



NaturalSolutions
F O U N D A T I O N

WHO: The Singing Pig

Rima E. Laibow, MD
Medical Director, Natural Solutions Foundation

Dr.Rima@NaturalSolutionsFoundation.com

www.PreventGenocide2030.org

www.OpenSourceTruth.com



“Whose bread I eat, his song I sing”

“You can put lipstick on a pig, but it’s still a pig”

***WHO’s Biggest Hits: Big Pharma/Private Foundation Boogie Woogie
The Vaccinate, Depopulate, Enslavement Waltz***

Click on the “ACT” button at the top of that page and record your message, post the link to your video and/or propose your own Action Item to stop the Singing Pig, WHO, from destroying our lives and our freedoms.

Then visit www.OpenSourceTruth.com and sign up for the Natural Solutions Foundation newsletter. (You’ll find us at Rumble/NaturalSolutionsFoundation and on Telegram/OpenSourceTruth as well).

Now tell your friends. All of them. They “have a dog in this fight” against the Singing Pig, too.

Deeply and publicly committed to massive population reduction¹ and the total overhauling of human society to its master’s service and liking (e.g., “The Great Reset” via its special arrangement with the World Economic Forum², One Health³, Agenda 2030,^{4,5,6} global water rights⁷, transhumanism⁸ etc.), WHO is not only consistently unsuccessful at dealing with health matters, it is irredeemably corrupt on a breathtakingly enormous scale.^{9,10,11}

WHO’s magnificent PR and brilliantly executed, massive quantities of seemingly authoritative publications, studies, reports and initiatives, position it as an organization rich in compassion, humanitarian values, scientific acumen, public health wisdom and intellectual honesty. This drapes WHO with a carefully crafted aura of reliability, wisdom, accuracy and authority. The reality, however, is far different: WHO is none of those things and is far from worthy of the public trust.

Corrupting and distorting science, public policy and reality, WHO is poised to dissolve both national and personal sovereignty and independence in the service of its masters, the pharmaceutical and economic industries and their genocidal controllers. The mechanisms of this destruction include, but are not limited to, the implementation of the dangerously interlinked Agenda 2030¹², One Health,¹³ the International Health Regulations,¹⁴ through

¹ [The Decade of Vaccination: WHO Estimates “Vaccines” Will Reduce the World’s Population by 15% \(substack.com\)](http://substack.com)

² [World Economic Forum and UN Sign Strategic Partnership Framework > Press releases | World Economic Forum \(weforum.org\)](http://weforum.org)

³ [What is One Health? - One Health Commission](http://onehealthcommission.org)

⁴ [Agenda 2030 – The Human Security Unit](http://agenda2030.org)

⁵ [Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | Department of Economic and Social Affairs \(un.org\)](http://un.org)

⁶ [The Sustainable Development Agenda - United Nations Sustainable Development](http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org)

⁷ [The United Nations global water conventions: Fostering sustainable development and peace | UN-Water \(unwater.org\)](http://unwater.org)

⁸ [WHO issues new recommendations on human genome editing for the advancement of public health](http://who.int)

⁹ [Why the WHO Is a Corrupt, Unhealthy Organization - LewRockwell LewRockwell.com](http://LewRockwell.com)

¹⁰ [Anatomy of Corruption: WHO Public Health Guidelines – Alliance for Human Research Protection \(ahrp.org\)](http://ahrp.org)

¹¹ [The Corruption of the World Health Organization \(WHO\) - American Thinker](http://AmericanThinker.com)

¹² [Immunization Agenda 2030 \(who.int\)](http://who.int)

¹³ [One health \(who.int\)](http://who.int)

¹⁴ [International health regulations \(who.int\)](http://who.int)

tools like the newly minted Intergovernmental Negotiating Body¹⁵ and the proposed International Treaty on Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness and Response.¹⁶

The recent COVID-19-related responses and recommendations from WHO, (i.e., masking, social distancing, school closures, business restrictions, family gathering and religious observance prohibitions, travel curtailment, quarantining the healthy, discouragement and active suppression of early diagnosis and treatment, experimental interventions in the pregnant and, most horrifyingly, gene-altering “therapy” misrepresented as helpful medical intervention) have been individually and collectively nothing short of disastrous. Each of them has not only been based on non-existent science, but each has inflicted nearly unfathomable harm on the populations of the entire world. Collectively, they have worked to destabilize and damage nearly every aspect of life locally, regionally, nationally and internationally. The failures of policy, implementation, science, communication and candor are not merely uniquely ill-advised errors peculiar to this emergent situation. Each distortion of fact, imposition of tyranny, financial destruction and abrogation of personal and national sovereign rights is part of an ongoing and consistent pattern of incompetence (at best) or intentional harm, up to and including genocide, that is, massive numbers of intended deaths, (at worst).

The deadly experimental mRNA and DNA ‘kill shots’ were well-known to compromise immune function, fertility, blood clotting mechanisms, neurological and cardiovascular function long before they were deployed for general use. The FDA and Pfizer documents reluctantly now being released on Court order of Pfizer’s clinical trials results ¹⁷ show:

- Numerous methodological and scientific irregularities
- Suppression of high levels of adverse events and other damaging data
- Corruption and conflicts of interest
- Contamination of product and results
- Documentation of the dangers of exposure of unvaccinated people to vaccinated persons creating risk of contagion and contamination (e.g., transfection, shedding).

All of these direct and indirect risks are produced by an injection which neither prevents nor limits the spread of what is, in essence, a highly survivable, minor flu-like disorder.

Despite the known risks, these experimental injections were endlessly pumped and pushed by WHO. Pregnant women^{18, 19} were assured that they and their fetuses were protected by the shots²⁰ when, in fact, both were seriously endangered and women of childbearing age who

¹⁵ [Intergovernmental Negotiating Body \(INB\) \(who.int\)](https://www.who.int/news/item/20-03-2020-intergovernmental-negotiating-body)

¹⁶ [International Treaty/Framework Convention for pandemic preparedness and response \(who.int\)](https://www.who.int/news/item/20-03-2020-international-treaty-framework-convention-for-pandemic-preparedness-and-response)

¹⁷ [Judge Orders FDA To Expedite Release Of Pfizer Data On COVID-19 Vaccine: ‘Paramount Public Importance’ | The Daily Wire](https://www.thedailywire.com/news/article/111111-judge-orders-fda-to-expedite-release-of-pfizer-data-on-covid-19-vaccine-paramount-public-importance/)

¹⁸ [COVID-19 Vaccines While Pregnant or Breastfeeding \(cdc.gov\)](https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2020/s0513-covid-19-pregnant.html)

¹⁹ [Coronavirus disease \(COVID-19\): Pregnancy, childbirth and the postnatal period \(who.int\)](https://www.who.int/news/item/20-03-2020-coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-pregnancy-childbirth-and-the-postnatal-period)

