let them into your house. And if you provide a “first name” and a “last name” like a corporation, that will be heard as “joinder”. Shut up. Stay on point.

### **A Claim of Right**

Any living man/woman can make a written “Claim of Right” as evidence of their living standing. Such a claim can include evidence of life such as a thumbprint, witnessed in a living jurisdiction by a Justice of the Peace. This “rebutts the presumption” that you are in “joinder” to a dead legal “person” NAME, and according to the Cestui Que Vie Act 1666, section IV, 'If the supposed dead Man proves to be alive, then the Title is revested.' In short, all your Rights and Properties are restored.

Obviously, a “Claim of Right” should be made known. It can be published anywhere, or served to any official, such as an Attorney-General with instructions to correct your status/standing in

all governmental matters. But do not expect others to acknowledge your living standing - the important thing is that YOU KNOW WHO/WHAT YOU ARE. There is no prescribed verbiage for a “Claim of Right”, and no authoritative process that a free man/woman must follow in order to declare their living standing, because a free man/woman is not born with a master. While it is wise to search for worldly knowledge, freedom lives in your heart. A free man/woman who seeks freedom through a higher authority, is by definition not free. Ultimately, **you must take responsibility for your life and deeds.**

### **Disclaimer**

None of the above is presented as legal or lawful advice. As a sovereign, you are responsible for your life.

## **The Power of Words**

### **Words contain “spelling”.**

The systemic and repeated use of servile words conditions a population to accept and practice servile behaviour. We the People are taught to “submit”, “petition”, and to “appeal” to our Public Servants. We are not taught the critical difference between a “person” and a “man” or “woman”. Nor are we taught that the “Law of the Sea” refers only to legal fictions in Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction – serving commerce, while the “Law of the Land” refers to lawful facts in the Common Law Jurisdiction – serving men and women.

Trick words include “[person](#)” (the definition of “person” was changed to mean “corporation” in 1862), “understand” (“do you understand?” means “stand under my authority”), and “public” (all things “public” are of the State, including its artificial creations).

Some of the most powerful words for living people are “man”, “woman”, “consent”, “private”, “property”, “administer”, “notice”, “require”, “verify”, “claim”, “trespass”, “injury”, “remedy”, “restoration”, “damages”, and “charge”. For example, a woman's children were seized by the court. She subsequently went to an “administrative court”, reclaiming her children, by stating: “I, a woman, believe that my property is being administered without my consent, and I require the immediate restoration of said property or I will be charging a dollar per second until the remedy is given.”

Note: She never used the word “children”, and would have lost if she did. She used her given first name only in court documents, and if asked for her full name would add “family of” as in “Jane: family of Smith”. Your “property” is everything that comes from your energy. The powerful words she used were “woman”, “property”, “administered”, “consent”, “require”, “restoration”, “charging”, and “remedy”.

Learning key words and phrases is essential if you wish to establish your living “standing” as a “man” or “woman” possessing unalienable rights.

<b>Words for Servants</b>	<b>Words for Sovereigns</b>
"Person"	"Man/Woman"
"Berthed" into commerce	"Born" into world
"Registered"	"Organic"
"Artificial" "Dead" "Creature of the State"	"Natural" "Living" "Flesh and Blood"
"Public" "In the Public" "Member of the Public"	"Private" "In the Private" "We The People"
"Debtor" "Taxpayer"	"Creditor" "Grantor"
"Limited"	"Unlimited"
"Request" "Submission" "Application" "Appeal" "Petition" "Plead" "Beg"	"Require" "Claim" "Demand" "Order" "Declare" "Charge" "Witness"
"Permit" (Public Servants need "Permits")	"Notice" (Private Sovereigns issue "Notices")
"Privilege" "Benefit"	"Right" "Property"
"Defendant"	"Claimant"
"Violation" "Infringement" "Infraction" "Offence" "Penalty" "Ticket" "Fine" "Misdemeanor"	"Trespass" "Damage" "Injury" "Harm" "Suffering" "Breach" "Barratry" "Wrongdoing"
"Punishment" "Forfeiture" "Confiscation" "Sentence" "Fine"	"Remedy" "Restitution" "Redress" "Restoration" "Cure" "Relief"

<p>“Ticket” “Fine” “Misdemeanor”</p>	<p>“Barratry” “Wrongdoing”</p>
<p>“Punishment” “Forfeiture” “Confiscation” “Sentence” “Fine” “Impound” “Seize” “Foreclose”</p>	<p>“Remedy” “Restitution” “Redress” “Restoration” “Cure” “Relief” “Reparation” “Damages” “Dues”</p>
<p>“Debt-Money” (Persons are Surety for “Interest-Bearing-Debt”)</p>	<p>“Sovereign Money” (People are the working “credit of the nation”)</p>
<p>“Settle the account” (In a debt-based system it is not possible to “pay”, only to “settle” with “promises to pay”)</p>	<p>“Pay the bill” (In a sovereign money system it is impossible to “pay” a “true bill” and to “set-off” against an account)</p>
<p>“Legal” “Dishonour” “Injustice”</p>	<p>“Lawful” “Honour” “Justice”</p>
<p>“Certificate” “Register” “Licence” “Fee Simple”</p>	<p>“Title” “Record” “Entitlement” “Allodial”</p>
<p>“Owner” “Equitable Interest” (Beneficial User with Liability)</p>	<p>“Controller” “Controlling Interest” (Equity Holder with Control)</p>
<p>“Trustee” (always pays)</p>	<p>“Beneficiary” (served by Trustee)</p>
<p>Trust “Fiduciary” (Public Servants have a “Fiduciary duty” to serve “We the People”)</p>	<p>Trust “Executor/Executrix” (Private Sovereigns can “administer” their “estate” NAME as “Holder in due course”)</p>
<p>“Accommodation Party”</p>	<p>“Secured Party”</p>
<p>“Corporation” “Fiction” “US Citizen”</p>	<p>“Nation” “Fact” “state citizen”</p>
<p>“Incorporated” government, “Terms &amp; Conditions”, “Contract”</p>	<p>“Unincorporated” government, “Oath of Office”, “Bond”</p>
<p>“The Constitution of the United States of America”  (“of” indicates corporation)</p>	<p>“The Constitution for the united States of America”  (“for” indicates nation)</p>

“Name” of anything	“Appellation” for man/woman
“Actor” in “role” of a “person”	“Agent” for a “person”
“Signature”	“Autograph”
“Citizen” “Resident” “Voter”	“National” “Sovereign” “Elector”
“Commerce”	“Business”
“Income”	“Earnings”
“Driver”	“Traveller”
“Address” “Residence”	“Location” “Abode”
“Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction” “Law-of-the-Sea”	“Common Law Jurisdiction” “Law-of-the-Land”
“Administrative Court” (Dispute resolution service for consenting parties)	“Court of Record” (Common Law court convened with a jury of one's peers)
“de facto” (in practice)	“de jure” (in law)
“ens legis” (an artificial being)	“sui juris” (of his/her own right)
“Foreigner”	“Native” “National”

## Your Credit

Every man and woman is born with inherent “credit” derived from **human energy**, backed by Nature. Human energy creates goods and services and is the essence of all **commercial value**.