²⁰ [Pregnant Women and COVID Vaccine: What to Know as CDC Issues Urgent Alert – NBC Chicago](https://www.nbcchicago.com/news/health/pregnant-women-and-covid-vaccine-what-to-know-as-cdc-issues-urgent-alert-105111/)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

might be or were pregnant were excluded from the trials.²¹ Many pregnant women who followed WHO's advice lost their babies^{22, 23, 24, 25} and their future fertility may have been permanently compromised. WHO has admitted in its own hearings that the scientific data assuring safety of these vaccines is completely absent.²⁶

All-cause mortality is skyrocketing in vaccinated young and middle-aged people, the working well.^{27, 28}

²¹ [Pregnant women excluded from Pfizer, Moderna COVID-19 vaccine trials \(usatoday.com\)](https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/health/2021/01/14/pregnant-women-excluded-from-pfizer-moderna-covid-19-vaccine-trials/7111110002/)

²² [Thirty-Four Women Report Spontaneous Miscarriages or Stillbirths After Receiving Covid Vaccine \(organiclifestylemagazine.com\)](https://www.organiclifestylemagazine.com/news/thirty-four-women-report-spontaneous-miscarriages-or-stillbirths-after-receiving-covid-vaccine/)

²³ [Until October, VAERS records 2.5 times more deaths after Covid vaccines than after all vaccines in last 30 years - The Rio Times \(riotimesonline.com\)](https://www.riotimesonline.com/health/2021/10/25/Until-October-VAERS-records-2.5-times-more-deaths-after-Covid-vaccines-than-after-all-vaccines-in-last-30-years-/)

²⁴ [Funeral Embalmer: 85% of Dead Bodies Now Have Strange Blood Clots Since COVID Vaccine Roll-outs - Vaccine Impact](https://www.vaccineimpact.com/news/funeral-embalmer-85-of-dead-bodies-now-have-strange-blood-clots-since-covid-vaccine-roll-outs-)


²⁵ [43,898 Dead 4,190,493 Injured Following COVID-19 Vaccines in European Database of Adverse Reactions \(healthimpactnews.com\)](https://www.healthimpactnews.com/news/43898-dead-4190493-injured-following-covid-19-vaccines-in-european-database-of-adverse-reactions/)

²⁶ [World Health Organization's Closed-Door Video Shows Claim "Science Is Settled" About Vaccine Safety Is Dangerously Wrong, Says ICAN's Del Bigtree \(prnewswire.com\)](https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/world-health-organization-s-closed-door-video-shows-claim-science-is-settled-about-vaccine-safety-is-dangerously-wrong-says-ican-s-del-bigtree-301111111.html)


²⁷ [Mortality Rates Are on the Rise. Are COVID Vaccines to Blame? • Children's Health Defense \(childrenshealthdefense.org\)](https://www.childrenshealthdefense.org/press-releases/mortality-rates-are-on-the-rise-are-covid-vaccines-to-blame/)

²⁸ [All-Cause Mortality by Vaccination Status \(substack.com\)](https://www.substack.com/p/all-cause-mortality-by-vaccination-status)

All-Cause Mortality Annual Rate Per 100,000 Ages 40-49 - England 2021-2022



All-Cause Mortality Annual Rate Per 100,000 Ages 18-39 - England 2021-2022



29

Longevity has declined in the US³⁰ and around the world³¹ associated with the sheer magnitude of these losses. Children now are being diagnosed with myocardial inflammation and infarction, conditions virtually unknown in the pediatric population before the injection rollouts. New life-impairing conditions such as “Sudden Adult Death Syndrome”,³²,

²⁹ [All-Cause Mortality by Vaccination Status \(substack.com\)](https://www.substack.com)

³⁰ [US life expectancy continues historic decline with another drop in 2021, study finds - CNN](https://www.cnn.com)

³¹ [Feature: People are dying unexpectedly – why the silence? | Alliance For Natural Health \(anhinternational.org\)](https://www.alliancefornaturalhealth.org)

³² [Sudden Adult Death Syndrome baffles doctors | The Spectator Australia](https://www.spectator.com.au)

[SADS = Sudden Adult Death Syndrome: Are You Buying It? I'm Not. \(substack.com\)](#)³³ horrifying clotting aberrations never before seen by either physicians or morticians, wildly aggressive cancers, recrudescent infections and auto-immune conditions are now, we are told, to be considered the norm rather than laid at the doorstep of the single deadliest experimental medical intervention ever foisted on humanity.

WHO supported, encouraged, and demanded irrational, dangerous and bizarre measures in the face of the manufactured, manipulated and misrepresented “pandemic” designed, I believe, to increase the destruction and deadly impact not of the disease itself, but of the measures intended to destroy as much global well-being as possible.

Since the intent of WHO’s program is to reduce population, corral and control the entire remaining human population and control every aspect of life, the more frightened, disorganized, needy and desperate the world is, the easier the implementation of Agenda 2030, the UN program destroying all personal and national sovereignty, will become.

Among the measure totally unsupported by scientific data but endorsed and enforced by WHO and its national and international partners in crime we can count:

- Masking, leading to
 - World-wide, likely irreversible cognitive and developmental damage to an entire generation of children for no benefit and massive risk with 2/3 of the new benchmark measures moved to older ages since younger children were failing to reach those milestones³⁴
 - Increase in Corona virus risk³⁵, fungal and bacterial exposure³⁶ and bacterial pneumonia³⁷
 - Mental health degradation as people perceive themselves to be constantly endangered by everyone they encounter^{38, 39, 40}
- Social distancing, which has no scientific basis,⁴¹ depriving humans of the skin to skin and social proximity, physical and social contact necessary for healthy psychological,⁴² cardiac⁴³ and immunological⁴⁴ functioning

³³ [SADS = Sudden Adult Death Syndrome: Are You Buying It? I'm Not. \(substack.com\)](#)

³⁴ [CDC Lowers Speech Standards for Children \(theepochtimes.com\)](#)

³⁵ [Masks may actually increase your coronavirus risk if worn improperly, surgeon general warns - CNN](#)

³⁶ [Horowitz: New study finds masks become disgusting bacteria traps as mandates continue - Conservative Review](#)

³⁷ [Medical Doctor Warns that “Bacterial Pneumonias Are on the Rise” from Mask Wearing - Global ResearchGlobal Research - Centre for Research on Globalization](#)

³⁸ [Time to Talk About Masks and Mental Health - The American Conservative](#)

³⁹ [Coronavirus - mask anxiety, face coverings and mental health - Mind](#)

⁴⁰ [Masking Children: Tragic, Unscientific, and Damaging | AIER](#)

⁴¹ [MIT researchers say six foot Covid social distancing rule has no basis | news.com.au — Australia’s leading news site](#)