The international bankers control and extract the “commercial energy” of people through the artificial legal “person”, which is a “debtor” providing “surety” for the national corporate debt of YOURNATION (INC.). The debt-money system began in the 1930s after the “financial reorganisation” (bankruptcy of nations). **The real property, wealth, assets and productive labour of the people, which is the living “Credit of the Nation”, was pledged by the government as Surety for the “National Debt”.** This was achieved by creating an artificial legal “person” as a bond servant on the Birth Certificate, which is certification of a Security Bond. Subsequently, private central banks were installed in every bankrupt nation to oversee the debt settlements of the people via the artificial legal “person” on the Birth Certificate.



***The commercial value of the People has been collateralized  
via the fictional “Person” as Surety for the National Debt.***

This scheme has been perpetrated by fraud. Firstly, a sovereign nation can issue its own sovereign money without debt instead of borrowing from private bankers at interest. And secondly, there has never been full disclosure made to the people whose “commercial energy” has been monetised via the artificial legal “person” as Surety for the “National Debt”. How was this done?

In 1913, the US Federal Reserve was established by private bankers. They planned to indebt the nation and the world by encouraging financial speculation and international capital flows (“Roaring Twenties”), until the global economy crashed (“Black Tuesday” 1929), after which they would foreclose (“New Deal” 1933). This was their foreclosure plan:

*“[Very] soon, every American will be required to register their biological property in a National system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a chargeback for our fiat paper currency. Every American will be forced to register or suffer not being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattel, and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading [Birth Certificate] to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, forever to remain economic slaves through taxation, secured by their pledges. They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two would figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debt to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges. This will inevitably reap to us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud which we will call “Social Insurance.” Without realizing it, every American will insure us for any loss we may incur and in this manner; every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and, we will employ the high office of the President of our dummy corporation to foment this plot against America.” [attributed to Colonel Edward Mandell House in a letter to Woodrow Wilson (President 1913-1921)]*

In due course, Congress confirmed the bankruptcy through the Joint Resolution to Suspend the Gold Standard and Abrogate the Gold Clause, June 5, 1933, House Joint Resolution (HJR) 192, June 5, 1933, 73rd Congress, 1st Session, Public Law 73-10.

Since the “New Deal” Social Security Act (1933), and the Securities and Exchange Act (1934), systems of Social Security, Social Welfare, Birth Certificate registration, and central bank monitors, have been introduced throughout all Chapter 11 bankrupt nations under the Bank for International Settlements.

The “New Deal”, known as “Social Security” in the united States of America, and “Social Welfare” in Commonwealth nations, issued public “benefits”, “privileges”, and “debt”, to the

people, in exchange for their private “rights”, “freedoms”, and their lifelong “credit” (labour and property).

## You Funded Your Loan

All debts fall ultimately to the people and to future generations. When banks are “too big to fail” they are bailed out by governments imposing further debt on the people. When governments have a debt crisis, they devise more ways to tax the people. This is because the people are the “credit of the nation”.

When a bank extends credit, for a credit card or a mortgage, it’s *your* credit, not theirs. Banks do not loan their customers' deposits, or their bank reserves. Instead, they record your credit as a bank liability on the private side of the ledger (which is hidden), and as a bank asset on the public side of the ledger (which is visible). Just like the Mafia, the banks have two sets of books.

A common misconception, taught in some economic textbooks, is that commercial banks function as intermediaries, lending their customers' deposits whenever the bank makes a “loan”. This deception has been exposed by money reformers advocating sovereign money issuance, supported by considerable evidence, and ultimately confirmed by the administrators of the Bank of England in their first quarterly bulletin of 2014:

*“Whenever a bank makes a loan, it simultaneously creates a matching deposit in the borrower’s bank account, thereby creating new money.”* - Bank of England, Quarterly Bulletin, 2014, Q1  
<http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/quarterlybulletin/2014/qb14q1prerelea semoneycreation.pdf>

Because of this instant money creation process, it has been said that banks create money “out of thin air”. But bank credit has value in the real economy, so where does that value come from?

If the source of that value was the bank, then the bank would not need customers – commercial banks could simply create as much credit as they wanted. And if the source of that value was somewhere else in the economy other than the people, then again the bank would not need customers – commercial banks would go straight to that source. But commercial banks **DO** need customers in order to issue credit, so what is it that the customers provide to the bank?

There is only one thing the loan manager in a commercial bank wants from a customer – their **signature**. The customer's signature on a “promissory note” is what creates the credit by providing “commercial energy”. The bank issues the “loan” in “exchange” for the customer's valuable “promissory note”. The “promissory note” represents the “commercial energy” of a living man or woman, which has value, and this energy can be sold and traded.

Why does your “promissory note” have value in the real economy?

Money represents innate human credit as labour and ideas, backed by Nature, and as such it is a medium of exchange for valuable goods and services. In essence, money is “energy” that “circulates” as “currency”, being “charged” and “discharged”. Your credit is only limited by

your living energy, your knowledge, and by Nature. You are born with a lifetime of credit, because you have “promise”, and other people can have “faith” in your “promise”. Therefore you can make a “promissory note” with your credit. As the “originator” of your credit, you are the living “principal creditor”.

Any medium of exchange, that allows the flow of productive energy between people, can function as money. There is no need for money to have an intrinsic value because it is simply an “energy token”.

Money is a community invention that enables trade between various parties without direct barter. Therefore, money is a “utility”, and to remain useful and stable, it should be issued and limited by the community, or nation, that uses it.

Historically, the supply of money has been limited by using a “bimetallic standard” in which the monetary unit is defined as equivalent to a certain amount of gold or silver. Unfortunately, whoever controls such commodity money wields extraordinary economic and political power.

The corruption of the medium of exchange by commodification, and by private issuance as interest-bearing debt, has hi-jacked the credit (commercial energy) of the people. The international bankers have captured the state's sovereign power of money issuance, and upon bankruptcy they have installed a debt-money system using legal “person” Trusts as “surety”. The Birth Certificate is a “bond” issued in the NAME of a Trust/Estate. When a living man or woman unwittingly acts in “joinder” to a Trust resembling their lawful name, they take on the liabilities of the Trust as a Trustee, or an “accommodation party”. In the debt-money system, the international bankers have literally become parasitic controllers of the peoples' credit, having engineered the alleged “loan” “contract”.

Banks can “lend” at interest as long as people, and governments on behalf of the people, are willing to “borrow”.

When you go into a bank for a “loan”, you are taking your credit in the form of your “promise to pay” evidenced by your signature.

On the alleged “loan” contract, your **signature** transfers your “intellectual property” to the bank, so that the note can be securitised and hypothecated on the market. Your property includes your “power of attorney” which is also surrendered, allowing the bank to access, and trade on, the Birth Certificate Security Bond issued when you were born. The Birth Certificate Bond is issued in the NAME of a Trust/Estate.

A living man or woman is a Grantor / Agent / Executor / Beneficiary / Heir to the NAME Trust/Estate. But when they surrender their “power of attorney” they lose their living standing, becoming liable as a Trustee/Debtor for the Trust/Estate, which is Surety for the national corporate debt. The bank can now access the Birth Certificate Security Bond. No one “signs” for the bank because it is a Trust agreement, not a contract. You walk in the door as a Creditor, and walk out as a Debtor.

Banks do not use Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the standard framework of guidelines for financial accounting. Instead, banks use a double entry system that accounts for both *creditor assets*, and *debtor liabilities*.

When we look at both sides of the ledger, we can see that men and women are creditors, not debtors. That’s right, we loan the bank our credit, and they multiply it in a number of ways. Banks really do “extend credit”, but it's *your* credit that is extended for *their* benefit. You are shown only the side of the ledger that records you as a debtor, while the side of the ledger that records you as a creditor is hidden. The banker elites who designed the system did not want you to know that.

On the bank's asset side of the ledger, publicly visible, showing accounts receivable, you are the debtor and the bank is the creditor, while on the banks liability side of the ledger, privately hidden, showing accounts payable, you are the creditor and the bank is the debtor.

Now you know why all debts fall ultimately to the people - you are a creditor, but only when living in your “private capacity” as a man or woman.

<b>Your Bank Account</b>	
<b>BANK ASSET (VISIBLE SIDE)</b>	<b>BANK LIABILITY (HIDDEN SIDE)</b>
<b>PUBLIC ON BALANCE SHEET</b>	<b>PRIVATE OFF BALANCE SHEET</b>
<b>ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE</b>	<b>ACCOUNTS PAYABLE</b>
<b>PROMISSORY NOTE IS LIABILITY</b>	<b>PROMISSORY NOTE IS ASSET</b>
<b>“PERSON” IS DEBTOR</b>	<b>MAN/WOMAN IS CREDITOR</b>
<b>BANK IS CREDITOR</b>	<b>BANK IS DEBTOR</b>
<b>DEAD ARTIFICIAL PERSON</b>	<b>LIVING MAN/WOMAN</b>
<b>LEGAL “LAW OF THE SEA”</b>	<b>LAWFUL “LAW OF THE LAND”</b>
<b>CHARGES</b>	<b>PREPAID SET-OFF</b>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QHKdxAVW-U>

## The Burden Of Proof

The burden is always on the party asserting a fact or law to prove what he asserts. It's never your job to disprove what he asserts! ONUS PROBANDI, evidence. It is a general rule, that the party who alleges the affirmative of any proposition shall prove it.

Who Must Do What and Why! You must understand who has the burden of proof ... and why it matters! If you're being sued, the other side has the burden of proof. If the other side files a motion, they have the burden of proof. But, sometimes the burden shifts back-and-forth. Knowing who has the burden is critical. Knowing how to shift the burden is power to win!

Don't be victimized by lawyers tricking you into thinking the burden is yours, making you struggle to “disprove” a fact or the application of law ... when the burden is not on you!

In more than 27 years as a case-winning lawyer, I've won many cases simply by forcing the court to require my opponent to “put up or shut up”.

Think how this can be applied to foreclosure or credit card cases! A credit lender asserts his alleged debtor owes, and far too often the alleged debtor spins his wheels trying to prove he doesn't owe ... instead of forcing the creditor to prove what he claims or be dismissed!

ACCEPT, DO NOT ARGUE, JUST VERIFY. THIS IS THE REAL POWER. BRING SOMETHING TO BACKUP WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. The Burden of proof is on the claimant. If you rebut every point with evidence you are arguing and will lose. Stay in honour, accept with verification. Turn it back on them. It's not about you, it's about them.'

[https://www.facebook.com/permalink.php?id=373758052741216&story\\_fbid=467556990027988](https://www.facebook.com/permalink.php?id=373758052741216&story_fbid=467556990027988)

### Maxim of Law:

*Ei incumbit probatio qui dicit, non qui negat.*

**The burden of the proof lies upon him who affirms, not he who denies.**

## Conditional Acceptance

Living “in the private” can be challenging, because regardless of your efforts to assert your living “standing”, the artificial legal “person” NAME will continue to receive demands for “payment”, or “presentments”. As a result, you may find that you are living in a near constant state of stress and dispute, wasting much of your time and energy.

We often fall into dispute because we are conditioned to be defensive. This gives credibility to a false claim and places you in dishonour. The “administrative courts” are adversarial, depending on argument to function, and being in dishonour is guilt. Whereas acceptance of the truth, and seeking the truth, is honourable. A judge who is honourable looks for evidence of the truth, so can you. When you “require” “verification”, you shift the burden of proof to the “claimant” where it belongs: *“I am happy to accept any lawful obligation when you verify what you say is true. Go ahead, show me your evidence.”*

**All presentments are offers of contract.** Presentments from governments/corporations are addressed to the artificial legal “person” NAME, so they NEED a living man or woman to step forward to take responsibility for the liabilities attached to the NAME. Presentments from governments/corporations NEED a man or woman to CONSENT to “act” in “joinder” to an artificial legal “person” NAME, forming a CONTRACT.

When you REQUIRE the CLAIMANT to VERIFY their claim, a false claim will be exposed if there is no contract signed by both parties; if no man or woman can step forward as the claimant; if there is no invoice/bill signed by that man/woman; and if there is no evidence of a loss in the accounting. *“Who is the claimant?” “Who is the injured party?” “We will happily settle the account when the claimant steps forward to sign the bill.”* Pirates hide behind corporations to avoid liability, so always **require** the claimant to come forward.

Alleged claims can be responded to with notices of “conditional acceptance”, which simply accept the claim upon verification, failing which the claimant incurs liability. This is a lawful process.

See [Noticing Presentments](#)

Some examples of notices of “conditional acceptance” can be found here:

<http://www.getoutofdebtfree.org/>

## Noticing Presentments

A presentment is a written demand for payment. Presentments addressed to the artificial legal “person” NAME, are often in all-capital-letters and/or with a fictional title such as “Mr.” or “Mrs.”. They are not addressed to a living man or woman.

All presentments that arrive in the post addressed to the artificial legal “person” NAME, sent by governments/corporations, are **offers of contract made to a living man or woman.**

This is because incorporated governments/corporations are legal fictions, and so they can only contract with other legal fictions. They NEED a living man or woman to step forward to take responsibility for the liabilities attached to the NAME. In this way, a private man or woman in their “private capacity” can contract to “act” in a “public capacity” in the “role” of an artificial legal “person” NAME.

Every type of artificial legal “person” including “taxpayer”, “resident” etc., is a debtor, and surety for the corporate national debt, in the debt-money system.

Most people are unaware of their living “standing”, and unwittingly “act” in “joinder” to the artificial legal “person” NAME which is a “creature of the State” under statutes.