⁴² [Psychological stress and its relation to social distancing among a sample of Saudi during COVID-19 pandemic - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#)

⁴³ [Loneliness, Social Isolation, and Cardiovascular Health - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#)

⁴⁴ [Covid distancing may have weakened children’s immune system, experts say | Children | The Guardian](#)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

- Physical isolation, including the irrational quarantining of the healthy, a public ill health measure never used before in human history to control the spread of a disease, and which, predictably, failed spectacularly but imposed massive damage on populations, their education, cohesion and their economy
- Restrictions on gatherings for educational, social, recreational, economic and religious purposes, resulting in emotional, economic, scholastic and cultural damage whose full impact may never be fully known
- Cataclysmic increases in mortality through the irrational, unscientific, intentionally deadly suppression of early treatment^{45, 46, 47, 48}

“The UN wishes to create a ‘New World Order’ with a ‘One World Government’ by 2030 as part of its Agenda 21 Goals set out in 1992⁴⁹. These were all incremental “CHANGE” goals to reach the ultimate One World Government goal. These include One Government, One Cashless Currency, All Schools, Colleges, Universities under One & an end to single-family homes.”⁵⁰

As part of that aim, the WHO has been actively complicit in creating several sham pandemics (more about that below). The COVID-19 sham pandemic, despite COVID-19’s alleged “sudden” emergence in late 2019, actually centered around a bioweapon produced by US sponsored Gain of Function research in Durham, NC and Wuhan China.⁵¹ In 2015, a “System and Method for Testing for COVID-19’ was patented by Richard Rothschild, despite the fact that COVID-19 allegedly would not exist for 4 more years.⁵²

Despite its supposed non-existence, by 2017-18 millions of Covid-19 PCR test kits were sold and shipped worldwide under the product code “HS Code 300215: Covid-19 Test Kits”.^{53, 54}

WHO is WHO?

In 1945, the United Nations, presented to the public as a means to end war, but intended from the first as a global mechanism to absorb and ultimately dissolve individual sovereign nations, included plans for a ‘global health organization’. The World Health Organization was created to fill that role: its Constitution⁵⁵ came into force on April 7, 1948.

⁴⁵ [Why the Corruption of the World Health Organization \(WHO\) is the Biggest Threat to the World’s Public Health of Our Time](#),” by Søren Ventegdt, Director of Quality of Life Research Center in Copenhagen, Denmark, published in the *Journal of Integrative Medicine & Therapy* (2015)

⁴⁶ [COVID Treatment Suppression Is Killing Americans \(townhall.com\)](#)

⁴⁷ [Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline \(who.int\)](#)

⁴⁸ [Guideline Clinical management of COVID-19 patients: living guideline, 18 November 2021 \(who.int\)](#)

⁴⁹ [THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development \(un.org\)](#)

⁵⁰ [Is UN One World Government 2030/Agenda 21 & Corona Pandemic linked? \(shenaliwaduge.com\)](#)

⁵¹ [The Lancet COVID-19 Commission](#)

⁵² [US20200279585A1 - System and Method for Testing for COVID-19 - Google Patents](#)

⁵³ [Is UN One World Government 2030/Agenda 21 & Corona Pandemic linked? \(shenaliwaduge.com\)](#)

⁵⁴ [Proof That the Pandemic Was Planned & With Purpose | Madison Area Lyme Support Group](#)

⁵⁵ [Constitution of the World Health Organization \(who.int\)](#)

WHO's founding document is filled with high-minded phrases which carry not the seeds of widespread health and health freedom, but the seeds of a global tyranny more comprehensive and brutal than any dictator before has ever dreamed of achieving.

*"The health of all peoples is fundamental to the attainment of peace and security and is dependent on the fullest co-operation of individuals and States"*⁵⁶ seems both obvious and benevolent. And, utilizing the commonly understood meanings for those words, it is.

WHO and other globalist organizations use language in a highly abusive and very particular way by unilaterally changing the definitions of commonly used words. While we think that we are talking about one thing and agreeing to conditions, terms and meanings we believe we understand based on the common usage of the words involved, the altered meanings given to those jargonized words entrap us into agreeing to things we would never dream of accepting since, in WHO "Newspeak", they have given special meaning. Through jargonization and implementation of Agenda 2030,^{57 58, 59} WHO's founding principles now "mean" that WHO has the self-conferred "right" to seize control of literally every aspect of human, animal, plant, mineral, atmospheric, agricultural and aquatic existence on the planet allowing full spectrum dominance on Planet Earth under, for example, the rubric "One Health".⁶⁰


⁵⁶ Ibid

⁵⁷ [Agenda 2030 – The Human Security Unit](#)

⁵⁸ [Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | Department of Economic and Social Affairs \(un.org\)](#)

⁵⁹ [The Sustainable Development Agenda - United Nations Sustainable Development](#)

⁶⁰ [One health GLOBAL \(who.int\)](#)



“Pandemic” has, in effect, under current jargon conditions, no real meaning at all. Yet declaration of a “pandemic” throws the world into massive convulsions of preparation, preparation and, most of all, panic. No reality needed, simply a jargon word.

Changing the meaning of “pandemic” so that it no longer carries within it the threat to life of large numbers of people, and giving the Director General of WHO absolute unilateral power to declare a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) for any reason or, as with Monkey Pox, no reason at all, allows WHO to set a series of potentially catastrophic events in motion consuming attention, resources and distorting reality to achieve its surveillance and control goals. Margaret Chan, MD, Director General of WHO accomplished this anti-public health feat in 2009.⁶¹

If that were not bad enough, secret “negotiations” which do not include you or me but are strictly limited to “Relevant Stakeholders”⁶², are underway to expand that power so that once a PHEIC is

⁶¹ [The Pandemic Is Political \(forbes.com\)](http://forbes.com)

⁶² [c2b8ac09-fce9-46bd-bfe7-9869b0ace72e.pdf.pdf](https://www.natural-solutions.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/c2b8ac09-fce9-46bd-bfe7-9869b0ace72e.pdf.pdf)

declared, WHO can literally take over all governance and health measures world-wide among its member states. No such take over can occur among non-member states, of course.

The vast majority of WHO's funding comes from a group of these "Relevant Stakeholders", including the overtly genocidal Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (\$751 Million US) (whose original name was "the Bill and Melinda Gates Institute for Population Control")⁶³, GAVI Alliance (\$432 Million US) Rockefeller Foundation, among others, and the pharmaceutical, financial, travel and other industry interests.⁶⁴ You and I have no seat at the table where decisions impacting every aspect of our lives and that of all future people, are being made.