Private men and women have an “inviolable” and “unlimited” power to contract, or not to contract, and therefore can decline a presentment.

Your silence is tacit acceptance of a contract, so nothing is gained by ignoring a presentment. If you do not wish to accept any Privilege or Benefit being offered in a presentment, you can return it within three working days with a label over the address window: **“NO CONTRACT – RETURN TO SENDER” or “CONTRACT DECLINED RETURN TO SENDER (CDRTS)”**.

However, it is more effective to respond with a **Notice of Conditional Acceptance**.

## Noticing a Presentment:

1. When we deal with a corporation we need someone to take liability for that corporation. Therefore, we need the real name of the man or woman who is making the claim.
2. Your Notice is "private" and must be addressed to the real name of the man or woman in their "private capacity", otherwise it will be less ineffective or ignored.
3. To ensure that someone acting for the corporation is made liable, use: "Notice To Agent Is Notice To Principal; Notice To Principal Is Notice To Agent".
4. Including "Without Prejudice" ensures that the contents cannot be used against you in any legal/lawful action at a later date.
5. A presentment must be responded to within three [3] working days. They may date it ahead of the postmark to make you too slow, so state in your Notice when their presentment was received.
6. Your Notice must be sent by registered mail, as it is a "negotiable instrument" which is a "prohibited item" via other postal methods including courierpost signature required. [Note, in New Zealand, the registered post service has been withdrawn, so the only service option is courierpost signature required.]
7. Their address must be a physical street address, not a PO Box, because we are noticing someone real, at their real location. Mail sent via a corporate PO Box is often ignored.
8. After writing and printing, turn it over and affix a 5c postage stamp to the lower right corner. Stamp or write the date over it, and scribe your autograph over it. This prevents it becoming an "inchoate" instrument, which they can complete in order to use the autograph on the front to create money. You are legally/lawfully the Postmaster, and they cannot interfere with the post.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BQ0WrVNcaJo&feature=em-subst\\_digest](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BQ0WrVNcaJo&feature=em-subst_digest)



Example

**PRIVATE**

John-Henry  
as Agent for Artificial Person  
Care of 123 Your Home Street  
Your Home Town [4567]  
New Zealand Nation  
Non-Commercial

Ben Cheating, in his private capacity  
[Chief Executive Officer,  
RACKET CORPORATION,  
4 Fraud Street, Vice City 5678]

10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016

**Ben Cheating in his Private Capacity**

Regarding: Your letter dated 5<sup>th</sup> November 2016, postmarked 8<sup>th</sup> November 2016, and received 10<sup>th</sup> November 2016.

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL; NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

We, a [man](#), in body-mind-spirit, underwriter for the artificial person [JOHN HENRY DOE](#), non-adverse, non-belligerent, non-combatant, secured creditor with power-of-attorney, have examined your recent voluntary submission of evidentiary documents claiming an account debt, and hereby conditionally accept said claim upon verification. In order to properly validate the alleged debt, we require you to provide:

1. A valid contract signed in wet ink by both parties, confirming bilateral consent.
2. A true and certified copy of the complete audit trail of said account.
3. Audit certification of debt entry in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Practice (G.A.A.P.), International Financial Reporting Standards (I.F.R.S.), the Basel III accord, and the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) conventions.
4. A copy of your Tax Registration certificate.

Failure to substantiate said claim within thirty (30) days of recorded delivery of this Notice, shall constitute legal/lawful Accord and Satisfaction given to settle and close all alleged claims and Estoppel by Acquiescence. In that event, we reserve the right to charge damages against [Ben Cheating](#), in [his](#) private capacity, under [his](#) full commercial liability, for any false claims presented thereafter regarding this matter, whatsoever.

We look forward to reviewing your evidence, or confirmation of settlement of the account.

By:



All Rights Reserved – Without Prejudice – Non Assumpsit

# The Courts

The Judiciary comprises two types of courts; a corporate “administrative court”, and a living Common Law “court of record”. A judge in an administrative court does not act judicially but as an administrator to settle the account in contractual disputes. A judge in a court of record sits judicially with a “jury of the People” tasked with repairing harm to living people. The courts are attended by “Commercial List Judges”, some of whom are designated as “jury” judges for Common Law courts.

*Common Law upholds the LAWFUL Rights of living Men and Women.  
Statutes apply Legislation to artificial LEGAL “Persons”.*

**A Statutory administrative court is for commerce, in practice (de facto).** It is a place of corporate banking for settling contract “disputes” between legal “persons”, extracting “commercial value” penalties from living men and women who (may unwittingly) consent to “act” as legal “persons” obligated by (adhesion) contracts. Administrative courts are not sanctioned by Parliament, and are not part of the de jure laws and usages of the realm. All administrative courts are UNLAWFUL because they do not have a jury present.

**ANY COURT WITHOUT A JURY PRESENT IS AN ADMINISTRATIVE COURT!**

*'The law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country, and no Act can be passed to legitimise them.'* - Halsbury's Law 2011

**A Common Law court is for justice with a jury, in law (de jure).** It is a place of evidence analysis where a jury of one's peers determines what is factual, right or wrong, just and fair. The parties are living men and women, and their decisions attempt to repair harm or loss to one or more injured parties, and to provide protections for living people. The only venue of “justice” for a living man or woman is a Constitutionally sanctioned Common Law “court of record” with a jury.

# The Law vs Statutes

The Law is the Common Law and it is the foundation of justice for living people. Laws serve **all** People equally. Laws defend our unalienable rights and freedoms, provide restoration to the injured, and through them we can live in peace and harmony with other people. The Law is the definition of the People's power, and is Common Sense. **The Law protects living people from harm, loss, and fraud.**

Statutes are the en-act-ments of the Legislature that apply to publicly registered legal entities as franchises of the incorporated State. Statutes offer “benefits and privileges” to artificial legal “person” “actors” prescribing contract “rules and regulations” by consent. Statutes can have the

appearance, or “colour of law”. **Statutes govern legal entities as a franchise benefit to the incorporated State.**

Statutes are **not** Laws. The Law is *from the People*. Statutes are *from the State*.

New Zealand is a constitutional monarchy with a parliamentary system of government. All Private Sovereign men and women, are *de jure* “in law”. All Public Servants, and other artificial legal entities, are *de facto* “in practice”. The government is divided into three branches:

LEGISLATURE	EXECUTIVE	JUDICIARY
En-Acts Statutory Legislation. Prescribes rules/regulations for legal entities. Statutes can have the <b>Colour of Law</b>	Cabinet and Departments. Manages the government to serve the People. Executive take <b>Oath to uphold The Law</b>	Administers <i>de facto</i> Statutes. Facilitates <i>de jure</i> Common Law Trial-By-Jury when the People create new <b>Case Law</b>

The People's Common Law power of justice is “Judicial”, and exercised “Lawfully” (Trial-by-Jury), whereas the State's delegated duty of management is “Executive”, and exercised “Legally” (Legislation).