Initially, WHO was set up as, and functioned as, an independent organization, funded solely by member states: funds were not earmarked for any specific policy. Over time, however, WHO traded independence, and any remaining shreds of integrity, for big money from industry and private organizations.⁶⁵ Halfdan Mahler, WHO's Director General (1973 - 1988), shared his concern with the power of the pharmaceutical industry over the WHO when he told the Danish newspaper Politiken: "*this industry is taking over WHO*".⁶⁶

One of the songs WHO, the well-dressed pig, sings is the ***Big Pharma/Private Foundation Boogie Woogie***. But there's another song, too: the ***Vaccinate, Depopulate, Enslave Waltz***.

On one of its beautifully designed, compellingly attractive pages, WHO proudly proclaims,

"Vaccines for everyone, everywhere

We created the Go Give One campaign based on the simple belief that individuals, wherever they are, can play a powerful role in the fight against COVID-19 by investing in the health of their world.

A fundraising campaign, with no borders, Go Give One calls on everyone, everywhere to play their part in helping to vaccinate the world. Money raised goes to an international fund called COVAX AMC, which buys COVID-19 vaccines for the world, starting with those who need it the most.

*By donating one or more vaccines today, we can achieve so much together."*⁶⁷

The goal of 'vaccines for everyone, everywhere', is consistent with the Immunization Agenda 2030, "A Global Strategy to Leave No One Behind"⁶⁸ which makes it clear that every man, woman and child on the planet will be vaccinated with "500 new vaccines by 2030."

⁶³ [original name of the Bill and Melinda gates foundation - Video Search Results \(yahoo.com\)](#)

⁶⁴ [20-21-top-20-overall-contributors-to-who.jpg \(3947x4978\)](#)

⁶⁵ [Anatomy of Corruption: WHO Public Health Guidelines – Alliance for Human Research Protection \(ahrp.org\)](#)



⁶⁶ [Why the Corruption of the World Health Organization \(WHO\) is the Biggest Threat to the World's Public Health of Our Time](#), Søren Ventegdt, Director of Quality of Life Research Center in Copenhagen, Denmark, published in the *Journal of Integrative Medicine & Therapy* (2015)

⁶⁷ [WHO Foundation | Homepage](#)

⁶⁸ [Home \(immunizationagenda2030.org\)](#)

Table 1. Proposed IA2030 Impact Goal Indicators and Targets

Impact Goal		Indicator	2030 Target
1 Prevent Disease	Save lives	1.1 Number of future deaths averted through immunization ⁱ	50 million future deaths averted globally
	Control, eliminate & eradicate VPDs	1.2 Number and % of countries achieving endorsed regional or global VPD control, elimination and eradication targets ⁱ	All countries achieve the endorsed regional or global VPD control, elimination and eradication targets
	Reduce VPD outbreaks	1.3 Number of large or disruptive VPD outbreaks ⁱⁱ	All selected VPDsⁱⁱⁱ have a declining trend in the global annual number of large or disruptive outbreaks
2 Promote Equity	Leave no one behind	2.1 Number of zero dose children	50% reduction in the number of zero dose children at country, regional, and global levels
	Provide access to all vaccines	2.2 Introduction of new or under-utilized vaccines ^{iv} in low and middle income countries	500 vaccine introductions
3 Build strong immunization programmes	Deliver across the life course	3.1 Vaccination coverage across the life course (DTP3, MCV2, PCV3, HPVc) ^v	90% global coverage for DTP3, MCV2, PCV3, and HPVc
	Contribute to PHC/ UHC	3.2 UHC Index of Service Coverage	Improve UHC Index of Service Coverage at country, regional, and global levels



This determination to serve its funding masters has led again and again to vast profits for its masters and unspeakable harm to the people whose health it purports to support.

In secret.

⁶⁹ [Implementing the Immunization Agenda 2030 \(who.int\)](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240011063)

⁷⁰ [IA2030_FrameworkForActionv04.pdf](#)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

Unlike a non-profit, WHO is not accountable to public scrutiny. Its internal documents are not accessible through Freedom of Information and almost all of its financial doings and contracts are secret.

WHO is a captured creature, and a vigorous marketing arm of, Big Pharma and its aggressive and expansive drug and vaccine sales agendas.

“Time and again, the WHO has demonstrated its allegiance to its financial backers; adopting that have vastly enriched Pharma – even as the widespread, use – and misuse – of multi-drug cocktails and multi-virus vaccines – have caused epidemic number of serious adverse side-effects, hospitalizations, chronic illnesses, and deaths.”⁷¹

Big Pharma dictates global public health policies that the WHO initiates and promotes. Those policies have vastly enriched Big Pharma, and the WHO has been generously rewarded for its service.

Of special concern in this light is the unique and toxic relationship between WHO and Bill Gates of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the GAVI Alliance.

Gate’s financial influence over WHO is vast since, over the past 10 years or so, he has become WHO’s second biggest donor, after the United States, contributing more than the UK to the organization. *“This largesse gives him outsized influence over its agenda, one that could grow as the U.S. and the U.K. threaten to cut funding if the agency doesn’t make a better investment case. The size of his contributions have [sic] brought him an outsized influence on the WHO’s agenda. He is treated like a head of state.”*

Gates is a self-proclaimed genocidalists whose glee in the population reduction potential of vaccines is well documented.⁷² Because of his enormous financial ‘contributions’ to WHO, Gates’ priorities determine those of the WHO.

Rather than focusing on strengthening health care in poor countries – that would help to contain future outbreaks like the Ebola epidemic – the agency spends a disproportionate amount of its resources on projects with the measurable outcomes Gates prefers.

⁷¹ *Risks of Prescription Drugs*, Edited by Donald Light, PhD, 2010. See: www.PharmaMyths.net

⁷² [Bill Gates' Plan to Reduce the World Population - Conservative Alerts \(con-alerts.com\)](http://con-alerts.com)



Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus and Bill Gates

Critics of this arrangement note that Gates monopolistic philanthropy prevents genuine health measures from occupying the agency. For example, roughly 25% of WHO's budget is targeted on polio eradication and the overwhelming concern on delivering vaccines and drugs, instead of focusing on developing robust health systems, clean water and durable hygiene and sanitation programs and system means that industry makes money on dangerous and ineffective "health" policies.⁷³

WHO says that its "*overarching objective is to ensure healthy lives and to promote well-being for all at all ages*".⁷⁴ but it consistently issues public health recommendations that serves Big Pharma's interests while it causes massive harm to huge numbers of people.

Following is a small sample of the continuing stream of extreme betrayal of WHO's mission of improving the public health. In order to make sense out of their continuing betrayal, it is necessary to remember that despite their assumed veneer of scientific precision, WHO officials are not scientists. The scientists that they have available to them are allocated to WHO by its 'donors'. That guarantees that these rent-a-scientists will offer information and render decisions favorable to the donor industry.