Statute types are “Acts, Bills and Legislative Instruments”, and they apply to artificial legal (legislated) “*persons*”, so their texts never refer to a living “man” or “woman”. Statute titles never end with the word “Law”. Public officials habitually refer to “Acts” as “Laws”. But an “Act” is *not* a “Law”, i.e. the *Land Transport Act*, is not titled the *Land Transport Law*.

Only a few statutes acknowledge the right of living men and women to “*due process of law*”, including the *Imperial Laws Applications Act 1988*, which states that the ‘*common law ... shall be part of the laws of New Zealand*’, the *Observance of Due Process of Law Statute 1368*, which acknowledges ‘*due process*’ ... ‘*according to the old law of the land*’, and the *New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990*, which is ... ‘*for the benefit of all natural persons*’.

There is a natural compulsion to obey the Law because it safeguards our living rights and freedoms. If we do not honour the Law then it cannot afford us protection. However, obeying statutes is voluntary. We are members of the “legal society” as a matter of choice. Our consent is given unilaterally, not collectively via a government election. In a truly free nation we would give our consent freely to obtain the “benefits” and “privileges” offered by the State in the Common Law Jurisdiction. We must be aware that **the State has been incorporated to serve the debt-money system of bondage**, so the people are not offered *de jure* Common Law contracts serving the State, but *de facto* Admiralty Maritime contracts serving the Banks as surety for debt. If corrupt statutes become onerous to the common good, the people have a right to withdraw their consent in order to defend their rights, and indeed they have an obligation and a duty to do so because only the people can redress the corruption of their government.

**Statutes are contracts.** Statutes prescribe the “terms and conditions” of commercial contracts, relying for their effect upon **your consent**.

*'Kia ora. The authoritative source of Acts, Bills & Legislative Instruments'*

*'INSTRUMENT, contracts. The writing which contains some agreement, and is so called because it has been prepared as a memorial of what has taken place or been agreed upon. The agreement and the instrument in which it is contained are very different things, the latter being only evidence of the existence of the former. The instrument or form of the contract may be valid, but the contract itself may be void on account of fraud.'* – Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856

<b>Statutes/Acts</b>	<b>The Law</b>
The <b>LEGISLATURE</b> makes Statutes by the en-Act-ments of Legislation	The <b>PEOPLE</b> make The Law by the acceptance/validation of Jury decisions
"Statutes" are the State's " <b>Legal Contracts</b> ", prescribed in advance as legal fiction "Acts, Bills, Legislative Instruments"	"The Law" is the <b>People's "Common Law"</b> , recorded in real time law-making as "Case Law"
Acts are <b>CONTRACT</b> offers made effective by the informed <b>CONSENT</b> of a Man/Woman	Laws are moral <b>CUSTOMS</b> made effective by the <b>CONSCIENCE</b> of the People
' <b>ACT</b> , civil law, <b>contracts</b> . A writing which states in a legal form that a thing has been said, done, or agreed.' [Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856]	' <b>LAW</b> . When considered in relation to its origin, it is statute law or common law.' [Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856]
' <b>STATUTE</b> . The written will of the legislature...; an <u>act</u> of the legislature. ... This word is used in contradistinction to the common law. ... It is a general rule that when the provision of a statute is general, everything which is necessary to make such provision effectual is supplied by the common law.' [Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856]	' <b>LAW</b> . As a compound adjective "common-law" is understood as contrasted with or opposed to "statutory." ' [Black's Law Dictionary, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition] ' <b>LAW, COMMON</b> . The common law is that which derives its force and authority from the universal consent and immemorial practice of the people.' [Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856]
' <b>LEGAL</b> : the undoing of God's law.' [Encyclopedia Britannica, a dictionary of arts, sciences and general literature. The R.S Peale 9 <sup>th</sup> , 1893]	' <b>LAW OF NATURE</b> . The law of nature is that which God, the sovereign of the universe, has prescribed to all men...by the internal dictate of reason alone.' [Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856]
<b>STATUTES GOVERN LEGAL ENTITIES</b> as a franchise benefit to the State	<b>THE LAW PROTECTS THE PEOPLE</b> from harm, loss, and deceit

We are <b>not ALL EQUAL</b> in the texts of Statutes	We are <b>ALL EQUAL</b> in the eyes of The Law
Statutes are based on <b>PRACTICALITIES</b>	Laws are based on <b>PRINCIPLES</b>
Statutes can <b>QUICKLY</b> come and go	Laws evolve over <b>TIME</b> and often endure
<b>LEGAL</b> refers to <b>LEGISLATION</b>	<b>LAWFUL</b> refers to <b>THE LAW</b>
The Legislature cannot overturn Case Law	A Jury of People can overturn a Statute
Statutes can serve The Law but cannot diminish or expand The Law	Laws can be taken into Statutes but if repealed in Statute they remain in Law
<b>“Non-Positive Law”</b> - Statute <u>not</u> serving The Law	<b>“Positive Law”</b> - Statute serving The Law
<b>“Colour of Law”</b> - Misuse of authority without right	<b>“Moral Law”</b> - Principle of right living
<b>De facto</b> “in practice”	<b>De jure</b> “in law”
Admiralty Maritime Commercial <b>“LAW OF THE SEA”</b> Foreign International Jurisdiction	The People's Common Law <b>“LAW OF THE LAND”</b> Sovereign National Jurisdiction
<b>PROFIT</b> and <b>DISPUTE</b>	<b>PEOPLE</b> and <b>PEACE</b>
<b>STATUTES</b> are <b>ARTIFICIAL</b>	<b>THE LAW</b> is <b>LIVING</b>

## Administrative Courts

The Judiciary comprises two types of courts; a corporate “administrative court”, and a Common Law “court of record”. A judge in an administrative court does not act judicially but as an administrator to settle contractual disputes. A judge in a court of record sits judicially with a “jury of the People”. The courts are attended by “Commercial List Judges”, some of whom are designated as “jury” judges for Common Law courts.

*Common Law acknowledges the **LAWFUL Rights** possessed by living Men and Women.  
Statutes apply Legislation to artificial **LEGAL “Persons”**.*

**A Statutory administrative court is for commerce, in practice (de facto).** It is a place of corporate banking for settling contract “disputes” between legal “persons”, extracting “commercial value” penalties from living men and women who (may unwittingly) consent to “act” as legal “persons” obligated by (adhesion) contracts. Administrative courts are not sanctioned by Parliament, and are not part of the de jure laws and usages of the realm. All administrative courts are **UNLAWFUL** because they do not have a jury present.

**ANY COURT WITHOUT A JURY PRESENT IS AN ADMINISTRATIVE COURT!**

*'The law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country, and no Act can be passed to legitimise them.'* - Halsbury's Law 2011

**A Common Law court is for justice with a jury, in law (de jure).** It is a place of evidence analysis where a jury of one's peers determines what is factual, right or wrong, just and fair. The parties are living men and women, and their decisions attempt to repair harm or loss to one or more injured parties, and to provide protections for living people. The only venue of “justice” for a living man or woman is a Constitutionally sanctioned Common Law “court of record” with a jury.