While the major beneficiary is Big Pharma, WHO takes money, and serves, the tobacco, nuclear energy and agricultural genetic modification (GMO) industry as well. This assures that WHO's policies, attention and activities will never interfere with the business of either the multinational corporations or the industrial nations who provide the vast bulk of WHO's budget.

This disconnect between science and business agenda is so severe that Gaudenz Silberschmidt, WHO Director for Partnerships, actually made it clear in an interview that WHO distrusts and dismisses reliable science. Asked about the absence of independent checks and balances to

⁷³ [Meet the world's most powerful doctor: Bill Gates – POLITICO](#)

⁷⁴ [WHO | World Health Organization](#)

ensure that the WHO guidelines were based on credible science, he invoked the inviolability of WHO authority, and claimed that: “*it is not possible to have an external, independent review of the science that the WHO relies on, because who is to say, their review is reliable, or the review of the review is reliable?*”⁷⁵

To cite just a few of the many egregious examples of this betrayal of the public trust bestowed on this dangerous agency, mostly when Margaret Chan, MD, served as Director General of WHO (2006-2017):

- **NUCLEAR DECEPTION:** WHO minimized the catastrophic health consequences following Chernobyl nuclear explosion.
WHO’s 2005 report downplayed the dangers of radiation and falsely asserted that the 1986 Chernobyl nuclear power plant explosion resulted in ‘fewer than 50 deaths’, while acknowledging that there could possibly be 4,000 deaths attributable to the Chernobyl accident.
- It is worth noting that more than 36,000 widows of men who died as a result of Chernobyl receive death benefits from the Ukrainian government making it clear that the WHO whitewash was extraordinary, even for that organization.
In *Chernobyl: Consequences of the Catastrophe for People and the Environment*, the lead author, Alexey V. Yablokov, is a biologist and a member of the Russian Academy of Science. The Russian scientists estimated that, based on the available medical data by 2004, the death toll worldwide as a result of the Chernobyl disaster, was not 50, as stated by WHO, but 985,000. The populations most exposed include: 830,000 Clean-up workers (liquidators); 350,400 Evacuees from the 30 km zone; and 8,300,000 people in the heavily irradiated zones in Russia, Belarus and Ukraine.⁷⁶
- **SWINE FLU SCAMDEMIC:** Margaret Chan declared a world-wide “Swine Flu Pandemic” in the absence of any evidence of a severe, life-threatening, rapidly spreading illness. Despite that, she promulgated a totally preposterous estimate that 2 billion people would be infected and millions would die. \$18 Billion US vaccine sales resulted.
 - Wolfgang Wodarg, MD, former delegate to the European Council, revealed that vaccine manufacturers had launched new production programs to produce vaccines for a flu pandemic for governments to purchase and stockpile. Their global marketing plan could not be implemented without a declared pandemic by the WHO making Dr. Chan’s absurd proclamation essential for their profit structure.

⁷⁵ [Watch TrustWHO | Prime Video \(amazon.com\)](#)

⁷⁶ [Chernobyl: Consequences of the Catastrophe for People and the Environment - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

- A meeting by WHO officials and the European Scientific Working group on Influenza (ESWI) provided the bogus “scientific” support so Dr. Chan could call for preparedness against a non-existent influenza pandemic. The ESWI scientists are financed by vaccine manufacturers – Hoffmann-LaRoche, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi Pasteur, Genentech, Janssen, subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, and Novavax.

Since the definition of a pandemic at that time required “severity of illness” and rapid spread of infection to millions of people, Margaret Chan obliged by eliminating those pandemic criteria. By changing the definition to leave out severity of disease and widespread death, declaring a mild flu to be a “pandemic” WHO, intentionally set off an entirely unwarranted international panic assuring Pharma that governments around the world would purchase and stockpile the H1N1 flu vaccine in preparation for a wholly fictitious flu pandemic

- Dr. German Velasquez, then Special Advisor for Health and Development of Drugs for Neglected Diseases Institute and the Director of the WHO Secretariat of the Department of Public Health, Innovation and Intellectual Property at the Director General’s Office, was prevented from attending the private meeting between WHO officials and Pharma representatives where the deal was worked out. Dr Chan trumpeted that her estimate that billions of people would be infected, fomenting a wildly profitable global hysteria.

The media obligingly sounded the alarm constantly, terrifying people about the coming catastrophe.

“To understand the kind of pressure and stress the states and the ministries of health were put under, you need to realize that not to buy the vaccines could easily, because of the close links between the industry and the press, mean the fall of a whole government.”⁷⁷

- The scam pandemic orchestrated hysteria over a nonexistent “pandemic” gave flu vaccine manufacturers an \$18 billion US windfall.

Not all of the WHO staff bought the pandemic hysteria. Dr. Velasquez told filmmakers: *“No one at the Who was afraid. I don’t know of anyone at the WHO who got vaccinated, including the Secretary General, Margaret Chan, who stated that she hadn’t time to get vaccinated.”⁷⁸*

⁷⁷ [Global Health Policy](#), Kaiser Family Foundation, 2019

⁷⁸ TrustWHO, Op. Cit.

- **In all, the “deadly pandemic” resulted in just 331 cases of influenza in 11 countries, with 10 deaths.⁷⁹**
- The consequences were grave for the people terrified by the lie, and for the people deprived of real health care because of the \$18 Billion wasted on the scam pandemic. And while large number of people suffered major adverse events from the injections, no other consequences of note followed and the successful scam pandemic playbook was shelved for future use.
- In 2010, representatives from governments all over the world, who had spent billions of dollars for unnecessary H1N1 vaccine stockpiles, as well international organizations, all agreed that the WHO had caused an international panic and health disaster by declaring the mild H1N1 “Swine flu” to be a pandemic that was threatening mankind.
- However, the Swine flu debacle, and the aggressive promotion of the H1N1 vaccine (Pandemrix, manufactured by GlaxoSmithKline) caused thousands of people to suffer from a wide range of serious adverse effects that were actively concealed from the public.^{80 81 82}
 - Serious adverse effects included multiple systems and mechanisms of action (e.g., systemic muscle pain, Bell’s palsy, neuritis, chronic pain, parasthesias, inflammatory bowel disease, and narcolepsy, a chronic and incurable neurological condition that especially affected adolescents). Despite the strong evidence, leading medical journals refused to publish reports of these serious health events following vaccination.
 - As is typical when safety hazards involve pharmaceutical products are detected, a very long time (nine years) passed before the public learned about the serious hazards posed by the H1N1 flu vaccine. The evidence of serious hazards including deaths, was uncovered during the discovery process of a lawsuit against GSK.⁸³
- **OPIOID ADDICTION CREATION AND COLLUSION:** WHO actively colluded with opioid manufacturer Purdue⁸⁴ to deceive doctors about the addictive potential of opioids, massively expand opioid sales and consequently vastly increase global addiction