***A Common Law court de jure, with a Jury,  
is the only venue of “Justice” for a living Man or Woman.***

Administrative courts operate on *assumptions and presumptions*. The Crown makes the presumption that you are “acting” in “joinder” to the NAME. So when you *rebut the presumption* they have no jurisdiction and cannot proceed. Any further action is fraudulent. To rebut the presumption it is only necessary to correct the mistake in the NAME.

***Presumption n.** a rule of law which permits a court to assume a fact is true until such time as there is a preponderance (greater weight) of evidence which disproves or outweighs (rebutts) the presumption. Each presumption is based upon a particular set of apparent facts paired with established laws, logic, reasoning or individual rights. A presumption is rebuttable in that it can be refuted by factual evidence. One can present facts to persuade the judge that the presumption is not true.*

***Jurisdiction is over the NAME, Liability is attached to the NAME,  
So correct the “mistake in the matter of the NAME”***

<b>Administrative Court</b>	<b>Common Law Court</b>
<b>NO JURY</b>	<b>JURY OF ONE'S PEERS</b>
<b>"LAW OF THE SEA"</b>	<b>"LAW OF THE LAND"</b>
<b>ADMIRALTY MARITIME Commercial Jurisdiction</b>	<b>COMMON LAW People's Jurisdiction</b>
<b>INCORPORATED COURT</b>	<b>NON-INCORPORATED COURT</b>
<b>FOR DEAD ENTITIES</b>	<b>FOR LIVING PEOPLE</b>
<b>FOR ARTIFICIAL LEGAL PERSONS</b>	<b>FOR NATURAL LAWFUL MEN/WOMEN</b>
<b>A CORPORATE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE FOR CONSENTING PARTIES</b>	<b>A CONSTITUTIONAL VENUE TO PURSUE JUSTICE FOR LIVING MEN/WOMEN</b>
<b>"Administrative Court" calculates</b>	<b>"Court of Record" weighs evidence</b>
<b>TO SETTLE THE ACCOUNT in a Commercial Transaction</b>	<b>TO REPAIR HARM AND LOSS suffered by Injured People</b>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ADMINISTRATION OF CONTRACTS BY CONSENT</b></p> <p>Legal <b>Privileges/Benefits</b> prescribed in statutes, acts, rules, codes etc.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>UPHOLDING UNALIENABLE RIGHTS</b></p> <p>Lawful <b>Rights/Properties</b> endowed to living people antecedent to the state</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>COMMERCE</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>JUSTICE</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>JUDGE TAKES BAR ASSOCIATION OATH TO SERVE “THE BAR”</b></p> <p>Judge is banker at the <i>bench</i> = <i>bank</i> in Latin</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>JUDGE TAKES “OATH OF OFFICE” TO SERVE “THE PEOPLE”</b></p> <p>“Judge, did you bring your Oath of Office?”</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>JUDGE SERVES “ADMINISTRATIVELY”</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>JUDGE SERVES “JUDICIALLY”</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Officers of the Court have Private Surety Bond</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Officers of the Court have Public Statutory Bond</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Officers of the Court have “FULL COMMERCIAL LIABILITY” In “Private Capacity”</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Officers of the Court have “LIMITED LIABILITY” In “Public Capacity”</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>COUNTRY CLUB</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SOVEREIGN NATION</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>De Facto</i> “in practice”</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>De Jure</i> “in law”</p>

## Declining To Appear

An “administrative court” is a dispute resolution service for consenting parties. A summons is an invitation “summoning” or “calling” you to accept their dispute resolution service in Admiralty Maritime jurisdiction, so of course you have the right to decline their offer of contract.

Since jurisdiction is attached to the artificial legal “person” NAME, not the living man or woman, it is essential to correct the “mistake in the matter of the NAME”, as soon as possible after a summons is received. It is not necessary to go to their court. Indeed, by simply making an “appearance” in their court you will imply your belief in the “person”, which is guilty by default since it is a debtor and surety for the national corporate debt. If you “appear” in their court theatre they will PRESUME that you are “acting” in “joinder” to an artificial legal “person” under the court's jurisdiction because YOU ARE THERE.

Alternatively, the below *Notice of Conditional Acceptance Re: Notice to Appear*, can be sent to the Registrar of the Court to decline their offer. This usually cancels out the hearing, as there is




no joinder and no proof of claim (it's just an allegation or hearsay). The Registrar of the Court accepts and stamps court documents. You can require a copy by return post for your records. You can even go to the Registrar's office, and if they refuse to make you a copy, you can take documents, copy them, then return the original(s):

*Example*

**Notice of Conditional Acceptance  
Re: Notice to Appear**

I, a man, refer to the attached NOTICE dated ....., and advise that your offer to assume jurisdiction, and your instrument, have been accepted for value and consideration upon proof of claim, and returned without dishonour within seventy-two [72] hours.

For and on behalf of **JOHN HENRY DOE™**

By: 

John-Henry: of the family Doe, Principal Creditor

All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice, waiving none ever, in my true, lawful and private capacity as beneficiary of the original inherent jurisdiction. Consent must be sought in all matters of Privity where mutuality of interest occurs.

## Strategies for Court

### “Avoid Their Courts Whenever Possible” ~ Judge “Dale”

American Courts [all administrative courts in Admiralty jurisdiction] are pseudo courts or fictions and simply are Corporate Administrative Offices designed to resemble Courts and all of their Judges are simply Executive Administrators designed to resemble Judges. The purpose of these pseudo Corporate Courts is only to settle contract disputes and since George Washington’s government was military in structure; if either party refuses to participate, these Courts cannot become involved and the dispute is dead in the water! My use of the term “dead in the water” is not a canard because these pseudo Courts are unconstitutional Courts of Admiralty, the International Law of the Sea!

The pseudo Judges of these pseudo Courts have NO powers without the Consent of both the Plaintiff and the Defendant. [AND] In every case the Judge must determine that he has Consent; Personam and Subject Matter Jurisdiction before he can act or access the Cesta Que Trust.

*NOTE: All tradable Securities must be assigned a CUSIP NUMBER before they can be offered to investors. Birth Certificates and Social Security Applications are converted into Government Securities; assigned a CUSIP NUMBER; grouped into lots and then are marketed as a Mutual Fund Investment. Upon maturity, the profits are moved into a GOVERNMENT CESTA QUE TRUST and if you are still alive, the certified documents are reinvested. It is the funds contained in this CESTA QUE TRUST that the Judge, Clerk and County Prosecutor are really after or interested in! This Trust actually pays all of your debts but nobody tells you that because the Elite consider those assets to be their property and the Federal Reserve System is responsible for the management of those Investments.*

Social Security; SSI; SSD; Medicare and Medicaid are all financed by the Trust. The government makes you pay TAXES and a portion of your wages supposedly to pay for these services, which they can borrow at any time for any reason since they cannot access the CESTA QUE TRUST to finance their Wars or to bail out Wall Street and their patron Corporations.

At your Arraignment or Trial, the Judge will ask you if you are the named individual [ALL CAPS BIRTH NAME] on the complaint and your natural response will be to answer in the affirmative but that is exactly what you don't want to do!

Remove your Birth Certificate and respond to him by stating: I am making a Special Limited Appearance on behalf of the defendant who is right here and [hold up your Birth Certificate!] Then state the following:

As I understand this process Judge; the County Attorney [or] Police Officer has leveled a criminal charge with the Clerk and against the TRUST, using the ALL CAPS NAME that appears on this BIRTH CERTIFICATE! The use of capital letters is dictated by the US Printing Style Manuel, which explains how to identify a CORPORATION. The Clerk, who is the ADMINISTRATOR of the CESTA QUE TRUST, then, appointed you Judge as the TRUSTEE for the TRUST and since neither of you can be the BENEFICIARY, that leaves me and therefore you are MY TRUSTEE! So as MY TRUSTEE, I instruct you to discharge this entire matter, with prejudice and award the penalties for these crimes to be paid to me in compensation and damages for my false arrest!

*NOTE: The Law of Trusts dictates that an Administrator; Trustee and Beneficiary cannot serve two positions in a Trust. So a Trustee cannot be a Beneficiary too!*

The TRUSTEE Judge has no alternative but to honor your demands but you have to get this right and act with confidence! You really need to know this information well, so that you can't be hoodwinked or confused by either of them! They will or may attempt to play some mind games with you if you display any doubt; stammer or display a lack confidence! Appearances [the pomp and majesty] of these pseudo Courts, is totally for your benefit and is intended to invoke fear and intimidation! If you show fear or intimidation, you get a pony ride!

If the County Attorney begins to act too cocky with you, you can take the wind out of his sails by asking him to produce the 1040 for this case? If he denies the need to do such a thing, inform

him that you will be taking care of that for him ASAP [as soon as possible]! He may move for a discharge at that point because you are a little too dangerous or smart! The last thing that Prosecutor wants is the IRS examining his files for the last seven years because he makes money on every conviction but he doesn't pay TAXES on them as a Rule! He usually only declares the salary he receives.

## **CITATIONS:**

The CITATION process can be handled much easier; through the mail. When a Police Officer issues you a CITATION, he is actually requesting you to CONTRACT with him! He is alleging that you violated a corporate regulation in writing, which you have accepted by signing and thus requires you to respond.

The Police Officer is instructed to explain that your signature is merely an acknowledgement that you received a copy of the CITATION but in actuality, your signature is notification to the Court and Judge that you have accepted or CONSENTED to this offer to CONTRACT, which also grants the Judge CONSENT; PERSONAM and SUBJECT MATTER jurisdiction over you and the case!

You can cancel that CONTRACT however by rescinding your CONSENT. The Federal Truth in Lending Act provides that any party to a CONTRACT may rescind his CONSENT, within three business days of entering into such a CONTRACT. So across the face of the CITATION you should print or type in large print, the following words:

I DO NOT ACCEPT THIS OFFER TO CONTRACT  
and  
I DO NOT CONSENT TO THESE PROCEEDINGS.

Use blue ink [for admiralty] or purple ink [for royalty]. Admiralty is the Court and Royalty represents your Sovereignty. Either way is appropriate. Sign your signature underneath in blue or purple ink and in front of a Notary and under your signature type: Without prejudice, UCC 1-308. This is another way to declare that you may not be held responsible for this Contract pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code.

Serve Cancelled Citation back on the Clerk / Court, along with a Certificate of Service, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested. This kills the CITATION; removes your CONSENT and removes the JURISDICTION of the Court, all at the same time. It really is that simple!

*NOTE: A Certificate of Service is a letter that first identifies the Citation and then defines how and when you returned the document to the Court and is signed. If not denied, it becomes a truth in commerce by Tacit Procuration. Remember to keep a copy of everything, in case the Clerk attempts to trash your response, which certainly will not happen with a Certificate of Service or if it is mailed back by the Notary. The Notary is actually a Deputy Secretary of State and is more powerful than the Court Clerk!*

## **SUMMONS and LAWSUITS:**

The SUMMONS process, whether it is defined a Civil or Criminal Action, is once again an offer to CONTRACT, despite what words are used to command your appearance or response. It too can be cancelled just by following the same procedure as the CITATION process above. A million dollar lawsuit is no different than a CITATION and both can be cancelled! Hard to believe, isn't it?

- Retired Judge and whistleblower, Judge "Dale"

<http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2013/04/the-great-american-adventure-complete-work-by-judge-dale.pdf>

## **Establishing Your Living Standing In Court**

There are times when a living man or woman finds themselves in court before a Judge, either unwittingly, or by force, despite not giving their consent, and without having caused injury to any living man or woman.

Although an "administrative court" (no jury) is a "dispute resolution service for consenting parties" (living men and women who consent to "joinder" with dead legal "persons"), it is also a place of ancient Satanic magic. This is why living people are "summoned" as if to magically "appear" in court, becoming dead. Historically, a Judge wearing a black robe is a "High Priest of Ba'al" in the Temple of Ba'al, enforcing Babylonian Talmudic Law. The Judge, or the "Black Robed Devil", requires an offering from those who "appear" in the Temple. The "Priest of Ba'al" makes a "judgement" upon the one who has given up their life, and in so doing the Priest delivers a curse (in ancient times death, in modern times debt etc), and the victim is sacrificed. The word "bailiff" derives from "ba'al", because the "bailiff" is the servant of the "High Priest of Ba'al". The Black's Law Dictionary, in this context, is a book of black magic.

*However, if you do not wish to be presumed dead, suffering "judgement", you must establish your living rights, otherwise you will simply have no rights.*

### **Maxim of Law:**

***One who does not establish their rights has none.***

To Establish Your Living Standing In Court:

1. ***"Your Honour, I am a living being. I humbly ask for remedy."***

At this point, if you have behaved with honour and respect, you may be dismissed. But the Judge is not out of options. He can leave the court and re-enter, now in a *higher* court, under Canon Admiralty Maritime jurisdiction. So you must establish your standing again, but in a *higher* manner:

2. ***"Your honor I am a living being. The flesh lives and the blood flows. Your Honour, I humbly ask for cure and maintenance."***

The Judge may be totally freaked out at this point. If he doesn't dismiss you, and decides to leave again, he comes back as a Priest, so you are in a Temple now and the court is under Talmudic

Law, which is very rare, indeed, because the Judge is at the limit of his authority and vulnerable. So you must establish your living standing appropriately by addressing him as follows:

3. ***“Your Honour, I wish to establish that I am a living being. The flesh lives and the blood flows and we are sovereign and nothing stands between myself and the divine.”***

That's it. The game is over. You control the court and can *dismiss the Judge*.

1. UCC (power of fine)
2. ADMIRALTY MARITIME (power of jail)
3. TALMUDIC (power of death)

## **U.C.C. (Uniform Commercial Code)**

The “administrative courts” are administering the international bankruptcy, and therefore they operate in the international Admiralty/Maritime/Commercial jurisdiction – the Law-of-the-Sea, and are bound by the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). The UCC is a colorable version of Admiralty.