⁷⁹ [Why The WHO Faked A Pandemic](#), *Forbes*, 2010

⁸⁰ [Pandemrix vaccine: why was the public not told of early warning signs? | The BMJ](#)

⁸¹ [Re: Pandemrix vaccine: The reluctance to acknowledge adverse events after vaccination | The BMJ](#)

⁸² [High Rates of Adverse Events Linked with 2009 H1N1 Pandemic Vaccine \(contagionlive.com\)](#)


⁸³ [Pandemrix Vaccine: Why Was the Public Not Told of Early Warning Signs?](#) Peter Doshi, *BMJ* 2018; [Rapid Response](#) by Elizabeth Hart

⁸⁴ [Home - Purdue Pharma](#)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

rates. The recent U.S. Congressional investigation and report⁸⁵ makes abundantly clear the unethical, pivotal, aggressive, and long-term role WHO played in influencing prescribing physicians well beyond the U.S. to expand their opioid prescribing by obfuscating and misrepresenting the serious, often deadly addiction potential of these drugs. WHO was called in early in the plot to provide credibility to recommendations that greatly expanded the use of opioids.

FIGURE 2: INFLUENTIAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PEOPLE WITH TIES TO THE OPIOID INDUSTRY



86

⁸⁵ [Congressional Report – Corrupting Influence: Purdue & WHO \(2019\) Corrupting Influence: Purdue & the WHO: Exposing Dangerous Opioid Manufacturer Influence at the World Health Organization](#), Representatives Katherine Clark & Hal Rogers, May 2019

⁸⁶ Cong. Katherine Clark, co author Congressional Report [Purdue-WHO-Opioid-Collaboration_Cong-Report-2019.jpeg \(1430x1340\) \(ahrp.org\)](#)

- In 2000, the WHO issued its opioid guideline, [Achieving Balance in National Opioid Control Policy: Guidelines for Assessment](#)⁸⁷ According to the Congressional Report, the WHO Collaborating Centre for Policy and Communications in Cancer Care at the University of Wisconsin Pain & Policy Studies Group revealed that during the eleven year period from 1999 to 2010, it had accepted over \$1.6 million directly from Purdue.
- The WHO sought input on the formulation of its guidelines in 2007 and 2008, from multiple organizations with known financial ties to the opioid industry. This selection assured a pro-opioid industry bias in its final report. Chief among these opioid-funded organizations is the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP), which has chapters such as the European Federation of IASP and the Latin American Federation of IASP. IASP funded the WHO guidelines.
- The Congressional report states, *“The web of influence we uncovered paints a picture of a public health organization that has been manipulated by the opioid industry. The WHO appears to be lending the opioid industry its voice and credibility, and as a result, a trusted public health organization is trafficking dangerous misinformation that could lead to a global opioid epidemic. [The company’s] exponential increase in opioid sales and profits documented that their marketing strategies worked. Trusted public health organizations convinced doctors that opioids were safe and effective.”*⁸⁸
- The WHO entirely falsely assured physicians that: *“Opioid analgesics, if prescribed in accordance with established dosage regimens, are known to be safe and there is no need to fear accidental death or dependence.”*
- Overwhelming evidence contradicts this statement. The Congressional report notes: *“a review of the WHO guidelines makes it clear that the ‘problem’ the WHO seems to be addressing is not how to limit the use of these highly addictive drugs, but rather how to eliminate barriers to their use.”*⁸⁹
- **DISASTROUS EBOLA RESPONSE KILLS 11,000:** WHO failed to take action to prevent spread and protect the health of people during the West African Ebola outbreak in 2013-2014.
- A West African Ebola outbreak in 2013 spread widely and reached crisis proportions before the WHO declared it to be an international public health emergency. The outbreak eventually infected 28,000 people and killed 11,000 in a wide area including Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone. Through its inaction until the end of 2014, the WHO betrayed its mission and caused the death of thousands of people.

⁸⁷ [Achieving balance in national opioids control policy : guidelines for assessment | WorldCat.org](#)

⁸⁸ Cong. Katherine Clark, co author Congressional Report [Purdue-WHO-Opioid-Collaboration Cong-Report-2019.jpeg \(1430x1340\) \(ahrp.org\) Op. Cit.](#)

⁸⁹ Ibid.

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

- A panel of 20 experts in global health from around the world, chaired by the eminent Prof Peter Piot, director of the **London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine**, the co-discoverer of the Ebola virus, examined the WHO's participation or lack thereof in this outbreak. The panel, co-sponsored by the **Harvard Global Health Institute**, determined that "WHO had failed to meet its responsibilities because of a lack of leadership and accountability."⁹⁰
- Prof. Piot stated: "*Major reform of national and global systems to respond to epidemics are not only feasible, but also essential so that we do not witness such depths of suffering, death and social and economic havoc in future epidemics.*"⁹¹
- **Big Pharma had nothing to sell so the WHO failed to do much of anything except travel splendidly during the Ebola outbreak, as opposed to the vaccine-ready Swine Flu "pandemic".**⁹²
- My personal experience with WHO's disastrous anti-health, pro-corporate stance around the Ebola crisis is illustrative. In 2009 the US Department of Threat Reduction (DTRA) of the US Department of Defense (US DOD) declassified research showing that in the presence of Nano Silver, hemorrhagic viruses, including Ebola, Marburg and Ta Caribe were less able to attach to cell membranes, penetrate them and, if they did manage to penetrate the cell, were unable to replicate. In the absence of attachment, penetration and replication, disease is not present although the virus may be.
- Because there were no known treatments for Ebola (that is, no vaccines), WHO stated publicly that it was ethical to try any treatment that had any possibility of efficacy, regardless of a lack of a known safety profile.
- **SIERRA LEONE:**
 - Of the Nano Silver concentrations, the most effective was the lowest, 10 PPM.
 - Of the solutions tested, the most effective was the 10 PPM solution which my organization, the Natural Solutions Foundation, made available to people.
 - Natural Solutions Foundation, in collaboration with a family from Sierra Leone, made 200 bottles of the non-toxic material available to the government of that nation without charge to the country.
 - Despite the fact that the shipment was sent at highest priority at a cost of several thousand dollars, it did not arrive and was "lost" for 6 weeks. This is highly

⁹⁰ [Will Ebola change the game? Ten essential reforms before the next pandemic. The report of the Harvard-LSHTM Independent Panel on the Global Response to Ebola - The Lancet](#)

⁹¹ [Will Ebola change the game? Ten essential reforms before the next pandemic. The report of the Harvard-LSHTM Independent Panel on the Global Response to Ebola - The Lancet Ibid.](#)

⁹² [What We Have Learnt About The World Health Organization From The Ebola Outbreak, Clare Wenham](#), *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London B Biological Science*, 2017

unusual as international shipments are tracked carefully, documented and recorded as they travel.