Colorable Admiralty jurisdiction is known as “Statutory” jurisdiction.

Color of Law: “Mere semblance of a legal right.” - State ex rel. West v Des Moines, 96 Iowa 521, 65 NW 818

In order for the UCC to be implemented there had to be a provision requiring it to be in harmony with the common law. This requirement may be found at UCC Section 1-103 which, when invoked along with UCC Section 1-207, represents a powerful method of protecting your natural rights from encroachment by the government.

The Recourse appears in the Uniform Commercial Code at 1-103.6, which says: “The Code is complimentary to the Common Law, which remains in force, except where displaced by the code. A statute should be construed in harmony with the Common Law, unless there is a clear legislative intent to abrogate the Common Law.” (UCC 1-103.6)

This is the strategy we use in court. The Code recognizes the Common Law. If it did not recognize the Common Law, the government would have had to admit that the corporate State is bankrupt, and is completely owned by its creditors. But, it is not expedient to admit this, so the Code was written so as not to abolish the Common Law entirely. Therefore, if you have made a sufficient, timely, and explicit reservation of your rights at 1-207, you may then insist that the statutes be construed in harmony with the Common Law.

If the charge is a traffic ticket, you may demand that the court produce the injured person who has filed a verified complaint. If, for example, you were charged with failure to buckle your seat belt, you may ask the court: “Who was injured as a result of your failure to ‘buckle up’?” However, if the judge won’t listen to you and just moves ahead with the case, then you will want to read to him the last sentence of 103.6, which states: (2) Actually, it is better to use a rubber stamp, because this demonstrates that you had previously reserved your rights. The simple fact

that it takes several days or a week to order and get a stamp shows that you had reserved your rights before signing the document. Anderson Uniform Commercial Code Lawyers' Cooperative Publishing Co. The Code cannot be read to preclude a Common Law section. Tell the judge, "Your Honor, I can sue you under the Common Law, for violating my rights under the Uniform Commercial Code. I have a remedy, under the UCC, to reserve my rights under the Common Law. I have exercised the remedy, and now you must construe this statute in harmony with the Common Law. To be in harmony with the Common Law, you must come forth with the damaged party."

If the judge insists on proceeding with the case, just act confused and ask this question: "Let me see if I understand, Your Honor, has this court made a legal determination that sections 1-207 and 1-103 of the Uniform Commercial Code, which is the system of law you are operating under, are not valid law before this court?"

Now the judge is in a jam! How can the court throw out one part of the Code and uphold another? If he answers, "yes", then you say: "I put this court on notice that I am appealing your legal determination." Of course, the higher court will uphold the Code on appeal. The judge knows this, so once again you have boxed him in.

## What Is A "Person"?

In the imaginary world of legal fiction – and all commerce is legal fiction, a **“person” is always an artificial “legal person” of one kind or another legally generated.**

“A legal person is any subject matter to which the law attributes a merely legal or fictitious personality. This extension ... is one of the most noteworthy feats of the legal imagination .. (!) Legal persons, being the arbitrary creations of the law, may be of as many kinds as the law pleases. Those ... recognised by our own system, however, all fall within a single class, namely, corporations or bodies corporate.”

Source: Jurisprudence 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, Sweet & Maxwell Ltd (1924), Section 113, p.336

**natural person.** A human being, naturally born, versus a legally generated juridical person.

Black's Law Dictionary, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

<http://thelawdictionary.org/natural-person/>

**artificial person.** A nonhuman entity that is created by law and is legally different owning its own rights and duties.

Black's Law Dictionary, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

<http://thelawdictionary.org/artificial-person/>

**juridical person.** Entity, as a firm, that is not a single natural person, as a human being, authorized by law with duties and rights, recognised as a legal authority having a distinct identity, a legal personality. Also known as artificial person, juridical entity, juristic person, or legal person. Also refer to body corporate.

Black's Law Dictionary, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

<http://thelawdictionary.org/juridical-person/>

**natural person.** A human being, as distinguished from an artificial person created by law.

Blacks Law Dictionary, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vD8ISiJfgW4>

On the Birth Certificate, the legal **person NAME is a deceased Estate Trust**, which is why the definition for the term “person” in the United States.Inc Social Security Act 1935, includes “trust or estate”. Social Security Act 1935 DEFINITIONS SECTION 1101. “(a) *When used in this Act-* (3) *The term person means an individual, a trust or estate, a partnership, or a corporation.*”

<https://www.ssa.gov/history/35act.html>

The existence of **two entities**, the “legal person” and the “natural person” (the currently accepted legal terms for the artificial legal entity and the natural living entity) is plainly acknowledged in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990, section 29, Application to Legal Persons. “*Except where the provisions of this Bill of Rights otherwise provide, the provisions of this Bill of Rights apply, so far as practicable, for the benefit of all legal persons as well as for the benefit of all natural persons.*”

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1990/0109/latest/DLM224792.html>

Blacks Law Dictionary – Revised 4th Edition 1968, provides the following definitions of written styles:

**Capitis Diminutio** (meaning the diminishing of status through the use of capitalization) In Roman law. A diminishing or abridgment of personality; a loss or curtailment of a man's status or aggregate of legal attributes and qualifications.

**Capitis Diminutio Minima** (meaning a minimum loss of status through the use of capitalization, e.g. John Doe) - The lowest or least comprehensive degree of loss of status. This occurred where a man's family relations alone were changed. It happened upon the arrogation [pride] of a person who had been his own master, (sui juris,) [of his own right, not under any legal disability] or upon the emancipation of one who had been under the patria potestas. [Parental authority] It left the rights of liberty and citizenship unaltered. See Inst. 1, 16, pr.; 1, 2, 3; Dig. 4, 5, 11; Mackeld. Rom.Law, 144.

**Capitis Diminutio Media** (meaning a medium loss of status through the use of capitalization, e.g. John DOE) - A lessor or medium loss of status. This occurred where a man loses his rights of citizenship, but without losing his liberty. It carried away also the family rights.

**Capitis Diminutio Maxima** (meaning a maximum loss of status through the use of capitalization, e.g. JOHN DOE or DOE JOHN) - The highest or most comprehensive loss of status. This occurred when a man's condition was changed from one of freedom to one of bondage, when he became a slave. It swept away with it all rights of citizenship and all family rights.

Note the types of “persons” evidenced by the following styles:

John Henry Doe = Foreign Situs Trust  
JOHN HENRY DOE = Cestui Que Vie ESTATE Trust  
JOHN H. DOE = Public Transmitting Utility

See Video: <https://livingintheprivate.blogspot.co.nz/p/what-is-person.html>

**All content from:**  
<https://livingintheprivate.blogspot.com>

**Donate Bitcoin to Author**



14R9nnN5RcXxRgGhBZo4FFqskHVMJajpPQ  
(bitcoin details taken from website noted above)