- Eventually located in a warehouse in New York with no paperwork of any kind, the retrieved shipment was packed into suitcases and sent as excess baggage with a Sierra Leonean volunteer.
 - Once the liquid reached Sierra Leone, it was tested against Ebola by being given to patients under the auspices of the Minister of Information, the Honorable Alpha Kanu.
 - Minister Alpha Kanu made a video in which he is standing outside of an empty Ebola treatment center with a bottle of my silver in his hand stating that the non-toxic liquid cured 500 people and emptied the treatment center completely.
 - It was so successful at curing the disease in hundreds of people that it became official treatment for Ebola in that country.⁹³
 - When I asked the Minister how we could get more Nano Silver to his people I was shocked to learn that WHO's Head of Mission in Sierra Leone had made it explicitly clear to President Joseph Koroma that if any Nano Silver were obtained under any circumstances, all international aid from all sources would be cut off.
 - Nano Silver disappeared from the treatment options in Sierra Leone.
- **LIBERIA:**
 - Working with directly members of the Ministry of Health of Liberia and with the Minister of the Environment, I proposed an experimental protocol for confirmed Ebola cases in which treatment with non-toxic Nano Silver 10 PPM would be undertaken in an Ebola center.
 - The protocol was approved by the Minister of Health and the Natural Solutions Foundation provided the liquid.
 - As the physician was opening the first bottle to give to the first test subject, President Ellen Johnson Sirleaf called the center to say that WHO's Head of Mission was with her and told her that if the research effort using Nano Silver proceeded, all international aid would be cut off.
 - The study did not proceed
- **RETALIATION:**
 - During the Ebola outbreak, FDA attacked Natural Solutions Foundation with a "Warning Letter" stating that sharing research information was equivalent to making a forbidden, unauthorized claim of cure. We altered our website to comply although we believed then and still believe that our statements, disclaimers and speech were protected, lawful and correct.
 - During the Covid-19 scam pandemic, when I publicly stated that, as someone in the supposedly most vulnerable age range, I was unconcerned about the danger of COVID-19 for myself because I protected the normal structure and function of my immune system by daily use of my Nano Silver product the FDA attacked once again.

⁹³ [Sierra Leone: Nano Silver 10 PPM Now Official Ebola Therapy \(prweb.com\)](http://prweb.com)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

- My statement was interpreted as an unapproved cure claim although I made no such assertion or references. The Natural Solutions Foundation and both of its Trustees received Warning Letters⁹⁴ and then were charged in Federal Court with crimes consisting of offering personal opinions and scientific data pertaining to a nutrient product.
- Lacking the funds necessary to pursue the case, we agreed to a Stipulation of Settlement which enjoins us personally or organizationally from every selling Nano Silver again although we can discuss it. The negative publicity was, predictably, both highly inaccurate and vicious.
- **The pattern of intimidation and health suppression is clear by both WHO and its complicit national and international agencies.**
- **CORPORATE WASTE:** WHO officials spend astronomical amounts on travel. In 2017 The Associated Press secured internal WHO documents allowing them to publish an exposé. They showed that while other international aid agencies like Doctors Without Borders, with a staff of about 37,000 aid workers, spend about \$43 million on travel a year, the WHO, with a staff of 7,000 spends more than \$200 million annually. That means that with a staff more than 5.2 times as small, they spend more than 4.2 times as much on travel expenses.⁹⁵
- WHO issued a revision of its previous opiate guideline focused on children with cancer called *Pharmacological Treatment of Persisting Pain in Children with Medical Illnesses*. In it, WHO adopted Purdue’s unscientific marketing term “opiophobia” to disparage physician caution or reluctance to use opioids because of their addictive nature. They chose to ridicule such concerns, calling it an “unreasonable fear”. The authoritative body alleged to doctors that opioids were perfectly safe for children, and that “*there is no specific or maximum dose of opioids.*”
“*Rather than acknowledge the highly addictive nature of opioids, the WHO insinuates that providers and families are simply ignorant of the benefits of opioid medicines. Persisting Pain in Children contains some eerily similar recommendations to Purdue’s own materials. For instance: **The claim that there is no maximum dose of opioids is a central piece of Purdue’s marketing strategy.***”⁹⁶
- **UNIVERSAL COMPULSORY VACCINATION:** WHO boldly and incorrectly states, “*Immunization is a global health and development success story, saving millions of lives every year. We now have vaccines to prevent more than 20 life-threatening diseases, helping people of all ages live longer, healthier lives. Immunization is the foundation of the primary health care system and an indisputable human right. It’s also one of the best health investments money can buy. Yet despite tremendous progress, far too many people*

⁹⁴ [Natural Solutions Foundation - 605748 - 05/19/2020 | FDA](#)

⁹⁵ [AP Exclusive: Health agency spends more on travel than AIDS | AP News](#)

⁹⁶ [818172D42793504DD9DFE64B77A77C0E.5.22.19-who-purdue-report-final.pdf \(house.gov\)](#)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

around the world – including nearly 20 million infants each year – have insufficient access to vaccines. In some countries, progress has stalled or even reversed, and there is a real risk that complacency will undermine past achievements.

- **The goal:** *Immunization Agenda 2030 envisions a world where everyone, everywhere, at every age, fully benefits from vaccines to improve health and well-being.*⁹⁷ Ignoring science in favor of commerce and tyranny, this big lie is repeated endlessly. It does not make it true, but it certainly does make it profitable.
- Big Pharma, WHO and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation are currently colluding to force every person on the planet to receive every possible vaccine, regardless of personal or medical reasons to not do so.
- The trio has embarked on the most ambitious global vaccination agenda ever created as part of Agenda 2030. The stated goal of their unprecedented agenda is to vaccinate every man, woman, and child on the planet with **500 new vaccines** by the year 2030.

Table 1. Proposed IA2030 Impact Goal Indicators and Targets

Impact Goal		Indicator	2030 Target
1 Prevent Disease	Save lives	1.1 Number of future deaths averted through immunization ⁱ	50 million future deaths averted globally
	Control, eliminate & eradicate VPDs	1.2 Number and % of countries achieving endorsed regional or global VPD control, elimination and eradication targets ⁱ	All countries achieve the endorsed regional or global VPD control, elimination and eradication targets
	Reduce VPD outbreaks	1.3 Number of large or disruptive VPD outbreaks ⁱⁱ	All selected VPDsⁱⁱⁱ have a declining trend in the global annual number of large or disruptive outbreaks
2 Promote Equity	Leave no one behind	2.1 Number of zero dose children	50% reduction in the number of zero dose children at country, regional, and global levels
	Provide access to all vaccines	2.2 Introduction of new or under-utilized vaccines ^{iv} in low and middle income countries	500 vaccine introductions
3 Build strong immunization programmes	Deliver across the life course	3.1 Vaccination coverage across the life course (DTP3, MCV2, PCV3, HPVc) ^v	90% global coverage for DTP3, MCV2, PCV3, and HPVc
	Contribute to PHC/ UHC	3.2 UHC Index of Service Coverage	Improve UHC Index of Service Coverage at country, regional, and global levels

98

- This agenda was established by the most influential stakeholders in vaccines who are invested in expanding the vaccine market. The agenda coincides with the frenzied political maneuvers across the U.S. and Europe, to eliminate vaccination exemptions — first, for children, then pregnant women, then everyone.⁹⁹ The medical, legal, ethical and religious insanity in this is clearly of no concern to these would-be medical tyrants.

⁹⁷ [Vaccines and immunization \(who.int\)](https://www.who.int)

⁹⁸ [IA2030 FrameworkForActionv04 annotated.pdf](#) p 10

⁹⁹ [The WHO Vaccination Agenda 2030: a Global PhRMA Strategy – Alliance for Human Research Protection \(ahrp.org\)](https://ahrp.org)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

- Apparently, the World [Ill]Health Organization has declared war on natural immunity and robust, good health as well as sanity.
- The stated goal of their global agenda is to eliminate from the planet all unvaccinated children whose robust, overall good health sharply contrasts with the compromised health of many vaccinated children; an increasing number of who suffer from chronic health problems, including allergies, asthma, autism, diabetes and autoimmune disorders that have become common childhood diseases.

- Comparison studies have been conducted, both in the U.S., Germany, New Zealand and other countries. The results of these studies have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated that unvaccinated children enjoy superior health when compared to vaccinated children.

- A German study released in September 2011 of 8,000 un-vaccinated children, newborn to 19 years, show vaccinated children have at least 2 to 50 times (depending on the specific disease compared) more diseases and disorders than un-vaccinated children. In no health category are vaccinated children superior in health to unvaccinated ones.¹⁰⁰

- Children vaccinated with DPPT or MMR had 14 times more asthma and 9.4 times more eczema than non-vaccinated children.^{101, 102}

- A comprehensive study comparing the health of vaccinated children to those who are unvaccinated is underway. The total number of children in the study is 17,461, of who 75% are American, and 25% are German. This ongoing study has demonstrated that unvaccinated children rarely suffered from health conditions such as: dyslexia, speech delays, bed wetting, celiac disease, or gluten sensitivity, GERDs.¹⁰³

- The WHO Vaccination Agenda also sweeps aside the guaranteed protections under the 1989 Convention on the Rights of the Child, which is binding under international law.¹⁰⁴ Under this convention, children under the age of 18, are under the protection of their parents who have the right and responsibility to make healthcare decisions for their children. In most countries in the world, 18 is considered the age at which legal adulthood is reached, whereupon the right to exercise informed consent is attained.

¹⁰⁰ <http://journal.livingfood.us/2011/10/09/new-study-vaccinated-children-have-2-to-5-times-more-diseases-and-disorders-than-unvaccinated-children/>

¹⁰¹ [Vaccination and Allergic Disease: A Birth Cohort Study](#), McKeever TM, Lewis SA, Smith C. Does vaccination increase the risk of developing allergic disease? American Journal of Public Health (2004)

¹⁰² [Pilot Comparative Study on the Health of Vaccinated and Unvaccinated 6 – to 12-year old U.S. Children](#), AR Mawson, BD Ray, AR Bhuiyan, and B Jacob, *Journal of Translational Science* (2017)

¹⁰³ [How Does Vaccinated Children’s Health Compare to Unvaccinated Children?](#) By Michelle Goldstein. Includes a partial bibliography.

¹⁰⁴ <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-child>

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook

- In its headlong rush to implement this universally enforced, unending stream of forced and enormously profitable Pharma-Gates-WHO vaccinations via Agenda 2030, WHO is quite willing to unlawfully and unethically circumvent the right of parents to protect their children.

- In violation of the 1989 international treaty, ratified by 196 countries, WHO is colluding with Big Pharma, endorsing a devious ploy to remove the protection that parents provide for their children in their capacity and authority to make medical decisions on behalf of their children.
- Even as the WHO recognizes that:
 - (a) *“For consent to be valid, it must be informed, understood and voluntary, and the person consenting must have the capacity to make the decision*
 - (b) *“Mandatory Vaccination Does Not Always Overrule the Need For Consent”*, WHO endorses mass vaccination of children under 18 without their parents’ consent.
 - WHO recommends contravening legally valid informed consent, by declaring **“Implied Consent”**¹⁰⁵ as an alternative:

“An implied consent process by which parents are informed of imminent vaccination through social mobilization and communication, sometimes including letters directly addressed to the parents. Subsequently, the physical presence of the child or adolescent, with or without an accompanying parent at the vaccination session, is considered to imply consent.

- WHO has embraced the twisted bureaucratic reasoning and language to remove the protective shield from children.

“In a growing number of countries, the age of consent for medical interventions is set below the age of majority. Where parental consent is required, health workers should allow older children and adolescents to provide assent to the vaccination. Immunization Agenda 2030 envisions a world where everyone, everywhere, at every age, fully benefits from vaccines to improve health and well-being.”

- This is an intolerable perversion of medical ethics and human rights.

¹⁰⁵ [Implied Consent legal definition of Implied Consent \(thefreedictionary.com\)](http://thefreedictionary.com)

WHO: The Singing Pig
A Natural Solutions Foundation eBook




WHO is a singing pig all dressed up with lipstick and the robes of science and public concern. In truth, it is braying out the repeated trope made famous by cartoonist Al Capp in his cartoon strip, L'il Abner, in which the cruel, greedy, callous, and self-absorbed industrialist, General Bullmoose, proclaims over and over, "What's good for General Bullmoose is good for the world"!



"What's good for General Bullmoose is good for the world"!

WHO sings "What's good for General Gates and his Big Pharma and Big Banking Boys is good for the world!" Except that it is not.



Despite its attractive regalia of science, compassion, legitimacy, competence and authority the corruption and disregard of both data and decency are so deeply woven into the institution that every country in the world should firmly and fully reject WHO and its complicit parent organization, the United Nations



WHO: Glamorous, Well-Heeled, Confident,

As it happens, that process is permitted under the 1969 Vienna Convention on Treaties¹⁰⁶ which says that when the conditions of the ratified treaty have changed, a country may unilaterally withdraw.

When WHO's Constitution was ratified, it was not seeking to destroy the immune system, the personal and national sovereignty of every man, woman and child in the world, nor was it seeking to control every aspect of life through a massive dictatorship involving depopulation and genetic alteration and subjugation of the people of each country. It is now.

All Rights Reserved © Natural Solutions Foundation 2022

¹⁰⁶ [Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties \(1969\) – Treaty Law](#)